



# පාර්ලිමේන්තු විවාද

(හැන්සාඩ්)



## නිල වාර්තාව

(අශෝධිත පිටපත)

### අන්තර්ගත ප්‍රධාන කරුණු

ප්‍රශ්නවලට වාචික පිළිතුරු

පොද්ගලිකව දැනුම්දීමෙන් ඇසූ ප්‍රශ්නය :

උතුරු නැගෙනහිර පළාත් මායිම්වල පිහිටි ගම්වලට ඉස්තවාදී තර්ජන

සීමාසහිත ස්වයං රැකියා යෝජනා ක්‍රම : අත්කර ගැනීමේ නියමය

සීමාසහිත ස්වයං රැකියා යෝජනා ක්‍රම : නියෝග

මුද්දර ගාස්තු පනත : නියෝගය

රුමේනියා-ශ්‍රී ලංකා දඟ්ට්ටු බදු සහන ගිවිසුම

ප්‍රශ්නවලට ලිඛිත පිළිතුරු



# சுவாமி நாததீர்த்தர்

(விவரம்)



## சுவாமி நாதர்

(விவரம்)

### சுவாமி நாதர்

சுவாமி நாதர் என்பவர்  
மேலும் சுவாமி நாதர்  
சுவாமி நாதர் என்பவர்  
சுவாமி நாதர் என்பவர்

சுவாமி நாதர் என்பவர்  
சுவாமி நாதர் என்பவர்  
சுவாமி நாதர் என்பவர்  
சுவாமி நாதர் என்பவர்

## பாராளுமன்ற விவாதங்கள்

(ஹன்சாட்)

அதிகார அறிக்கை

(பிழை திருத்தப்படாதது)

பிரதான உள்ளடக்கம்

வினாக்களுக்கு வாய்மூல விடைகள்

தனி அறிவித்தல் மூல வினா :

வடக்கு, கிழக்கு எல்லைகளிலுள்ள கிராமங்களுக்குப் பயங்கரவாத அச்சுறுத்தல்

சுயதொழில் வசதி கருத்திட்டங்கள் லிமிற்றல் : உரிமையளித்தற் கட்டளை

சுயதொழில் வசதி கருத்திட்டங்கள் லிமிற்றல் : ஒழுங்கு விதிகள்

முத்திரைத் தீர்வைச் சட்டம் : ஒழுங்குவிதி

ரூமேனியா - இலங்கை இரட்டை வரி நிவாரண உடன்படிக்கை

வினாக்களுக்கு எழுத்துமூல விடைகள்

Volume 36  
No. 3

Thursday  
8th August 1985

## PARLIAMENTARY DEBATES

(HANSARD)

OFFICIAL REPORT

(Uncorrected)

## PRINCIPAL CONTENTS

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

QUESTION BY PRIVATE NOTICE :

Terrorist threats to villages bordering Northern and Eastern Provinces

SELF-EMPLOYMENT PROJECTS LTD. : VESTING ORDER

SELF-EMPLOYMENT PROJECTS LTD. : REGULATIONS

2-A085141-2,800 (85/08)

STAMP DUTY ACT : REGULATION

ROMANIA-SRI LANKA DOUBLE TAXATION RELIEF AGREEMENT

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

புதுவையில் நடைபெற்ற விவாதங்கள்

(தொடர்ச்சி)

சீர்திருத்தம்

(பிரதி உத்தரவு)

பிரதி உத்தரவு

சீர்திருத்தம் மீது கருத்துரை செய்தல் : அருள் கிளிமன்  
உத்தரவு பிரதி உத்தரவு : அருள் கிளிமன்  
பிரதி உத்தரவு - இரண்டாம் பாகம் மீது கருத்துரை செய்தல் : அருள் கிளிமன்  
சீர்திருத்தம் மீது கருத்துரை செய்தல் : அருள் கிளிமன்

சீர்திருத்தம் மீது கருத்துரை செய்தல் : அருள் கிளிமன்  
சீர்திருத்தம் மீது கருத்துரை செய்தல் : அருள் கிளிமன்  
சீர்திருத்தம் மீது கருத்துரை செய்தல் : அருள் கிளிமன்  
சீர்திருத்தம் மீது கருத்துரை செய்தல் : அருள் கிளிமன்

Thursday  
8th August 1983

Volume 36  
No. 3

PARLIAMENTARY DEBATES

(HANSARD)

OFFICIAL REPORT

(Uncorrected)

PRINCIPAL CONTENTS

- ROMANIA-SRI LANKA DOUBLE TAXATION RELIEF AGREEMENT
- SELF-EMPLOYMENT PROJECTS LTD.: VESTING ORDER
- SELF-EMPLOYMENT PROJECTS LTD.: REGULATIONS
- WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS
- ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS
- QUESTION BY PRIVATE NOTICE
- Terrorist threats to villages bordering Northern and Eastern Provinces
- STAMP DUTY ACT: REGULATION

පාර්ලිමේන්තුව  
பாராளுமன்றம்  
PARLIAMENT

1985 අගෝස්තු 8 වැනි බ්‍රහස්පතින්ද  
வியாழக்கிழமை, 8 ஓகஸ்ட் 1985  
Thursday, 8th August 1985

ප්‍ර. තා. 10 ට පාර්ලිමේන්තුව රැස් විය. කථානායකතුමා (ඊ. ටී. සේනානායක මහතා) මූලාසනාදායී විය.

பாராளுமன்றம் மு. ப. 10 மணிக்குக் கூடியது. சபாநாயகர் அவர்கள் (திரு. ஈ. எஸ். சேனநாயக்க) தலைமை வகித்தார்கள்.

The Parliament met at 10 p.m. MR. SPEAKER (MR. E. L. SENANAYAKE) in the Chair.

ලිපි ලේඛනාදිය පිළිගැන්වීම  
சமர்ப்பிக்கப்பட்ட பத்திரங்கள்  
PAPERS PRESENTED

Report and Accounts of the Government Owned Business Undertaking of Ceylon Manufacturers and Merchants Ltd. for 1983. - (Mr. M. Vincent Perera, on behalf of the Minister of Trade and Shipping).

Report of the Auditor-General on the Accounts of the Employees' Provident Fund for the year ended December 31, 1980 in terms of section 6(3) of the Employees' Provident Fund Act, No. 15 of 1958. - (Mr. M. Vincent Perera, on behalf of the Minister of Labour).

Reports and Accounts of the Government Business Undertaking of United Motors for 1982 and 1983. - (Mr. M. Vincent Perera, on behalf of the Minister of Industries and Scientific Affairs).

සභාවේදය මත එවිය යුතුයයි නියෝග කරන ලදී.

சபாபீடத்தில் இடுக்க கட்டவேண்டியது.

Ordered to lie upon the Table.

ප්‍රශ්නවලට වාචික පිළිතුරු  
வினாக்களுக்கு வாய்ப்புல விடைகள்  
ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

පී. එච්. ලීලාවතී මහත්මිය : වන්දි  
திருமதி பீ. எச். லீலாவதி : நட்பு

MRS. P. H. LEELAWATHIE : COMPENSATION

125/85

1. ආචාර්ය ඩබ්ලිව්. දහනායක මහතා (ගාල්ල)  
(கலாநிதி டபிள்யூ. தஹநாயக்க — காலி)  
(Dr. W. Dahanayake-Galle)

විදේශ කටයුතු දැමිණිතුළාගෙන් ඇසූ ප්‍රශ්නය :

(අ) කුවේට්හි තම සේවයේදී කාලයක් සතොසයා විසින් ඇය ගොඩනැගිල්ලක හතර වැනි තට්ටුවෙන් පහළට කල්ල කරන ලද බව සහ ඇයට බරපහල තුවාල සිදුවූ නමුත් කිසිම වන්දියක් නොගෙවන ලද බව සඳහන් කරමින්, ගාල්ලේ, බණ්ඩාරනායක පෙදෙසේ, 10/7 ඒ හි පදිංචි පී. එච්. ලීලාවතී මහත්මියගෙන් 1984 දෙසැම්බර් 8 දිනැති ලීඩයක් එතුමාට ලැබුණේද ?

(ආ) සේවයේදී කාලයගෙන් වන්දි ලබාගැනීමට එතුමා ගෙන ඇති ක්‍රියාමාර්ගය කුමක්ද ?

(ඇ) කිසිදු ක්‍රියාමාර්ගයක් නොගන්නේ නම්, ඒ මන්ද ?

வெளிநாட்டிலுங்கள் அமைச்சரைக் கேட்ட வினா :

(அ) காலி, பண்டாரநாயக்க இடம், 10/7 A எனுமிலக்கத்தைச் சேர்ந்த திருமதி பீ. எச். லீலாவதி என்பவரிடமிருந்து, தாம் குவைத்தில் தமது தொழில் தருநரின் சகோதரரால் கட்டடத்தில் 4 ஆம் மாடியிலிருந்து தள்ளிவிடப்பட்டதாகவும் இதனால் தமக்குக் கடுங்காயங்கள் ஏற்பட்டதாகவும் எனினும் தமக்கு நட்ட ஈடு ஏதும் வழங்கப்படவில்லையெனவும் தெரிவிக்கும் 1984, திசெம்பர் 8 ஆந் தேதியிட்ட கடிதமொன்று அவருக்குக் கிடைத்ததா ?

(ஆ) தொழில் தருநரிடமிருந்து நட்ட ஈடு பெறுவதற்கு அவர் என்ன நடவடிக்கை எடுத்துள்ளார் ?

(இ) இன்றேல், ஏன் ?

asked the Minister of Foreign Affairs :

(a) Did he get a letter from Mrs. P. H. Leelawathie of 10/7A, Bandaranaike Pedesa, Galle, dated 8th December, 1984, stating that she had been pushed from the 4th storey of a building by the brother of her employer in Kuwait and that she had sustained serious injuries, but that she had not been paid any compensation ?

(b) What action has he taken to get compensation from the employer ?

(c) If not, why ?

එම්. වින්සන්ට් පෙරේරා මහතා (පාර්ලිමේන්තු කටයුතු හා ක්‍රීඩා ඇමතිතුමා සහ ආණ්ඩු පාර්ශ්වයේ ප්‍රධාන සංවිධායකතුමා)

(திரு. எம். வின்சன்ட் பெரேரா — பாராளுமன்ற அலுவலர்கள், விளையாட்டுத்துறை அமைச்சரும் பிரதம அரசாங்கக் கொறடாவும்)

(Mr. M. Vincent Perera Minister of Parliamentary Affairs & Sports and Chief Government Whip)

On behalf of the Minister of Foreign Affairs, I answer the Question.

(a) Yes.

(b) The Sri Lanka Embassy in Kuwait has contacted the employer, Mr. J. A. A. Ibrahim, and he has denied the allegation that Mrs. Leelawathie had been pushed from the fourth floor by his brother. Mrs. Leelawathie had also made a complaint to the Courts and the Courts had studied the case and concluded that there was no truth in her allegation. The employer had met the cost of her return ticket, and the Sri Lanka Embassy is endeavouring to obtain for her at least a month's wages.

(c) Does not arise.

ආචාර්ය ඩබ්ලිව්. දහනායක මහතා

(கலாநிதி டபிள்யூ. தஹநாயக்க)

(Dr. W. Dahanayake)

Will a month's wages be obtained for her ?

එම්. වින්සන්ට් පෙරේරා මහතා

(திரு. எம். வின்சன்ட் பெரேரா)

(Mr. M. Vincent Perera)

Well, I will bring that to the notice of the Minister.

ජේ. කේ. එල්ලාවල මහතා : සේ.අ.අ.  
 திரு. ஜே. கே. எல்லாவெல : ஊசேந்  
 MR. J. K. ELLAWALA : EPF

132/85

ගාලු දිස්ත්‍රික්කයේ සමුපකාර ආරෝග්‍යශාලා සමිතිය : අධ්‍යක්ෂ  
 මණ්ඩලය  
 கூட்டுறவு ஆசுபத்திரிச் சங்கம், காலி மாவட்டம் :  
 பணிப்பாளர் சபை  
 CO-OPERATIVE HOSPITAL SOCIETY, GALLE DISTRICT :  
 BOARD OF DIRECTORS

222/85

2. ආවාය් ඩබ්ලිව්. දහනායක මහතා  
 (கலாநிதி டபிள்யூ. தஹநாயக்க)  
 (Dr. W. Dahanayake)

කමිකරු ඇමතිතුමාගෙන් ඇසූ ප්‍රශ්නය :

- (අ) පෝද්දල වැලිවිටියටෙව්නේ ජේ. කේ. එල්ලාවල මහතා මුහුට හිමි සේවක අර්ථසාධක අරමුදල (සේ.අ.අ. අංකය 1023/එච්/10) ඉල්ලා වෛද්‍ය සහතිකයක් සමඟ ඉල්ලුම්පතක් 1980 සැප්තැම්බර් 7 වැනි දින මාතර සහකාර කමිකරු කොමසාරිස්ට් යැවූ බැව් එතුමා දත්තේද (ලද්පත් යොමුව සේ.අ.අ./1023/එච් හා 1980.9.9) ?
- (ආ) එම ඉල්ලුම්පත පිළිබඳව වහාම ක්‍රියා කරන්නේද ?
- (ඇ) තොකරන්නේ නම්, ඒ මන්ද ?

தொழில் அமைச்சரைக் கேட்ட வினா :

- (அ) போத்தல, வல்லிவிற்றியாகே வத்தை எனுமிடத்தைச் சேர்ந்த திரு. ஜே. கே. எல்லாவெல என்பவர் தமது ஊ. சே. நி. (இல. 1023/H/10) நயங்களைக் கோரி வைத்தியச் சான்றிதழுடன் 1980, செப்டெம்பர் 7 ஆம் திகதிய கடிதம் மூலம் மாத்தறை உதவித் தொழில் ஆணையாளருக்கு விண்ணப்பித்திருந்தாரென்பதை அவர் அறிவாரா ? (கடிதம் கிடைத்தமைக்கான தொடர்பு 9.9.1980 ஆம் தேதிய இல. டி. சி. சி. 1023/ )
- (ஆ) இவ்விண்ணப்பம் தொடர்பாக அவர் உடனடியாக நடவடிக்கை எடுப்பாரா ?
- (இ) இன்றேல், ஏன் ?

asked the Minister of Labour :

- (a) Is he aware that Mr. J. K. Ellawala, of Welliwitiyegewatta, Poddala, P.F. No. 1023/H/10, sent an application for refund of his dues together with a medical certifiacae to the Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Matara, by letter dated 7th September, 1980-(acknowledgement ref. No. /1023 / 09.09.1980) ?
- (b) Will he take action on this application at once ?
- (c) If not, why ?

එම්. ජෝසප් මයිකල් පෙරේරා මහතා (නියෝජ්‍ය කමිකරු ඇමතිතුමා)  
 திரு. எம். ஜோசப் மைக்கல் பெரேரா — தொழில் பிரதி அமைச்சர்  
 (Mr. M. Joseph Michael Perera-Deputy Minister of Labour)

- (අ) මට්. කමිත. එය අසම්පූර්ණ අයදුම්පතක් වූ බැවින් හිසි පරිදි සම්පූර්ණ කර ඉදිරිපත් කිරීම සඳහා අවශ්‍ය උපදෙස් ද සහිතව සාමාජිකයා වෙත ආපසු යවා ඇත.  
 ඉන්පසුව මාතර, ප්‍රාදේශීය කමිකරු කාර්යාලයට හෝ කොළඹ ප්‍රධාන කාර්යාලයට හෝ මෙතෙක් එම අයදුම් පත ආපසු ලැබී නොමැත.
- (ආ) මට්. සාමාජිකයාගෙන් ප්‍රතිලාභ ආපසු ඉල්ලීමේ අයදුම් පතක් ලැබූවහොත් ඒ පිළිබඳ කටයුතු කරනු ඇත.
- (ඇ) ඉහත පිළිතුරු (ආ) අනුව එහි නොහැකි.

3 ආචාර්ය ඩබ්ලිව්. දහනායක මහතා  
 (கலாநிதி டபிள்யூ. தஹநாயக்க)  
 (Dr. W. Dahanayake)

කමිකාරම්ක සංවර්ධනය හා පර්යේෂණ ඇමතිතුමා සහ ආතර හා සමුපකාර ඇමතිතුමාගෙන් ඇසූ ප්‍රශ්නය :

- (අ) සමිතිය සමඟ කොන්ත්‍රාත්කුවකට එළඹී සිටින පුද්ගලයකු සමිතියේ අධ්‍යක්ෂවරයකු වශයෙන් තෝරාගෙන ඇති බැව් සහ දූෂණ පිළිබඳ තවත් චෝදනා දක්වමින් ගාලු දිස්ත්‍රික්කයේ සමුපකාර ආරෝග්‍යශාලා සමිතියේ සාමාජිකයින් 79 දෙනෙකු විසින් අත්සන් කර එවන ලද පෙත්සමක් එතුමාට ලැබුණේද ?
- (ආ) මේ පෙත්සම විගාහ කළේද ?
- (ඇ) පෙත්සම විගාහ කළේ කවරුන් විසින්ද ?
- (ඈ) සාක්ෂි දීම සඳහා කැඳවනු ලැබූ සාක්ෂිකරුවන් කවරුන්ද ?
- (ඉ) පරීක්ෂණය පැවැත්වූ කිලධාරියාගේ නිගමනය කුමක්ද ?
- (ඊ) එතුමා දැන් අධ්‍යක්ෂ මණ්ඩලය විසුරුවා හරින්නේද ?
- (උ) විසුරුවා නොහරින්නේ නම්, ඒ මන්ද ?

விவசாய அபிவிருத்தி, ஆராய்ச்சி அமைச்சரும் உணவு, கூட்டுறவு அமைச்சருமானவரைக் கேட்ட வினா :

- (அ) காலி மாவட்ட கூட்டுறவு ஆஸ்பத்திரிச் சங்கத்தின் 79 உறுப்பினர்கள் ஒப்பமிட்டு, சங்கத்துடன் ஒப்பந்தம் செய்துள்ள ஒரு நபர் பணிப்பாளராகத் தெரிவு செய்யப்பட்டதையும் ஏனைய ஊழல்களையும் பற்றிக் குற்றஞ்சாட்டி அனுப்பிய மனு ஒன்றை அவர் பெற்றாரா ?
- (ஆ) இம்மனு மீது விசாரணை நடத்தப்பட்டதா ?
- (இ) மனுவை விசாரித்தவர் யார் ?
- (ஈ) சாட்சியம் கூற அனுமதிக்கப்பட்டவர்கள் யார் ?
- (உ) விசாரணை செய்த அதிகாரி கண்டுபிடித்தவைகள் என்ன ?
- (ஊ) பணிப்பாளர் சபையை அவர் இப்போது கலைப்பாரா ?
- (எ) இல்லையேல், ஏன் ?

asked the Minister of Agricultural Development and Research and Minister of Food and Co-operatives :

- (a) Did he receive a petition signed by 79 members of the Galle District Co-operative Hospital Society, alleging that a person who held a contract with the Society, alleging that a person who held a contract with the Society had been selected as a Director and making other allegations of corruption ?
- (b) Was this petition inquired into ?
- (c) By whom was the petition inquired into ?
- (d) Who were the witnesses summoned to give evidence ?
- (e) What were the findings of the inquiring officer ?
- (f) Will he dissolve this Board of Directors now ?
- (g) If not, why ?

සරත්චන්ද්‍ර රාජකරුණ මහතා (නියෝජ්‍ය ආහාර හා සමුපකාර ඇමතිතුමා)  
 (ශ්‍රී. ජාත්‍යන්තර ආහාරකරුණා - උපාය, සං. උපාය) පිරිනි  
 අනායාසාර්)  
 (Mr. Sarathechandra Rajakaruna-Deputy Minister of Food & Co-operatives)

- (අ) මව.
- (ආ) මව.
- (ඇ) සමුපකාර සමිති පරීක්ෂක එස්. සිවගුරුනාදන් මහතා. සමුපකාර සමිති පරීක්ෂක ඩී. බමුණසිංහ මහතා.
- (ඈ) පෙත්සම අත්සන්කර තිබූ 79 දෙනාම මෙම පරීක්ෂණ නිලධාරීන් ඉදිරියේ සාක්ෂි දීමට කැඳවන ලදී. 19 දෙනෙකු පරීක්ෂණයට පෙනී සිටිය අතර ඉන් 14 දෙනෙක් පෙත්සමට අත්සන් තොකල බැව් දැන්වූහ. පෙත්සමෙහි අත්සන තිබුණු එක් සාමාජිකයෙකු ඇත්තවශයෙන්ම අවුරුදු තුනකටත් පෙර මිය ගොස් ඇති බැව් හෙලිවී ඇත. අත්සන් කර ඇතැයි සඳහන් තවත් සාමාජිකයෙකු 1983 සිට අංගනාගයෙන් පෙළෙන අතර ඒ කාලයේ සිටම ඔහුට කිසිවක් ලිවීමට හෝ අත්සන් කිරීමට හෝ හැකියාවක් තිබී නැත.
- (ඉ) පෙත්සමින් ඉදිරිපත් කරන ලද වෝදනා පිළිබඳව පරීක්ෂණ නිලධාරීන්ගේ නිගමනයන් මෙසේය.

- (i) 1985. 03. 10 වෙනි දින මහා සභාවේදී අධ්‍යක්ෂ මණ්ඩලයට කර ඇති පත්කිරීම් යථා පරිදි සිදුකර ඇත.
- (ii) ගාලු දිස්ත්‍රික්කයේ සමුපකාර රෝගල් සමිතියේ අධ්‍යක්ෂවරයෙකු වශයෙන් පත්වී ඇති ආර්. එච්. එස්. ද සිල්වා මහතා මෙම සමිතිය සමග එළඹ තිබූ කොන්ත්‍රාත්තුවේ රඳවාගත් මුදල වන රු. : 18,340/92 ක් තොගවීමේ හේතුවෙන් කොන්ත්‍රාත්තුව නිත්‍යානුකූලව අවසන් කර නොමැති නිසා ඔහුගේ අධ්‍යක්ෂ මූල්‍ය අවසන් කළ යුතුය.
- (iii) හිටපු සාමාන්‍යාධිකාරී සේවයෙන් ඉවත්කිරීමේදී සාමාන්‍යයෙන් කරනු ලබන ආකාරයට විධිමත් පරීක්ෂණයක් පවත්වා නැති බැව් පෙන්වා දී ඇත. ඔහු පිළිබඳ යම් ප්‍රමාණයක අකාර්යක්ෂමතාවයක් තිබූ බැව් පරීක්ෂණයේදී හෙලිවී ඇත.
- (iv) තව සාමාන්‍යාධිකාරී පත්කිරීමද කර ඇත්තේ දැනටමත් පළ කිරීමෙන් පසුව නොවේ. එහෙත් විශ්‍රාමලත් සමුපකාර නිලධාරීන්ගේ නම් සමුපකාර සංවර්ධන උප කොමසාරිස්ගෙන් ලබාගෙන පත්වීමක් කර ඇත.
- (v) ඉල්ලුම්පත් නොකැඳවා සේවකයන් 14 දෙනෙකු බඳවාගත් බවට කර ඇති වෝදනාව ඔප්පුවී නැත.
- (vi) තව අධ්‍යක්ෂ මණ්ඩලය පත්වී මසක් ඇතුළත මෙම සමිතිය මූල්‍යමය වශයෙන් දුර්වල වූ බවට කර ඇති වෝදනාව ඔප්පු කිරීමට සාක්ෂි නොමැත.
- (vii) මෙම අධ්‍යක්ෂ මණ්ඩලය අයථා ප්‍රයෝජන ලබාගත් බව හෝ දූෂණ ක්‍රියාවල යෙදුන බව හෝ පැවසීම සාවද්‍යවේ.

- (ඊ) නැත.
- (උ) පහත දැක්වෙන හේතූන් අනුව :-
  - (i) මූල්‍යමය අක්‍රමිකතා පිළිබඳව සාක්ෂි නැත.
  - (ii) තව සාමාන්‍යාධිකාරීවරයෙකු පත්කිරීමේ කාර්යයේදී අධ්‍යක්ෂ මණ්ඩලය සමුපකාර සංවර්ධන උප කොමසාරිස් විමසා කටයුතු කර ඇත.
  - (iii) හිටපු සාමාන්‍යාධිකාරී ඉවත් කිරීමේ කාර්යයේදී ඔහුට කරුණු ඉදිරිපත් කිරීමට අවස්ථාවක් ලබාදිය යුතුව තිබුණේයයි තර්ක කළ හැකි වුවද එවකට ඔහු විශ්‍රාම ගතයුතු විය යුතු අවුරුදු 60 ද ඉක්මවා තිබූ නිසා වයස අවුරුදු 60 ට අඩු ස්ථිර නිලධාරීන් කෙරෙහි සාමාන්‍යයෙන් බලපවත්වනු ලබන නීති හා ක්‍රියා පටිපාටිවලට වඩා එවැනි පුද්ගලයන් සඳහා බල පවත්වන නීති හා ක්‍රියා පටිපාටි සාමාන්‍යයෙන් ලිහිල්වේ.

ආචාර්ය ඩබ්ලිව්. දහනායක මහතා  
 (කොළඹ විශ්වවිද්‍යාලය, තණතොට)  
 (Dr. W. Dahanayake)  
 මා එක ප්‍රශ්නයක් අහන්න කැමතියි. එනම් සමිතිය සමග කොන්ත්‍රාත්තුවකට එළඹ සිටින තැනැත්තෙක් සමිතියේ අධ්‍යක්ෂ මණ්ඩලයට පත් කර තිබීම ඔප්පු වී තිබෙන නිසා ඒ අධ්‍යක්ෂ මණ්ඩලය විසුරුවා හරින්නේ තැන්පත් ඇයි ?

සරත්චන්ද්‍ර රාජකරුණ මහතා  
 (ශ්‍රී. ජාත්‍යන්තර ආහාරකරුණා)  
 (Mr. Sarathechandra Rajakaruna)  
 ඒ අධ්‍යක්ෂ මණ්ඩලය විසුරුවා හරින්න අවශ්‍ය නැත. ඒ අධ්‍යක්ෂවරයා ගැන අවශ්‍ය කටයුතු කිරීම සඳහා අපි දැනටමත් ගාල්ල සමුපකාර උප කොමසාරිස්ට දැනුම් දී තිබෙමු.

ආචාර්ය ඩබ්ලිව්. දහනායක මහතා  
 (කොළඹ විශ්වවිද්‍යාලය, තණතොට)  
 (Dr. W. Dahanayake)  
 මෙය හරි තවු තීන්දුවක්නේ. සමිතිය සමග කොන්ත්‍රාත්තුවකට එළඹ සිටින කෙනෙක් සමිතියේ අධ්‍යක්ෂ වරයෙක් වශයෙන් පත් කිරීම දූෂණ ක්‍රියාවක් නොවෙයිද කියා මා අහන්න කැමතියි. මෙවිද තැද්ද කියා කියන්න.

සරත්චන්ද්‍ර රාජකරුණ මහතා  
 (ශ්‍රී. ජාත්‍යන්තර ආහාරකරුණා)  
 (Mr. Sarathechandra-Rajakaruna)  
 අපි දැනටමත් ඒ අධ්‍යක්ෂවරයාට විරුද්ධව නීති මාර්ගයෙන් කටයුතු කර තිබෙමු. එම නිසා මුළු අධ්‍යක්ෂ මණ්ඩලයටම කටයුතු කිරීම අවශ්‍ය නැත.

ආචාර්ය ඩබ්ලිව්. දහනායක මහතා  
 (කොළඹ විශ්වවිද්‍යාලය, තණතොට)  
 (Dr. W. Dahanayake)  
 මම කියන්නේ එසේ කිරීම අවශ්‍යයි කියයි. ඔහුට පත් කළ තැනැත්තන්ට දඩුවම් දීම අවශ්‍යයි. පත් කළ තැනැත්තා අපට අවශ්‍ය නැත. ඔහුට පත් කළ තැනැත්තන් දූෂණ ක්‍රියාවක යෙදී සිටින්නවා. මෙය තේරුම් ගන්න බැරි නම් කරුණාකරලා ඇමතිතුමා තවත් විකක් මේ ගැන කල්පනා කර බලන්න. ඒ දඩුවම් දෙන තැනැත්තන් දූෂණ ක්‍රියාවක් මෙතෙක කර තිබෙන්නේ.

කථානායකතුමා  
 (ආරාධනාකරු) (ආරාධනාකරු)  
 (Mr. Speaker)  
 Order, please ! Hon. Member, that should come in the form of a question.

ආචාර්ය ඩබ්ලිව්. දහනායක මහතා  
 (කොළඹ විශ්වවිද්‍යාලය, තණතොට)  
 (Dr. W. Dahanayake)  
 මා අහන ප්‍රශ්නය මෙයයි. අධ්‍යක්ෂ මණ්ඩලය දූෂණ ක්‍රියාවක් කර තිබෙන බව ඇමතිතුමා දන්නේ නැද්ද ?

සරත්චන්ද්‍ර රාජකරුණ මහතා  
 (ශ්‍රී. ජාත්‍යන්තර ආහාරකරුණා)  
 (Mr. Sarathechandra Rajakaruna)  
 අධ්‍යක්ෂවරයෙක් පත් කරන්නේ මහා සභාවෙන්. එනම් නම් මහා සභාවට දඩුවම් කරන්න ඕනෑ. තවත් අධ්‍යක්ෂවරු මෙයට පලි නැත.

ආචාර්ය ඩබ්ලිව්. දහනායක මහතා  
 (කොළඹ විශ්වවිද්‍යාලය, තණතොට)  
 (Dr. W. Dahanayake)  
 මෙය කැකිල්ලේ රජපුරුවන්ගේ තවු තීන්දුව වාගෙයි. අධ්‍යක්ෂ මණ්ඩලයට වරද කර තිබෙන්නේ. මහා සභාව නොවෙයි. මේ ගැන තැව්ගත් අවස්ථාවේ පරීක්ෂණයක් පවත්වන්නට කියා මා ඇමතිතුමාගෙන් ඉල්ලා සිටිමු.





(b) If so, will he state the names of those factories or manufacturing concerns the names of their owners, the nature of their products and their registration numbers in the Greater Colombo Economic Commission ?

(c) If not, why ?

එම්. චන්දනට පෙරේරා මහතා  
(*திரு. எம். வினசன்ட் பெரேரா*)  
(Mr. M. Vincent Perera)

I answer on behalf of the Hon. Prime Minister. As it is a long answer. I am tabling it.

\*සහායක මත තවත් ලද පිළිතුර :  
சபாபீடத்தில் வைக்கப்பட்ட வினா:  
Answer tabled:

(a) Yes.

(b) *Names and addresses of Factories/Manufacturing concerns*      *Nature of their products*

1. General Industries Ltd., No. 62, Canal Road, Hendala.	Tyre retreading, manufacture of printing ink and fruit canning.
2. Ceychem Industries, No. 228, Minuwangoda Road, Kotugoda.	Manufacture of Sodiun Silicate
3. Timber Sawing Mill, Sarvodaya Mawatha, Ekala, Ja-Ela.	Mechanised sawing of timber
4. Chemical Milling Inds., 520, Losinjara Mola Watte, Nungamugoda, Kelaniya.	Clay, Sea shells and animal bones milling and Gamexene D mixing
5. Mihi Jaya Metal Inds., Mahara, Kadawatha.	Metal Quarrying
6. Indo-Ceylon Leather Co., Ltd., No. 80, Prince Street, Colombo 11. (Factory at Galborella, Kelaniya)	Processing of leather
7. Leather Merchants, No. 148, Prince Street, Colombo 11. (Factory at Gonawala, Kelaniya)	Processing of Leather
8. Sultan Leather Co., No. 84, Prince Street, Colombo 11. (Factory at Gonawala, Kelaniya)	Processing of Leather
9. Haja Mirbaha Co., 110/2, Prince Street, Colombo 11. (Factory at Gonawala, Kelaniya)	Processing of Leather
10. Soya Engineering Ltd., No. 66/16, Mudali Mawatha, Off Canal Road, Hendala.	Engineering fabrication works and paint mixing

Enterprises which are registered with the GCEC are only those whose project applications are approved by the GCEC and who have entered into an Agreement with the Commission under Section 17 of the GCEC Law No. 4 of 1978.

(c) Does not arise.

කත්තොට වගා නිලධාරී : විනය පරීක්ෂණය  
கத்தொட்ட விவசாய உத்தியோகத்தர் : ஒழுக்காற்று விசாரணை  
KATHTHOTA CULTIVATION OFFICER : DISCIPLINARY  
INQUIRY

309/85

7. එම්. හලීම් ඉෂාක් මහතා (ලක්ෂමන් ජයකොඩි මහතා—අත්තනගල්ල—වෙනුවට)

(*ஜனாப் எம். ஹலீம் இஷாக்—திரு. லக்ஷமன் ஜயக்கொடி—அத்தன கல்ல—சார்பாக*)

(Mr. M. Haleem Ishak on behalf of Mr. Lakshman Jayakody - Attanagalla)

කෘෂිකාර්මික සංවර්ධනය හා පර්යේෂණ දැමෙනුමා සහ ආහාර හා සමුපකාර දැමෙනුමාගෙන් අයුු ප්‍රශ්නය :

(අ) (i) අත්තනගල්ල ආසනයේ අංක 317 කත්තොට වගා නිලධාරීවරයාගේ වැඩ තහනම් කරන ලද්දේ කවදා? (ii) එයට හේතුව කුමක්ද? (iii) ඒ සම්බන්ධව විනය පරීක්ෂණයක් පවත්වන ලද්දේද? (iv) එසේ නම් ඒ කවදාද? (v) එම පරීක්ෂණ වාර්තාව සහාගත කරනවාද?

(ආ) (i) මුහු තැවෙන සේවයේ පිහිටුවා තිබේද? (ii) එසේ කිරීමට හේතුව කුමක්ද?

விவசாய அபிவிருத்தி, ஆராய்ச்சி அமைச்சரும் உணவு, கூட்டுறவு அமைச்சருமானவரைக் கேட்ட வினா :

(அ) (i) அத்தனகல்ல தொகுதி 317 ஆம் இலக்க கத்தொட்ட விவசாய உத்தியோகத்தரின் வேலை இடைநிறுத்தல் செய்யப்பட்டதெப்பொழுது?

(ii) அதற்கான காரணம் யாது?

(iii) இது சம்பந்தமாக ஒழுக்காற்று விசாரணை நடத்தப்பட்டதா?

(iv) ஆமெனில், அது எப்பொழுது நடைபெற்றது?

(v) அவ்விசாரணை அறிக்கையை சபையில் சமர்ப்பிப்பாரா?

(ஆ) (i) இவர் மீண்டும் சேவையில்மர்த்தப்பட்டாரா?

(ii) அவ்விதம் செய்யக் காரணமென்ன?

asked the Minister of Agricultural Development and Research and Minister of Food and Co-operatives :

(a) (i) When was the Cultivation Officer of No. 317, Kaththota in the Attanagalla electorate interdicted?

(ii) What was the reason?

(iii) Was a disciplinary inquiry held in that regard?

(iv) If so, when?

(v) Will the inquiry report be tabled?

(b) (i) Has he been re-instated?

(ii) What was the reason for the re-instatement?

හමනි ජයසූරිය මහතා (කෘෂිකාර්මික සංවර්ධනය හා පර්යේෂණ පිළිබඳ දැමෙනුමා සහ ආහාර හා සමුපකාර දැමෙනුමා)

(*திரு. காமனி ஜயசூரிய—விவசாய அபிவிருத்தி, ஆராய்ச்சி அமைச்சரும் உணவு, கூட்டுறவு அமைச்சரும்*)

(Mr. Gamani Jayasuriya - Minister of Agricultural Development & Research and Minister of Food and Co-operatives)

(a) (i) On 10.11.1983.

(ii) Failure to remit to the Attanagalla Agrarian Service Committee a sum of Rs. 4,010/60 belonging to the Committee.

(மாதிரி சம்பளம் மீது)

(iii) No.

(iv) Does not arise.

(v) Does not arise.

(b) (i) Yes.

(ii) A charge sheet was issued and the officer pleaded guilty to the charges and paid back the money belonging to the Committee. Disciplinary punishment too was meted out to him.

சி. வி. ராமச்சந்திரன் : பிழை செய்து  
திரு. சி. வி. ராமச்சந்திரன் : ஓய்வூதியம்

MR. C. V. RAMACHANDRA : PENSION

355/85

8. சி. வி. ராமச்சந்திரன் மீது (மரணம் மூலம் தள்ளிவைக்கப்பட்ட) மீது—கடலாண்மை—  
வேலை

(ஜனாப எம். ஹலீம் இஷாக்—திரு. சரத் முத்தையா—கலவானா—  
சார்பாக)

(Mr. M. Haleem Ishak—on behalf of Mr. Sarath Muttetuwegama—Kalawana)

சுரீலேதேவ மீது சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால்:

(அ) (i) சி. வி. ராமச்சந்திரன் மீது சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால் 1984. 5. 6 ன்று சி. வி. ராமச்சந்திரன் மீது:

(ii) இதுதான் சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால், இதுதான் சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால் E 2729 ன்று:

(iii) இதுதான் சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால் தவிர வேறு ஏதாவது:

(அ) இதுதான் சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால் தவிர வேறு ஏதாவது:

(ஆ) சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால் தவிர வேறு ஏதாவது:

தபால், தந்திப் போக்குவரத்து அமைச்சரைக் கேட்ட வினா :

(அ) (i) உடனடியாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட வேண்டிய தபால், தந்திப் போக்குவரத்து அமைச்சரைக் கேட்ட வினா :  
என்பவர் தந்தித் தொடர்புகள் திணைக்களத்தில் "லென்ஸ்மென்" ஆகக் கட்டியபிற்பாடு 5.6.1984 இல் இணைப்பாளியாக என்பதையும் ;

(ii) இவர் இறுதியாகக் கட்டியபிற்பாடு இடம் இரத்தினபுரி, இவரது இலக்கம் இ 2729 என்பதையும் ;

(iii) இவரது ஓய்வூதியம் இன்னும் வழங்கப்படவில்லை என்பதையும் ; அவர் அறிவாரா ?

(ஆ) உடனடியாக இவரது ஓய்வூதியம் கொடுக்கப்படுவதை அவர் கவனிப்பாரா ?

(இ) இல்லையென்றால், ஏன் ?

asked the Minister of Posts and Telecommunications :

(a) (i) Is he aware that C.V. Ramachandra, of Uda Niriella, retired from the post of linesman, in the Telecommunication Department on .05/06/1984 ?

(ii) That his last station was Ratnapura and that his number was E 2729 ?

(iii) That he has not been paid his pension as yet ?

(b) Will he see that his pension is paid immediately ?

(c) If not, why ?

சி. வி. ராமச்சந்திரன் மீது (மரணம் மூலம் தள்ளிவைக்கப்பட்ட) மீது—கடலாண்மை—  
வேலை

(ஜனாப எம். ஏ. அப்துல் மஜீத் — தபால், தந்திப் போக்குவரத்துப் பிரதி அமைச்சர்)

(Mr. M. A. Abdul Majeed—Deputy Minister of Posts and Telecommunications)

(a) (i) No. Mr. C. V. Ramachandra has retired on 06.06.1984.

(ii) Yes. His correct No. is E 1729.

(iii) The Director of Pensions has by his letter No. PN (I) 322/09 of 30.05.1985 informed the C.A., Ratnapura to pay his pension.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) Does not arise.

சி. வி. ராமச்சந்திரன் மீது : சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால்

திரு. டபிள்யூ. ஜி. பியதாச : பிணைப்பணம், ஊ. சே. நி.

MR. W. G. PIYADASA : SECURITY DEPOSIT AND EPF

378/85

9. சி. வி. ராமச்சந்திரன் மீது (மரணம் மூலம் தள்ளிவைக்கப்பட்ட) மீது—  
வேலை

(ஜனாப எம். ஹலீம் இஷாக்—திரு. சரத் முத்தையா—கலவானா—  
சார்பாக)

சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால், சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால், சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால், சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால்

(அ) காரணமின்றி சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால், சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால், சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால், சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால்

(ஆ) இதுதான் சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால், சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால், சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால், சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால்

(இ) இதுதான் சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால், சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால், சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால், சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால்

(ஈ) சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால், சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால், சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால், சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால்

(ஊ) சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால், சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால், சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால், சம்பளம் குறைவாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதால்

போக்குவரத்து அமைச்சரும், போக்குவரத்துச் சபைகள் அமைச்சரும், தனியார் பஸ் போக்குவரத்து அமைச்சரும், வர்த்தக, கைத்தொழில் நிறுவனங்கள் பாதுகாப்பு அமைச்சருமானவரைக் கேட்ட வினா :

(அ) காரியமடித்த, தென்பெருமையில் வசிக்கும் திரு. டபிள்யூ. ஜி. பியதாச இலங்கை போக்குவரத்துச் சபையில் நடாத்துநாகப் பணியாற்றி இணைப்பாளியுள்ளாரென்பதை அவர் அறிவாரா ?

(ஆ) இவரால் வைப்புச் செய்யப்பட்ட பிணைப்பணம் இற்றைவரை இவருக்குத் திருப்பிச் செலுத்தப்படவில்லையென்பதை அவர் அறிவாரா ?

(இ) இவரது ஊழியர் சேமலாப நிதி இலக்கம் 86220 என்பதையும் இற்றைவரை இச்சேமலாப நிதியும் இவருக்குச் செலுத்தப்படவில்லையென்பதையும் அவர் அறிவாரா ?

(ஈ) இப்பிணைப்பணத்தையும் சேமலாப நிதியையும் தாமதமின்றி இவருக்கு வழங்க அவர் உடனடியாக நடவடிக்கை மேற்கொள்வாரா ?

(ஊ) இன்றேல், ஏன் ?

asked the Minister of Transport and Minister for Transport Boards and Minister for Private Omnibus Transport and Minister for Security of Commercial and Industrial Establishments :

- (a) Is he aware that Mr. W. G. Piyadasa of Debokkawa, Kariyamadiththa worked as a Conductor in the C.T.B. and that he is now retired ?
- (b) Is he aware that the sum of money deposited by him as Security has not been paid back to him as yet.
- (c) Is he aware that his Provident Fund Number is 86220 and that the Provident Fund too has not been paid to him as yet ?
- (d) Will he take immediate steps to pay him his deposit and the Provident Fund without delay ?
- (e) If not, why ?

එච්. ඩී. අබේරත්න මහතා (නියෝජ්‍ය ගමනාගමන මණ්ඩල ඇමතිතුමා)

(திரு. எச். பி. அபேரத்ன — போக்குவரத்துச் சபைகள் பிரதி அமைச்சர்)

(Mr. H. B. Abevratne Deputy Minister for Transport Boards)

- (a) Yes. He was last employed at the Ambalantota bus depot of the Southern Region Transport Board from where he had been dismissed on 05.07.1983 and not retired.
- (b),
- (c) & (d) Yes.
- (e) Does not arise.

**පොද්ගලිකව දැනුම් දීමෙන් ඇසූ ප්‍රශ්නය**

தனி அறிவித்தல் மூலம் வினா

**QUESTION BY PRIVATE NOTICE**

දතුරු සහ තැනෙහි පළාත් මායිම්වල පිහිටි ගම්වලට ත්‍රස්තවාදී තර්ජන

வடக்கு, கிழக்கு எல்லைகளில் உள்ள கிராமங்களுக்கு

பயங்கரவாத அச்சுறுத்தல்

**TERRORIST THREATS TO VILLAGES BORDERING NORTHERN AND EASTERN PROVINCES**

මෙමුචල සේනානායක මහතා (මැදවිවිස)

(திரு. மைத்திரிபால சேனாநாயக்க — மதவாச்சி)

(Mr. Maithripala Senanayake - Medawachchiva)

I understand that there will be no Adjournment Motion today. Therefore, with your permission, may I be permitted to ask a question about which I gave notice yesterday. The Hon. Minister of National Security is prepared to answer it.

කථනායකතුමා

(சபாநாயகர் அவர்கள்)

(Mr. Speaker)

Does the House agree ?

මන්ත්‍රීවරු

(அங்கத்தினர்)

(Members)

Aye.

මෙමුචල සේනානායක මහතා

(திரு. மைத்திரிபால சேனாநாயக்க)

(Mr. Maithripala Senanayake)

ගරු කථනායකතුමා, ගරු ජාතික ආරක්ෂක ඇමතිතුමාගෙන් ඇසීමට බලාපොරොත්තුවන ප්‍රශ්නය මෙකිසි :

- (i) උතුරු මැද පළාතේ සහ තැනෙහි මායිම්වල පිහිටි ගම්වල ජනතාවට සහ දේපලවලට ත්‍රස්තවාදීන්ගෙන් පැමිණෙන හයානක තර්ජන හිරිහැර සහ විනාශකාරී ක්‍රියා ගැන එතුමා දන්නෙහිද?
- (ii) පාවක්කුලම, පලයාරුව, මාක්කුලම, වැලිඔය, වවුනියාව දිස්ත්‍රික්කයේ සිංහල ගම්වලට ද ගෝමරත්කඩවල, නිරියාය, සේරුවිල යන තැනෙහි පළාතේ ගම්වලට ද විශේෂයෙන් පසුගිය දිනවල එල්ල වූ ප්‍රහාරයන් ගැන එතුමා දන්නෙහිද?
- (iii) ආනේකවිස ගමෙහි මහජනතාවට හිරිහැර කොට ජී. ඩී. ගුණපාල මහතාගේ කඩය සම්පූර්ණයෙන්ම කොළලකා ඔහු සතු සියලු දේපල ගෙනයාම ගැන එතුමා දන්නෙහිද?
- (iv) ත්‍රිකෝණමඩු ප්‍රදේශයේ බෝදධ හික්ෂුන් වහන්සේලා ද, උපාසක උපාසිකාවන් පිරිසක් ද තවත් අහිංසක ගම්වැසියන් ද අමු අමුවේ මරාදැමීම ගැන දන්නෙහිද?
- (v) මේ සියලුම ක්‍රියාවන් සම්බන්ධයෙන් විස්තර ප්‍රකාශයක් සහ මෙතෙක් ගෙන ඇති පියවර ගැන සම්පූර්ණ විස්තරයක් මේ ගරු සභාවට එතුමා ඉදිරිපත් කරන්නෙහිද?

ලලීන් ඇතුළත් මුදලි මහතා (ජාතික ආරක්ෂාව පිළිබඳ ඇමතිතුමා සහ නියෝජ්‍ය රාජ්‍ය ආරක්ෂක ඇමතිතුමා)

(திரு. லலித் அத்துலத் முதலி—தேசிய பாதுகாப்பு அமைச்சரும் பிரதிப் பாதுகாப்பு அமைச்சரும்)

(Mr. Lalith Athulath Mudali - Minister of National Security and Deputy Minister of Defence)

I will reply to that series of questions.

Sir, may I also beg your permission to take this opportunity to wish you a very happy day today since it is your Birthday and wish you long life in the years ahead.

කථනායකතුමා

(சபாநாயகர் அவர்கள்)

(Mr. Speaker)

Thank you very much.

ලලීන් ඇතුළත් මුදලි මහතා

(திரு. லலித் அத்துலத் முதலி)

(Mr. Lalith Athulath Mudali)

ගරු කථනායකතුමා, මැදවිවිසේ මන්ත්‍රීතුමා සඳහන් කළ කාරණා ප්‍රශ්න පහක් හැටියට මතු කර තිබෙන්නේ එයින් පලමුවන ප්‍රශ්නයට උත්තරය, "ඔව්", දෙවන ප්‍රශ්නයට උත්තරය "ඔව්", තුන්වන ප්‍රශ්නයට උත්තරය "ඔව්", හතරවන ප්‍රශ්නයට උත්තරය "ඔව්", මේ හැම ආර්.වියක්ම අපට ලැබී තිබෙනවා. ඒවා ගැන හුඹක් දුරට අප පරීක්ෂා කර බලා තිබෙනවා. එතුමාගේ ප්‍රශ්නවලින් පැන නැංවූ කාරණා සහ බව අපි පිළිගන්නවා. එතුමාගේ පස්වන ප්‍රශ්නයට උත්තරයක් දෙන්නට මම බොහෝම කැමතියි. පසුගිය අඟහරුවාද රැස්වීම කල්තබන අවස්ථාවේදී මතු කළ වෙනත් ප්‍රශ්නයකට පිළිතුරු දීමේදී මේ ප්‍රශ්නයට එක්තරා ප්‍රමාණයකට උත්තරයක් දුන්නා. 1985 අගෝස්තු 6 වනදා ගැන්සාඩ් වාර්තාවේ 65 වන තීරුවේ එය පල කර තිබෙනවා. එයට අමතරව මේ අවස්ථාවේදී ප්‍රසිද්ධියේ ප්‍රකාශනයක් කිරීම මේ අයට අපට දෙන්නට පුළුවන් උපකාර ලබා දීමට බාධාවක් වෙන්නට පුළුවන්. ඊට හේතුව මෙකිසි දැනට අපි ඒ සම්බන්ධයෙන් ඇතුළු පියවර ගෙන තිබෙනවා. පියවර ගන්නවා. තමුත් ඒවා ගැන මේ අවස්ථාවේදී ප්‍රසිද්ධියේ ප්‍රකාශයක් කළොත් එම ආර්.විය ත්‍රස්තවාදීන්ට ලැබෙනවාය කියන සැකය උඩ, ප්‍රසිද්ධියේ එවැනි ප්‍රකාශයක් කරන්නේ තැනුව සිටීමට ඔබතුමාගේ අවසරය මම පතනවා. තමුත් ඒ ගරු මන්ත්‍රීතුමාට අවශ්‍ය විස්තර හැම දෙයක්ම පොද්ගලිකව දනගන්නට හෝ



ප්‍ර. හ. 10.22

ජී. ඩී. පුච්චිනිලමේ මහතා (නියාප්ත වනිතා කටයුතු හා ශික්ෂණ රෝහල් පිළිබඳ ඇමතිතුමා)

(ශ්‍රී. ල. ජී. ඩී. පුච්චිනිලමේ — மகளிர் அலுவலகம், போதுதல் வைத்தியசாலைகள் பிரதி அமைச்சர்)

(Mr. G. V. Puchinilame—Deputy Minister of Womens Affairs and Teaching Hospitals)

ගරු කථානායකතුමනි, අදට යෛද්‍ය නිබන්ධන තමුන්තාත්තේදේ උපන් දිනය නිමිත්තෙන් මම ප්‍රථමයෙන්ම තමුන්තාත්තේදේ දිරිසායුෂ පතමින් සුභාශීර්ෂය කරනවා, ඔබතුමාට සුභ කාලයක් මේ රටේ යහතින් ජීවත් වන්නට හේතු වාසනාව ලැබේවා කියා.

ගරු කථානායකතුමනි, තමුන්තාත්තේදේ සුභපතා අපට යන්නට සිදුවී තිබෙන්නේ රෝද 3 කේ කරන්න කතාවටයි. පසුගිය දිනයේ මම කථාව නතර කරන විට කීවා, මම මේ විවාදයට සහභාගි වන්නට හේතුව මොකක්ද කියා. හිටපු කර්මාන්ත ඇමතිතුමා ආණ්ඩුවට විරුද්ධව වෛද්‍ය ඉදිරිපත් කලා. එතුමාගේ කථාවේදී එතුමා මෙන්න මෙතෙම කීවා. 1985 අප්‍රේල් 10 වැනි දින හැන්සාඩ් වාර්තාවේ 1401 වන තීරුවෙන් මම කියවන්නම් :

“Someone must be right at the top. There is so much of work to do, so much of embezzlement, so much of frauds in tenders. . . .”

දැන් මේ “frauds in tenders” කියන කොට අපට මතක් වෙනවා, උසාවිය, දැනට උසාවියෙන් මේවා ගැන කියැවෙනවා. ඒ පත්තර වික කියවේවා නම් — අද පත්තරය වුණේ කියවේවොත් — මම දන්නේ නැහැ, කැලණියේ ගරු මන්ත්‍රීතුමා ගැන මේ රටේ මිනිසුන් මොනවා හිතනවාද කියා. “frauds in tenders” ගැනත් කියලා තියෙනවා. මෙන්න මේවා ගැන ඇහුවට තමයි, මමත් කතා කරන්න ඕනෑ කියලා හිතුවේ. මොකද ? අපි ඔක්කොම — තමුන්තාත්තේදේ ඇතුළු අපි ඔක්කොම — හොරු හැටියට එද හැදින්නවා. එම හැන්සාඩ් වාර්තාවේ තවදුරටත් මෙසේ තිබෙනවා :

“so much of frauds in tenders, so much of purchase of arms without calling for tenders, so much of corruption going on, so much of attacks by ‘tigers’. They are not involved in that. They were asked to find out—”

And he goes on to say that in defence he has to say something. That is also stated here. The hon. Member for Kelaniya says that he has to say something. It may be true or false, but whatever it is—

මම මේ කැඟවීමට මොකද ? ආණ්ඩුවේ මන්ත්‍රීවරයෙක් හැටියට. ආණ්ඩුවට වෛද්‍යවත් කලාම, “that we are corrupt” තැන්නම් අපි දුෂණයෙන් පිරිලයි කීවාම ඇත්ත නැද්දලි කර දෙන්න අපේ යුතුකමක් තිබෙනවා. එතෙම නම් දුෂණ ක්‍රියා — corruption — පටන් අරගෙන තිබෙන්නේ එතුමාගේ අමාත්‍යාංශයෙනුයි. එතුමා තමයි පටන් අරගෙන තිබෙන්නේ. අපේ ගරු මුදල් ඇමතිතුමා යෝජනාවක් ඉදිරිපත් කර තිබෙනවා ස්වයං රැකියා යෝජනා ක්‍රමය — එස්. ජී. ඩී. කැන්තම් self-Employment Projects — රජයට පවරා ගත යුතුයි කියා. එය පවරා ගැනීම පමණක් මදී අපේ අගැලවින්නේ ගරු මන්ත්‍රීතුමා (මෙරිල් කාර්යවසම් මහතා) කීවා විශාල වංචාවක් මේක ඇතුළේ තිබෙනවාය කියා. විශාල වංචාවක් තිබෙනවා නම් අපි මේ ගැන විභාගයක් පවත්වන්න ඕනෑ. ඒ විභාගය පවත්වන්නට තමයි, මේ සමාගම රජය යටතට පවරා ගත යුතුය කියන්නේ. ඒ යෝජනාව ඉදිරිපත් කරන විට අපේ හිටපු කර්මාන්ත හා විදු කටයුතු ඇමතිතුමාට ලොකු කැක්කුමක් ඇති වුණේ. මෙය පොද්ගලික සමාගමක්. මේ පොද්ගලික සමාගම ආණ්ඩුවට පවරා ගන්නවාට එතුමා විරුද්ධයි. එතුමා විරුද්ධ වී මොකක්ද කළේ ? එතුමා විරුද්ධ වී එක පාරටම කළ වැඩේ එතුමාගේ කථාවේම කියලා තිබෙනවා. කොමර 101. බාත්ස් ප්ලේස්. කියන තැන හරි ප්‍රසිද්ධ තැනක්. 101. බාත්ස් ප්ලේස්, කියන ස්ථානයට සේප්පුවක් අරගෙන ගොස් තිබෙනවා. එය යකඩ සේප්පුවක්. එස්.ජී.ඩී. සමාගමට අයිති ලියවිලි ඔක්කොම තිබෙන.

“I think I made very clear the reasons for the cupboard having been removed to No. 101, Barnes Place.”

ඒ කියන්නේ කැලණිය ගරු මන්ත්‍රීතුමාගේ ගෙදරට මේ සේප්පුව අරගෙන ගොස් තිබෙනවා. මේ සමාගම පොද්ගලික සමාගමක් නම් එතුමා මේ සේප්පුව අරගෙන ගියේ මොකටද ? මේ සමාගම ආණ්ඩුවට ගැනීම ගැන එතුමාට තිබෙන කැක්කුම මොකක්ද ? කැක්කුම ඇතිවෙන්න හේතුව මම හිතන්නේ, මොනවා හරි රහස් තිබෙන තියා වෙන්න ඇති. ඒ රහස් හෙළි වෙනවාට බියෙන් තමයි, මේ සේප්පුව අරගෙන ගොස් එක් තැන්පත් කරගෙන තිබෙන්නේ.

ගරු කථානායකතුමනි, දැන් මගේ කථාව පටන් ගන්න කලින් මම පොඩි කවියක් කියන්න බලාපොරොත්තු වෙනවා. මේ ගරු සභාවේ කවි කියන්නට අපට අවසර තිබෙනවාද ? මම ගරු කථානායකතුමාගෙන් කවියක් කීමට අවසර ඉල්ලන්නේ, එතෙම කොකලොත් ඔබතුමා මට එළියට බහින්න කියා තිබෙන හය තියයි.

ආර්. ජී. ජී. ද මැල් මහතා (මුදල් හා ක්‍රම සම්පාදන ඇමතිතුමා)  
(ශ්‍රී. ල. ජී. ජී. ද මැල් — நிதி, அமைப்புத்திட்ட அமைச்சர்)  
(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel—Minister of Finance and Planning)

කවි නායකයා විනයට ගිහින්න.

ජී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ මහතා  
(ශ්‍රී. ල. ජී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. Puchinilame)

නාලයට කවි කියන්න අවසර ලබා ගන්න ඔනෑ. දැන් මම ඒ කවිය කියන්නම්.

කරන කලට පවී මහිරය	මිසේ
විදින කලට දුක් දඩුවෙයි	ගිණිසේ
හැදින එපවී දුරලන	උපදේසේ
නුදුක මැනවී තුන් දෙර	අවකාසේ

මේ කවිය මම නාලයට කියන්නේ නැහැ. නාලයට කියන්න හොඳ නැහැ නේ. “කරන කලට පවී මහිරය මිසේ”. ගරු කථානායකතුමනි, කැලණිය ගරු මන්ත්‍රීතුමා අපට මඩ ගහන්න හැදුවාට, අපි දුෂණයෙන් පිරිල ඉන්නවයි කීවාට, එතුමාට මතක ඇති “කරන කලට පවී මහිරය මිසේ” හාමුදුරුවරුන්ගේ ඔලු පැලුවා. අපේ රටේ ඉන්න නායකයින්ට, අපේ රටේ ඉන්න විසඳන්නට කොයෙක් දේවල් කලා. තවත් දේවල් කලා. ඒ තමයි “කරන කලට පවී මහිරය මිසේ — විදින කලට දුක් දඩ වෙයි ගිණිසේ” කියන්නේ. අද හන්නේ දවෙනවා. මේ වික අපටත් වෙනවා. අප හැමෝටම මෙය වෙනවා. ආණ්ඩුවලටත් වෙලා තිබෙනවා. බලය තිබුණ පලියට අපි එය අතියි විධියට පාවිච්චි කරන්න යන්න හොඳ නැහැ. කට තිබුණට කට අතියි විධියට පාවිච්චි කරන්න යන්න හොඳ නැහැ. ඒවා කලොත් අපි ඔක්කොටම අර කවියේ තිබෙන ප්‍රතිඵල තමයි, ලැබෙන්නේ. “හැදින එපවී දුරලන උපදේසේ — නුදුක මැනවී තුන් දෙර අවකාසේ” සිත, කාස, වචන කියන මෙන්න මේ වික අපි හරියට පරිහරණය කලොත් මේ වික සිදුවෙන්නේ නැහැ. කැලණියේ ගරු මන්ත්‍රීතුමා හිතුවා බලසම්පන්න, ගක්ති සම්පන්න ඇමතිවරයෙකු හැටියට මේ ආණ්ඩුව තුළ ඉදගෙන එතුමාට ඔනෑම දෙයක් කරලා ගැලවෙන්න පුළුවන්ය කියා. තමුන් අද හෙළි වෙලා තිබෙනවා. අපි ඔක්කොම දුෂණයෙන් පිරිලය කියා එතුමා අපට කීවා.

Sir, the hon. Member stated that we are oozing with corruption. The whole government is corrupt. That is a very serious allegation. Corruption started there in that Ministry.

අපි එය ඔප්පු කරන්නට තමයි දැන් හදන්නේ. එය ඔප්පු කරන්න විතරක් කොවෙයි. ඒ සමාගම පිහිටුවා, ඒ සමාගම ඇතුළේ ඉදගෙන කළ දුෂණ සියල්ලක්ම හෙළි කර ඒවාට තියි දඩුවම් ලබා දෙන්නටයි ගරු මුදල් ඇමතිතුමා මේ සමාගම රජයට පවරා ගන්නට හදන්නේ. එතෙම නම් දැන් අපි කථාවට බහිමු.

ගරු කථානායකතුමනි, “සී/ස ස්වයං රැකියා යෝජනා ක්‍රම” සීමාසහිත පොද්ගලික වෙළඳ සමාගමක් පමණක් නම් එය රජයට පවරා ගැනීම ගැන කැලණියේ ගරු මන්ත්‍රීතුමාට (සිරිල් මැතිවී මහතා) තිබෙන කැක්කුම මොකක්ද කියා මම අහන්නට කැමතියි. අපට කැක්කුමක් තැනී වුණාට එතුමාට කැක්කුමක් තිබෙනවා. ඊට හේතුව මෙයයි. “සී/ස මේ ස්වයං රැකියා යෝජනා ක්‍රම” සමාගම හද අවසන් වී රජයෙන් මුදල් අරගෙන තිබෙනවා. මෙහි ඉතිහාසය බොහොම දීර්ඝ එකක්. ඒ ඉතිහාසය දැන් මෙතැන මා ලඟ තිබෙනවා.

මේ එස්.ජී.ඩී. සමාගමේ එස්.ජී.ඩී. ලීමට්ටි ප්‍රොජෙක්ට් — මුලාරම්භකයා හිටපු කර්මාන්ත ඇමතිතුමා කොවෙයි, අපේ ප්‍රවාහන ඇමති එම්. එච්. මොහමඩ් මැතිතුමායි. එතුමා තමයි, මේ සමාගම හදන්නට මුල් වුණේ. මොකද ? හෙබඩලාබෝයි සමාගමේ “ට්‍රයිනෝස්” එළවු සහෝදර මුඩ්වර්

[ජී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ මහතා]

මහත්වරුන්ගේ ලොකු කලබලයකින් තිබුණා. අවුරුද්දක් විතර ඒක තිබුණා. එම නිසා සමාගමක් හදා ප්‍රධානියා පාලනය භාරගන්නට සිදු වුණා. එතැනදී රජය ඉදිරිපත් වුණේ, එතැනදී ගරු ප්‍රධානන ඇමතිතුමා යෝජනාවක් ඉදිරිපත් කලා. මෙතන මේක මම කියවන්න ඕනෑ. මෙහි ඉතිහාසය හුඟක් උදව් දන්නේ නෑහැ. ඒ වගේම කැලණියේ ගරු මන්ත්‍රීතුමා (පිරිල් මැතිව මහතා) මෙය වසන් කර "වතෙන් මරෝ" භාෂාවෙනුයි කීව්වේ. අපි වැරදිකාරයන් කැටයට පෙන්නා කොළේ වගලා ගන්නටයි හැඳුවේ. ඒ නිසා මෙහි ඉතිහාසය මම කියන්නට ඕනෑ. ගරු කථානායකතුමනි. මම එය මුල සිට කියවන්නම.

" S.E.P. LIMITED PROJECT

(a) The Hon'ble Minister of Transport, Mr. M. H. Mohamed sent a Cabinet Paper on 3.1.80 to settle the dispute between the drivers and Hebtulabhoy Co. Ltd."

That was on 3.1.1980.

"The decision of the Cabinet on 16.1.80 was to appoint a Sub Committee including the Minister of Transport as Chairman, the Minister of Industries & Scientific Affairs and the Minister of Trade & Shipping as Members of the Sub Committee.

The 2nd Cabinet Paper was submitted by the Ministry of Industries & Scientific Affairs on 29.1.80 to seek permission from the Government to manage the trishaws by the Jathika Sevaka Sangamaya (JSS) on Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation (SLTIC) directions.

The decision of the Cabinet came on 19.3.80 to present a full report on this matter indicating whether approval has been granted to SLTIC to operate the Passenger Service."

The 3rd Cabinet Paper is very interesting.

"The 3rd Cabinet Paper originated from the Ministry of Industries & Scientific Affairs on 29th April 1980 explaining the financial position of the SLTIC to run the Trishaw Passenger Service System.

The decision of the Cabinet was not very specific in permitting SLTIC to operate the Trishaw System.

The Cabinet decision has come on 4.6.80.

(b) Although the Cabinet decision on this matter has come on 4.6.80 the SLTIC purchased the trishaws from Hebtulabhoy Co. Ltd. around 19th January '80".

Now Sir, you can see how the Hon. Minister has acted. The Cabinet decision has not come but yet before the Cabinet decision, the Hon. Minister, the present Member for Kelaniya, goes and spends Rs. 15 million and buys these trishaws. So you can just imagine how powerful he would have been. This is corruption. This amounts to corruption. The hon. Member was trying to say that the entire government is corrupt. That is why I am trying to point out, Sir, that we are not corrupt. The hon. Member for Kelaniya is the one who started corruption.

"On 19.1.1980 the SLTIC Board decided to purchase the trishaws as Mr. Ganeshalingam has informed the Board that the Minister of Industries & Scientific Affairs informed him that the Cabinet Sub Committee has approved the purchase of trishaws by the SLTIC."

Now Sir, you can see how important this Mr. K. Ganeshalingam had been. .... (Expunged)..... Whatever it is, see this decision !

කථානායකතුමා  
(ආරාධනාකරු) (අවුරුදු)  
(Mr. Speaker)

I do not think the hon. Member should descend to personal references.

ජී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ මහතා  
(ති. ඉ. ඩී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. Puchinilame)

I am sorry Sir. I withdraw that.

කථානායකතුමා  
(ආරාධනාකරු) (අවුරුදු)  
(Mr. Speaker)

We have to maintain the standard of debate in this House. Whatever it is, you are attacking a Colleague of yours.

ජී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ මහතා  
(ති. ඉ. ඩී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. Puchinilame)

No, Sir, I am not attacking.

කථානායකතුමා  
(ආරාධනාකරු) (අවුරුදු)  
(Mr. Speaker)

Do not descend to personalities.

ජී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ මහතා  
(ති. ඉ. ඩී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. Puchinilame)

I am very sorry, Sir. I am not attacking anybody.

කථානායකතුමා  
(ආරාධනාකරු) (අවුරුදු)  
(Mr. Speaker)

Please withdraw that statement,

ජී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ මහතා  
(ති. ඉ. ඩී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. Puchinilame)

I withdraw it.

කථානායකතුමා  
(ආරාධනාකරු) (අවුරුදු)  
(Mr. Speaker)

And it should be expunged from the Hansard.

ජී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ මහතා  
(ති. ඉ. ඩී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. Puchinilame)

I withdraw it, Sir. But I am not attacking anybody.

කථානායකතුමා  
(ආරාධනාකරු) (අවුරුදු)  
(Mr. Speaker)

You referred to his "Ge" name.

ජී. ඩී. පුංචිචලමේ මහතා  
(ති.රු. ජී. ඩී. ඩී. පුංචිචලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

Sir, I said I am very sorry and I will withdraw it.

කථානායකතුමා  
(ආරාධනාකරු)  
(Mr. Speaker)

It is a below the belt shot. You must not do that.

ජී. ඩී. පුංචිචලමේ මහතා  
(ති.රු. ජී. ඩී. ඩී. පුංචිචලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

I am very sorry and I withdraw that.

කථානායකතුමා  
(ආරාධනාකරු)  
(Mr. Speaker)

It will be expunged from the Hansard.

ජී. ඩී. පුංචිචලමේ මහතා  
(ති.රු. ජී. ඩී. ඩී. පුංචිචලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

But I am not attacking a fellow Member, Sir. I am not attacking. I am only criticizing. I am trying to defend the Government and ourselves including you, Sir, because a serious charge had been made that we are all corrupt. You were also a Minister at that time, Sir.

Mr. Ganeshalingam says that he had been informed by the Minister of Industries and Scientific Affairs that the Cabinet has allowed them to purchase these. This was on the 19th of January 1980, but the Cabinet decision came on 4.6.1980. It came five months later. Now you can see, Sir, how the Hon. Minister has acted as Minister of Industries and Scientific Affairs. I just cannot imagine how the Hon. Minister has said that we are all corrupt. Now, this is corruption. Without a decision from Cabinet the Hon. Minister uses his power as Minister to do as he wants and he tells Mr. Ganeshalingam, "All right, you go and buy these spend fifteen million rupees from the Ceylon Tobacco Corporation and buy this private company." This is one point, Sir.

දැන් අපි ඊළඟට එන්නට ඔබා මෙන්න මේ කාරණයට. මේ සමාගම ඇති කරන්නට හේතුව මොකක්ද? මෙතේ හුඟක් රහ තිබිලා තියෙනවා. හුඟක් කැම තිබිලා තියෙනවා. මේ කැම කන්නට ගිහිල්ලා තමයි අමාරුවේ වැටුණේ. කැලණිය ගරු මන්ත්‍රීතුමා (සිරිල් මැතිව මහතා) අපට වෛද්‍ය කළ අපි "කරපට" කියා I am only trying to safeguard ourselves අපි දුෂණයෙන් පිරිලය කිව්වා. මෙතැන තවත් එකක් තියෙනවා. මෙතුමා "හෙබ්ලියාබෝයි" සමාගමෙන් මේ රෝද තුනේ සමාගමට පවරාගෙන, මේ රෝද තුනේ සමාගම පාලනය කරන්න තැවන වරක් මෙතුමාම සමාගමක් හැදුවා. ඒ සමාගමේ ඉන්න සාමාජිකයෝ කවුද? එතුමා ඉන්නවා නායකත්වයේ. - මා ලග ඒකේ රෙජිස්ටර්ඩ් කොපියක් තියෙනවා. - ඒකත් මම මේ තමුත්තාත්සේටත් මේ ගරු සභාවටත් කියන්න ඔබා. මේ සඳහා සමාගමක් හදන්නටය කියා තියෙන්නේ කේ. ගනේෂ්ලිංගම්ට. හැබැයි මේකේ සහාපතිතුමාට කිව්වේ පමණක් කියලයි. අද ඉන්න අපේ කර්මාන්ත ඇමතිතුමාට කිව්වේ පමණක් පැටලිවී තරියෙක් කියලයි. මට තම දැන් පේන්නේ කැලණියේ ගරු මන්ත්‍රීතුමා විලිය කපා ගන්න තරියක් වගේය

කියලයි. අප හැමෝමම විලිය කපන්නයි ලැස්ති වුණේ. තමුත්තාත්සේටත් අපේ ඔක්කෝට විලිය කපන්නයි හැදුවේ. එතුමාගේ විලිය කපාගෙන ඉවර වෙලා. ඒ නිසයි මම මෙතෙම කියන්නේ. මේවා කියන්නම ඔබා. ඒකයි මම කියන්නේ එතුමා විලිය කපා ගන්න තරියක් වගේ කියලා. අපට වෛද්‍ය කළ අපි හොරු කියලා. අපි හොරු වෙන්නට දැමීමා කිව්වා. හොරු වෙන්නට දුටු ඒවා උසාවියේ තිබෙනවා. අද පත්‍රය කියවෙන්නේ. ඒකේ පත්‍රය කියවෙන්නේ ඒ දෙන කාක්කි කියවෙන්නේ තමුත්තාත්සේට පෙනේවි ඇමතිවරයෙක් හැටියට ඉඳගෙන කවුද මේවා කරලා තියෙන්නේ කියලා.

'හෙබ්ලියාබෝයි' සමාගමෙන් ගන්නා, එස්. ඊ. පී. එකට. එස්. ඊ. පී. එකෙන් අරගෙන එතුමාම සමාගමක් හැදුවා. ඒ සමාගමේ -

කථානායකතුමා  
(ආරාධනාකරු)  
(Mr. Speaker)

I wish to remind the hon. Member for Ratnapura that you cannot refer to a matter that is before the Court.

ජී. ඩී. පුංචිචලමේ මහතා  
(ති.රු. ජී. ඩී. ඩී. පුංචිචලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

But I never referred to that.

මන්ත්‍රීවරයෙක්  
(අමාත්‍යවරයා)  
(A Member)

Why not, you have.

ජී. ඩී. පුංචිචලමේ මහතා  
(ති.රු. ජී. ඩී. ඩී. පුංචිචලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

In that case the entire thing will be out.

කථානායකතුමා  
(ආරාධනාකරු)  
(Mr. Speaker)

It is true that it is in the newspaper, but you cannot make a reference to that sort of thing.

ජී. ඩී. පුංචිචලමේ මහතා  
(ති.රු. ජී. ඩී. ඩී. පුංචිචලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

I never referred to it. I referred to the newspaper only.

කථානායකතුමා  
(ආරාධනාකරු)  
(Mr. Speaker)

But anyway be careful, I am only warning you.

ජී. ඩී. පුංචිචලමේ මහතා  
(ති.රු. ජී. ඩී. ඩී. පුංචිචලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

Yes, Sir, I will never refer to anything that is before the courts. I am very sorry, Sir, if you misunderstood me.

මෙහි තියෙනවා "නිරෝමා" සමාගම කියලා. මෙතැන තියෙනවා සමාගම දෙකක්. ගරු කථානායකතුමා, එකකින් කාලා මද්දේලා දෙකකින් කන්න ලැබුණි වුණා. මේ දෙකේම ඉන්නේ කවුද? දෙකේම ඉන්නේ පවුලේ සාමාජිකයෝයි. තාත්තා, පුතා, දුව, බානා, නෝනා මහත්මයා ඔක්කෝට මේ

[ඒ. ඩී. ප්‍රංචිකිලමේ මහතා]

සමාගමේ ඉන්තවා. මේ සමාගමෙහුන් ලස්සන වැඩ විකක් කර තියෙනවා. මම ඒවාත් එකින් එක කියන්න ඕනෑ. මොකද. මේ දුෂණ මඩ පහර අපට ගහන්න හැදූ නිසා. අපි කැලණියේ ගරු මනිත්‍රීතුමාට පෙන්නුම් කර දෙන්න ඕනෑ. කවුද දුෂකයෝ කියලා.

මේ ත්‍රි රෝද කථාව කියන කොට ගරු කථානායකතුමනි, විපක්ෂය හිතුවා "වැඩේ හරය" කියලා. පලමුවෙනි දවසේ උඩ පැන පැන සිතා වෙලි. පුළුවන් තරම් "සපෝර්ට්" දුන්නා. පසුගිය අවුරුදු අටටම ඒ ගොල්ලටත් අවස්ථාව ලැබුණේ මේ පොඩ්ඩ විතරයි. ඒ වුණත් අපි ඇත්ත කියන්නට ඕනෑ. රජයේ ඇමතිවරයෙකු නිසා, මන්ත්‍රීවරයෙකු නිසා කවුද, වරදක් කලත්, ඒ වැරද්ද වැරද්දමයි. වරද කරලා ඒක අප පිට ගහන්න හදනොත් අපි ඇත්ත තේරුම්කර දෙන්න ඕනෑ. එක සමාගමක් හද තිබෙනවා, මෙතුමා ඇමතිවරයා හැටියට ඉන්දද්දී. මේ කැලණියේ ගරු මන්ත්‍රීතුමා කර්මාන්ත හා විද්‍යා කටයුතු ඇමති වරයා හැටියට ඉන්දද්දී එතුමා "කැලණිය පවුන්ඩේෂන්" කියා එකක්. මේ "කැලණිය පවුන්ඩේෂන්" කියන එක හද තිබෙන්නේ මෙතෙමයි. මා ගාව තිබෙනවා කැලණිය පවුන්ඩේෂන් එකේ රෙජිස්ට්‍රේෂන් කොපි එක. මේ කැලණිය පවුන්ඩේෂන් එක හදලා තිබෙන්නේ කැලණියේ සංවර්ධනය සඳහායි. කැලණිය ආසනය දියුණු කිරීම සඳහායි. නමුත් සංවර්ධනය වී තිබෙන්නේ කවුද කියලා අපි හොයල බලන්න ඕනෑ. කැලණිය සංවර්ධනය කරන්න මේ මුදල් එක්කාසු කරලා අරගෙන මේ සමාගම සංවර්ධනය වුණේ කොහොමද. මේ සමාගමේ ඉන්නේ කවුද, ඒ සල්ලිවලට මොකද වුණේ, කියලා අපි හොයල බලන්න ඕනෑ. අපටයි කිව්වේ, දුෂකයෝ කියලා. අපටයි කව්වේ හොරු කියලා.

The hon. Member or Kelaniya said that we are all corrupt. Now we will go into this matter. මේකේ ඉන්නේ කථාවදේවෙත් සිරිල් මැතිවි. Member of Parliament, No. 101, Barnes Place, Colombo 7 නොමිමර එකට. කැලණිය පවුන්ඩේෂන් එකේ ඉන්න සාමාජිකයෝ මේ. ඊතොට කථාවදේවෙත් නන්ද මැතිවි. Member of Parliament, Barnes Place, Colombo 7. ඊතොට Mrs. Caluadewagey Manel Pedris of No. 107, Barnes Place, Colombo 7. ඒ දුටු මම හිතන්නේ. ඊතොට Mrs. Indira Verna Mathew, Housewife, 101 - A, Barnes Place, Colombo 7. ඒ කවුද කියලා මම දන්නේ නැහැ. කවුද වුණත් මේ සමාගම ලස්සනට හදලා තියෙනවා. මේක හදල කල දේවල් මා ගාව තිබෙනවා.

මේක බෝඩ් පේපර් එකක්. ඒකේ මෙතෙම තියෙනව.

I am prepared to table this also. It is dated 15.4.85

**"DONATION TO KELANIYA FOUNDATION :**

The Chairman informed the Board that five loads of Lanka Auto Diesel (Gas Oil) had been granted as an outright donation to the Kelaniya Foundation. ...."

Auto diesel. සීසල් බඩුසර් පහක් දීල තිබෙනව. දත් සිටින කැලණියේ ගරු මන්ත්‍රීවරයා එද ඇමතිවරයා හැටියට ඉන්දද්දී එතුමා නමයි නියෝග කරන්න ඕනෑ. එතුමා නියෝග කර තිබෙනව මටෝ සීසල් බඩුසර් පහක් දීපත් කියලා. නිකං! නොමිලයෝ! කැලණිය පවුන්ඩේෂන් එකේ සල්ලි තැනි නිසා සල්ලි වික වරකර ගන්නට දෙන්නය කිව්වා. "... at the request of the Board of Trustees ...." එතුමාම නමයි ඉන්නේ මේකෙ. පුතා, දුටලා බැණලා තෝන මහත්තුරු ඉන්නේ මේකෙ.

".....It was noted that this Foundation was a charitable organization set up by the M. P. for the purpose of undertaking the development work in Kelaniya where the Refinery was located. ...."

The refinery is not in Kelaniya. The refinery is in Sapugaskande, in the Biyagama electorate.

එතැනත් බොරුව කරල තියෙන්නේ. එතැනත් වංචාව කරල තියෙන්නේ. එතැනත් මහජනතාවට පෙන්නන්න හැදූවේ ආසනය දියුණු කිරීමට කැලණිය පදනම ඈඟි. කලා කියලා; කැලණිය ආසනයේ ඉන්න සාමාන්‍ය මහජනතාවට උදව් කරන්න මේ සල්ලි එකතු කරන්නේ කියලා. නමුත් කැලණිය ආසනයේ නොවෙයි මේක තිබෙන්නේ. ඊපයිනර් කැත්තම්

පිරිපහදුව තිබෙන්නේ කැලණිය ආසනයේ නොවෙයි. බියගම ආසනයේ. එතැනත් වංචාව. අපටයි කිව්වේ. වංචාකාරයෝ කියලා.

".....the Ceylon Petroleum Corporation employees living in close proximity would also benefit by the proposed road improvement".

සීලෝන් පෙට්‍රෝලියම් කෝපරේෂන් එකේ ඉන්න කැලණියේ මිනිසුන්ටත් සහන සලසනවාය කියලයි මේ සමාගම හදල දීල තිබෙන්නේ. මේ සමාගමේ බෝඩ් පේපර් එක මම කියවන්නේ.

"..... Total value of the 5 loads of Auto diesel given in August and September 1984 works out to Rs. 347,509".

බඩුසර් පහක්. තරක නෑ ගැහිල්ල, අපටයි කියන්නේ මේව හොඳ නෑහැ කියලා. ඊට පස්සේ තවත් ලස්සන එකක් තිබෙනව. මතුගම ගරු මන්ත්‍රීතුමා කී දේ හරි. මේකේ විශාල වංචාවක් තිබෙනව, මේ ගැන ගරු කර්මාන්ත හා විද්‍යා කටයුතු ඇමතිතුමා, ගරු මුදල් ඇමතිතුමා වහාම පරීක්ෂණයක් පවත්වන්න ඕනෑ කියලා මතුගම මන්ත්‍රීතුමා කිව්ව එක හරි.

"The dealership of this outlet was subsequently cancelled by the Board of Directors of the Ceylon Petroleum Corporation in March 1985".

මේ හරිය නමයි. හොඳ හරිය. අර විධියේ සමාගමක් හැදුවාට ඒ සමාගමට අයිතියක් නෑහැ. මේ වගේ පාරිභෝගික භාණ්ඩ වර්ග පරිහරණය කරන්න; වෙලා - හෙලදාම කරන්න. ඒ නිසා තෙල් සංස්ථාවේ අධ්‍යක්ෂ මණ්ඩලය මේ සමාගමේ ඒජන්සිය 'කැන්සල්' කලා. එතෙම නම් කැලණියේ ගරු මන්ත්‍රීතුමා කර්මාන්ත හා විද්‍යා කටයුතු පිළිබඳ ඇමතිවරයා වශයෙන් සිටියදී ඒ සමාගමට සීසල් බඩුසර් 5 ක් දීලා, පැලියගොඩ තිබෙන අර පිරවුම් හල දුන්නේ කොහොමද? එතුමාගේ පවුලේ උදව් පමණක් ඒ සමාගමේ ඉන්න නිසා එතුමා වංචාකාරිය, එය දී තිබෙන්නේ.

අනුර බණ්ඩාරනායක මහතා  
(ශ්‍රී ලං. අනුරා පණ්ඩාරනායකේ)  
(Mr. Anura Bandaranaike)

I wish to raise a point of Order. I am not interested in defending the hon. Member for Kelaniya. I have no interest in his welfare at all. But, Sir, may I draw your attention to Standing Order 78. The hon. Member for Ratnapura has repeatedly used the word "වංචා". Standing Order 78 is very clear about this. I will read that, Sir :

"78. The conduct of the President, or acting President, Members of Parliament, Judges or other persons engaged in the administration of justice shall not be raised except upon a substantive motion; and in any amendment, question to a Minister, or remarks in a debate on a motion dealing with any other subject, reference to the conduct of the persons aforesaid shall be out of order."

Therefore, I think as the custodian of the rights of the hon. Members of this House, it is my duty as Leader of the Opposition to point this out to you because I do not want a precedent set where all sorts of allegations are made against Members of Parliament whether on that side or this side and they go without protest. I think that that is not fair, trying to cast aspersions on another Member of Parliament. I am not defending the hon. Member for Kelaniya. I am not interested in him, but I think hon. Members of Parliament should not be exposed to this kind of wild insinuation. I like to draw your attention to Standing Order 78.



කථනායකතුමා  
(ආරාධනාකරු) (Mr. Speaker)

I thank the hon. Leader of the Opposition for pointing it out. Hon. Member, you cannot impute an improper motive against an hon. Member of Parliament unless you bring it as a substantive motion.

එම්. වින්සන්ට් පෙරේරා මහතා  
(ති. රු. ආර්. ඩී. ඩී. පෙරේරා)  
(Mr. M. Vincent Perera)

The hon. Member for Ratnapura is replying to certain observations made by the hon. Member for Kelaniya.

අනුර බණ්ඩාරනායක මහතා  
(ති. රු. අනුර බණ්ඩාරනායක)  
(Mr. Anura Bandaranaike)

In the course of a reply you cannot violate Standing Orders.

ජී. ඩී. පුංචිතිලමේ මහතා  
(ති. රු. ජී. ඩී. පුංචිතිලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

I am not violating. *-(Interruption).*

එම්. වින්සන්ට් පෙරේරා මහතා  
(ති. රු. ආර්. ඩී. ඩී. පෙරේරා)  
(Mr. M. Vincent Perera)

Will you please listen to me. ? You had your say. Let me have my say. You are referring to Standing Order 78.

ජී. ඩී. පුංචිතිලමේ මහතා  
(ති. රු. ජී. ඩී. පුංචිතිලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

There is a serious charge against the whole House.

එම්. වින්සන්ට් පෙරේරා මහතා  
(ති. රු. ආර්. ඩී. ඩී. පෙරේරා)  
(Mr. M. Vincent Perera)

The hon. Member for Ratnapura is referring to the conduct of certain shareholders.

ජී. ඩී. පුංචිතිලමේ මහතා  
(ති. රු. ජී. ඩී. පුංචිතිලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

There is a serious charge against the whole Government Group.

එම්. වින්සන්ට් පෙරේරා මහතා  
(ති. රු. ආර්. ඩී. ඩී. පෙරේරා)  
(Mr. M. Vincent Perera)

He is referring to the conduct of certain shareholders of a company but, unfortunately, the hon. Member for Kelaniya is one shareholder. So, the hon. Member for Ratnapura is referring to the shareholders and not in particular to the hon. Member for Kelaniya.

අනුර බණ්ඩාරනායක මහතා  
(ති. රු. අනුර බණ්ඩාරනායක)  
(Mr. Anura Bandaranaike)

No, this is a very strange argument. As long as Mr. Cyril Mathew remains a Member of this House, you cannot impute improper motives against him.

එම්. වින්සන්ට් පෙරේරා මහතා  
(ති. රු. ආර්. ඩී. ඩී. පෙරේරා)  
(Mr. M. Vincent Perera)

You must not forget the fact at the same time that when the hon. Member for Kelaniya was speaking on the last occasion he made certain references.

අනුර බණ්ඩාරනායක මහතා  
(ති. රු. අනුර බණ්ඩාරනායක)  
(Mr. Anura Bandaranaike)

You should have protested then.

එම්. වින්සන්ට් පෙරේරා මහතා  
(ති. රු. ආර්. ඩී. ඩී. පෙරේරා)  
(Mr. M. Vincent Perera)

So, he has to reply.

අනුර බණ්ඩාරනායක මහතා  
(ති. රු. අනුර බණ්ඩාරනායක)  
(Mr. Anura Bandaranaike)

In replying, you should not violate the Standing Order. If the hon. Member for Kelaniya imputed improper motives to a Member of Parliament, it was your duty at that time to have raised the matter. *-(Interruption).*

කථනායකතුමා  
(ආරාධනාකරු) (Mr. Speaker)

Order, please 1 One person should speak at a time.

ජී. ඩී. පුංචිතිලමේ මහතා  
(ති. රු. ජී. ඩී. පුංචිතිලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

May I explain to you, Sir ? I am not referring to the hon. Member for Kelaniya. I am only referring to this Board paper which says Mr. Cyril Mathew—

අනුර බණ්ඩාරනායක මහතා  
(ති. රු. අනුර බණ්ඩාරනායක)  
(Mr. Anura Bandaranaike)

But Mr. Cyril Mathew is the Member for Kelaniya.

ජී. ඩී. පුංචිතිලමේ මහතා  
(ති. රු. ජී. ඩී. පුංචිතිලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

May be, but he is a member of this Board. *-(Interruption).*

අනුර බණ්ඩාරනායක මහතා  
(ති. රු. අනුර බණ්ඩාරනායක)  
(Mr. Anura Bandaranaike)

If you allow this, Mr. Speaker, you are setting an unhealthy precedent in this House. *-(Interruption).*

ජී. ඩී. පුංචිචලමේ මහතා  
(තිලු. ජී. ඩී. ඩී. පුංචිචලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

There is a serious charge against the whole Government. We have to safeguard ourselves.

එම්. වින්සන්ට් පෙරේරා මහතා  
(තිලු. ආම්. ඩී. ඩී. වින්සන්ට් පෙරේරා)  
(Mr. M. Vincent Perera)

The hon. Member is replying to the observations made by the hon. Member for Kelaniya.

අනුර බණ්ඩාරනායක මහතා  
(තිලු. අනුර බණ්ඩාරනායක)  
(Mr. Anura Bandaranaike)

Then, you should have protested at that time. You should not violate one Standing Order by -

එම්. වින්සන්ට් පෙරේරා මහතා  
(තිලු. ආම්. ඩී. ඩී. වින්සන්ට් පෙරේරා)  
(Mr. M. Vincent Perera)

I do not think anybody has violated any Standing Orders.

අනුර බණ්ඩාරනායක මහතා  
(තිලු. අනුර බණ්ඩාරනායක)  
(Mr. Anura Bandaranaike)

Of course, you have violated the standing Order repeatedly. Two wrongs do not make a right.

එම්. වින්සන්ට් පෙරේරා මහතා  
(තිලු. ආම්. ඩී. ඩී. වින්සන්ට් පෙරේරා)  
(Mr. M. Vincent Perera)

That may be your way of thinking but there is no violation of the Standing Order.

අනුර බණ්ඩාරනායක මහතා  
(තිලු. අනුර බණ්ඩාරනායක)  
(Mr. Anura Bandaranaike)

You are entitled to give your opinion, but I reject it completely.

කථානායකතුමා  
(ආපාතායකර් අවර්ණ)  
(Mr. Speaker)

Order, please ! Please confine yourself to the Motion. Please do not refer to any of these matters unnecessarily.

ජී. ඩී. පුංචිචලමේ මහතා  
(තිලු. ජී. ඩී. ඩී. පුංචිචලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

I am sorry, Sir. I was only reading a Board paper. This is the decision of the Board. The Board has taken the decision that the Kelaniya Foundation has no right to deal with -

අනුර බණ්ඩාරනායක මහතා  
(තිලු. අනුර බණ්ඩාරනායක)  
(Mr. Anura Bandaranaike)

You are perfectly entitled to read any Board paper you like but proceeding from that you cannot cast any aspersion on the hon. Member for Kelaniya.

ජී. ඩී. පුංචිචලමේ මහතා  
(තිලු. ජී. ඩී. ඩී. පුංචිචලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

Why are you worried about it ?

අනුර බණ්ඩාරනායක මහතා  
(තිලු. අනුර බණ්ඩාරනායක)  
(Mr. Anura Bandaranaike)

I am the Leader of the Opposition and I have to safeguard the interests of all Members of Parliament.

නන්ද මැතිවී මහතා (රත්නපුර දිසා ඇමතිතුමා)  
(තිලු. නන්ද මත්තිව් - මාඛැට් අගමැති, ඊරාත්තිනාපුර)  
(Mr. Nanda Mathew - District Minister, Ratnapura)

කථානායකතුමා, ගරු නියෝජ්‍ය ඇමතිතුමා මගේ නමක් සඳහන් කළා. එතුමා ප්‍රකාශ කළ කැලණිය 'ෆ්‍රට්ටන්ඩේෂන්' එකේ මාත් ඉන්නවාය කියා. ඒ නිසයි. මම කථා කරන්නේ. 'කැලණිය ෆ්‍රට්ටන්ඩේෂන්' එකට පිරවුම් හලක් දුන් එක නීති විරෝධී නම් 'රත්මලාක ෆ්‍රට්ටන්ඩේෂන්' එකට කොතොමුද පිරවුම් හලක් දෙන්න පුළුවන් කියා මම අනන්ත කැමතියි.

ජී. ඩී. පුංචිචලමේ මහතා  
(තිලු. ජී. ඩී. ඩී. පුංචිචලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

රත්මලාකට දුන්නත්, ලංකාවේ වෙන කාට දුන්නත් මට කමක් නැහැ.

I am substantiating what I am saying. I can prove it. I am not lying. I am not worried about Ratmalana or Ratnapura or any other place. I am only worried about this.

නන්ද මැතිවී මහතා  
(තිලු. නන්ද මත්තිව්)  
(Mr. Nanda Mathew)

'කැලණිය ෆ්‍රට්ටන්ඩේෂන්' එකට පිරවුම් හලක් දෙන එක වැරදි නම් වෙනත් 'ෆ්‍රට්ටන්ඩේෂන්' එකකට පිරවුම් හලක් දෙන එකක් වැරදි කැරදි කියා මම අනන්ත කැමතියි.

ජී. ඩී. පුංචිචලමේ මහතා  
(තිලු. ජී. ඩී. ඩී. පුංචිචලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

නමුත්තාත්දේලාම ඒවා අගතෙ සුද්ද කර ගන්න. අපට ඒකෙන් වැඩක් නැහැ. කැලණියේ ගරු මන්ත්‍රීතුමා අපට චෝදනාවක් කර තිබෙනවා.

He said that we are corrupt.

කථානායකතුමා  
(ආපාතායකර් අවර්ණ)  
(Mr. Speaker)

Now, carry on with your speech.

ජී. ඩී. පුංචිචලමේ මහතා  
(තිලු. ජී. ඩී. ඩී. පුංචිචලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

Thank you, Sir. It says,

"The dealership of this out-let was subsequently cancelled by the Board of Directors of the Ceylon Petroleum Corporation in March, 1985 as it was found that according to the Memorandum and Articles of Association of the Foundation this institution was not empowered or entitled to do business - or trade in any consumable articles such as petroleum or petroleum products"

Now, this is also another very important section, to say that, "We are not corrupt". The party who called us "corrupt" - Can I say that, Hon. Leader of the Opposition ?

අනුර වණිඨාරතායක මහතා  
(திரு. அனூர பண்டாரநாயக்க)  
(Mr. Anura Bandaranaike)

Why are you asking me ?

ජී. වී. පුංචිතිලමේ මහතා  
(திரு. ஜி. வி. புஞ்சிதிலமே)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

I am asking you because you are the one who objected.

අනුර වණිඨාරතායක මහතා  
(திரு. அனூர பண்டாரநாயக்க)  
(Mr. Anura Bandaranaike)

Yes.

ජී. වී. පුංචිතිලමේ මහතා  
(திரு. ஜி. வி. புஞ்சிதிலமே)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

So can I say that ?

කථාතායකතුමා  
(சபாநாயகர் அவர்கள்)  
(Mr. Speaker)

Please address the Chair.

අනුර වණිඨාරතායක මහතා  
(திரு. அனூர பண்டாரநாயக்க)  
(Mr. Anura Bandaranaike)

Address the Chair, please !

ජී. වී. පුංචිතිලමේ මහතා  
(திரு. ஜி. வி. புஞ்சிதிலமே)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

Sir, when objections of that nature are raised I must ask the party who raised it whether I am permitted to do so.

අනුර වණිඨාරතායක මහතා  
(திரு. அனூர பண்டாரநாயக்க)  
(Mr. Anura Bandaranaike)

I advise you to take the Standing Orders home and read it. You do not know it.

ජී. වී. පුංචිතිලමේ මහතා  
(திரு. ஜி. வி. புஞ்சிதிலமே)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

Well, if you can, please spare a little time and read it for me at home !

අනුර වණිඨාරතායක මහතා  
(திரு. அனூர பண்டாரநாயக்க)  
(Mr. Anura Bandaranaike)

Sure ! With our without you I will read it at your home. If that is an open invitation certainly I will accept it !

ජී. වී. පුංචිතිලමේ මහතා  
(திரு. ஜி. வி. புஞ்சிதிலமே)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

I did not follow what you said.

3-A 085141 (85/08)

කථාතායකතුමා  
(சபாநாயகர் அவர்கள்)  
(Mr. Speaker)

Carry on, please, hon. Member for Ratnapura.

ජී. වී. පුංචිතිලමේ මහතා  
(திரு. ஜி. வி. புஞ்சிதிலமே)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

All right ; may I come home to get it read ?

අනුර වණිඨාරතායක මහතා  
(திரு. அனூர பண்டாரநாயக்க)  
(Mr. Anura Bandaranaike)

There is nobody at my home. It is better to come to your place !

ජී. වී. පුංචිතිලමේ මහතා  
(திரு. ஜி. வி. புஞ்சிதிலமே)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

Just keep quiet. You do not know where you are.

කථාතායකතුමා  
(சபாநாயகர் அவர்கள்)  
(Mr. Speaker)

Please, carry on with your speech, hon. Member.

ජී. වී. පුංචිතිලමේ මහතා  
(திரு. ஜி. வி. புஞ்சிதிலமே)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

We are good friends, Sir. We are from the same area, Sabaragamuwa ; from Balangoda and Ratnapura.

අනුර වණිඨාරතායක මහතා  
(திரு. அனூர பண்டாரநாயக்க)  
(Mr. Anura Bandaranaike)

Thank you !

ජී. වී. පුංචිතිලමේ මහතා  
(திரு. ஜி. வி. புஞ்சிதிலமே)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

We are all good friends. We are doing these things only in the House. What do you say ?

අනුර වණිඨාරතායක මහතා  
(திரு. அனூர பண்டாரநாயக்க)  
(Mr. Anura Bandaranaike)

Quite right !

ජී. වී. පුංචිතිලමේ මහතා  
(திரு. ஜி. வி. புஞ்சிதிலமே)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

ගරු කථාතායකතුමා, දැන් මේ කැලණිය පදනමට කිසිම අයිතියක් නැත පෙට්‍රෝලියම්, තැන්තම් ගිනි ඇවිලෙන සුළු කිසිම දෙයක් පරිහරණය කරන්න. ඒ නිසා කැලණිය පදනමට ගරු සිරිල් මැතිවී මහත්මයා, ගිටපු ඇමතිතුමා, දැන් මේ කොන්ත්‍රාත්තුව, මේ පෙට්‍රෝලියම් පරිහරණය කිරීමේ කොන්ත්‍රාත්තුව, නෙල් සංස්ථාවේ අධ්‍යක්ෂ මණ්ඩලය විසින්, කැන්සල් කළා. කැන්සල් කළාට පසුව මොකක්ද වූණේ ? මෙතන බලන්න ගරු කථාතායකතුමා, අපටම කිව්වේ " කරපටි " කියා. මෙතන වෙලා තිබෙන දේ :

I am reading out from a board paper signed by the Deputy General Manager (Marketing). He is the person who should deal with these things. It says—

"Following cancellation of the dealership 13 cheques issued by the Foundation to the Corporation amounting to Rs. 495,749.02 have been bounced."

சீ. வி. பூவிநிலமே ம஠னா

கனல் ஈர சரீரீ சிவகோப ? திவகி லுடினடி சேரிரேரீசம மிடிசர் சனல் சரீரீன துது. சீலாப மோகர டிசனல் ? காலகீய ஈபிடினலீசனல் சிவகனல் ஈசி சீலா ஈநனலா சக திவகலலாடி ஈபிடினலீசனல் சிவக ? சீ திவ ஈசி காலகீய ஈபிடினலீசனல் சிவக ஈயாநக மகனலீசனல் ஈநனலா ; திடிசு ஈரடி ஈமகிதலா ஈடிதல ரநநடிசே ஈரடி ஈய ஈமகிதலாந (நனடி மகிசீ ம஠னா) ஈநனலா. ஈசி ஈநனலா—

நனடி மகிசீ ம஠னா  
(திரு. நந்த மத்திவ்)  
(Mr. Nanda Mathew)

ஈரடி கலாநாயகனலுதி. மம ஈநனலா சீ திவகீசா ஈமகிதலாநேநல மமல ஈடி ஈநனலி சகநகலே மநகிசீரகனல். ஈடி. சிவகமகி மம சீரடிமம ஈடி லேந துடி கிவகர துநனே ?

சீ. வி. பூவிநிலமே ம஠னா  
(திரு. ஜி. வி. புஞ்சினிலமே)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

கிவக கரநன தமசி ஈடிநனே மே.

நனடி மகிசீ ம஠னா  
(திரு. நந்த மத்திவ்)  
(Mr. Nanda Mathew)

லேலேல ஈடி. மமல ஈநனே லலாநே.

சீ. வி. பூவிநிலமே ம஠னா  
(திரு. ஜி. வி. புஞ்சினிலமே)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

கிவக கரநன தமசி ஈசி மே ஈடிநனே.

நனடி மகிசீ ம஠னா  
(திரு. நந்த மத்திவ்)  
(Mr. Nanda Mathew)

மசி. மசி. தலிநனாநகல கமமநனல சிவா. மம கிவகலா கரந லுடி சிவ.

[லாடாகிரீசக]

சீ. வி. பூவிநிலமே ம஠னா  
(திரு. ஜி. வி. புஞ்சினிலமே)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

சுடிசிடகி ? மம ஈநனே ஈமடிசு சுடிசிடகி சிவக. மநக திவகநனல. தலிநனாநகல லா லாந ஈசாசம ஈந மிசினகல லேலேலி. மம.

நனடி மகிசீ ம஠னா  
(திரு. நந்த மத்திவ்)  
(Mr. Nanda Mathew)

மசி. ஈகலர் சிவகனல் ஈந ஈகல ஈடிநனல் மம கிவகலா. ஈகலர் சிவக லிவகநல ஈகல ஈநாஈ ஈடிநனல் மம கிவகலா. ம லல திவகலா சிவக லேலி.

சீ. வி. பூவிநிலமே ம஠னா  
(திரு. ஜி. வி. புஞ்சினிலமே)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

ஈகலர் சிவகனல் ?

நனடி மகிசீ ம஠னா  
(திரு. நந்த மத்திவ்)  
(Mr. Nanda Mathew)

மசி. மம ஈடிசீல மிசீரல ஈநனலா. சீலா லேநலிநன.

சீ. வி. பூவிநிலமே ம஠னா  
(திரு. ஜி. வி. புஞ்சினிலமே)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

ஈநனல. கரடிசாகரல ஈநனல. மம துசி சிவகல தலி துடிநல் ஈநனல. மம மகிசீ லிவகநலா. சீலா ஈடி சிவகலரந ஈநனல. மகலகல ஈநனல. துடிசிடகி மே காலகீய ஈபிடினலீசனல் லாநே சீலா ஈடிசு. லேலடி ஈடிசு

சமலம ஈடிசு. ஈநனலிவே ஈடிசுமசிவகனல் லேலா சிவகல சீலாசிடல் லிவகல லிவகல சிவக ஈடி சமலமலலல ஈடிசு. சீ சமலமல கநலகல கல லிவகல சீலா ஈடிசு திவகல சரீரீசம லேகல லிவகல சீலா ஈடிசு சிவக லிசீசே லுடி ஈசி கரலா துது. ஈசம லேடிசு கலா. மே மிசீ ஈநனலிவம லேடிசு கலா மே மிசீ ஈநனலிவம " கரலி " கிவக. லேடிசு கலா.

நனடி மகிசீ ம஠னா  
(திரு. நந்த மத்திவ்)  
(Mr. Nanda Mathew)

ஈரடி கலாநாயகனலுதி. மம மேகம சீரடிம லேநன மிவக. [லாடாகிரீசக]

No, he cannot mention my name.

சீ. வி. பூவிநிலமே ம஠னா  
(திரு. ஜி. வி. புஞ்சினிலமே)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

I am not giving way. Please sit down.

கலாநாயகனலுதி  
(சுடிசு. நாயகர் ஈவகர்)  
(Mr. Speaker)

Hon. Member for Kolonne, you can speak later. You cannot interrupt him now. Yes, carry on, hon. Member for Ratnapura.

சீ. வி. பூவிநிலமே ம஠னா  
(திரு. ஜி. வி. புஞ்சினிலமே)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

I am not giving way, I am on my feet now.

நனடி மகிசீ ம஠னா  
(திரு. நந்த மத்திவ்)  
(Mr. Nanda Mathew)

You cannot mention my name.

கலாநாயகனலுதி  
(சுடிசு. நாயகர் ஈவகர்)  
(Mr. Speaker)

Please sit down. He is not giving way. You cannot interrupt a speech.

நனடி மகிசீ ம஠னா  
(திரு. நந்த மத்திவ்)  
(Mr. Nanda Mathew)

But then he should not mention my name.

சீ. வி. பூவிநிலமே ம஠னா  
(திரு. ஜி. வி. புஞ்சினிலமே)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

I am not giving way.

கலாநாயகனலுதி  
(சுடிசு. நாயகர் ஈவகர்)  
(Mr. Speaker)

You can speak later. Hon. Member for Ratnapura, you can carry on with your speech.

ජී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ මහතා  
(නිල. ඉ. ඩී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

දැන් මේ මුදල් ප්‍රමාණය රු. 4, 95, 748. 02 යි. මේ සමාගමේ පැවැල්  
විකිණීමේ අයිතිය අවලංගු වෙත කොටම වෙක් ලිව්වා. වෙක් 13 ක්  
ලිව්වා. ඒ වෙක් 13 ම ආපසු එව්වා සල්ලි නැහැ කියා. මම අහනවා  
එතකොට සිරිල් මැතිව මහතා කැලණිය පවුත්තේනේ එකට දැන් අර  
බවුසර් පහ කෝ කියලා. කෝ ඒවා කියා මම අහනවා. කෝ ඒ සල්ලි ?  
ඒක වැඩවක් නොවෙයිද ? ඉතින් ඒ නිසා ස්වයං රැකියා සමාගම -  
SeP සමාගම - රජයට පවරාගෙන ඒ ගැන විධිමත් පරීක්ෂණයක්  
පවත්වන්නයි අපේ ගරු මුදල් ඇමතිතුමාත් වර්තමාන ගරු කර්මාන්ත  
හා විද්‍යා කටයුතු ඇමතිතුමාත් මේ රජයක් කටයුතු කරගෙන යන්නේ.  
අන්ත ඒකටයි දැන් විරුද්ධ. එතකොට සේප්පුව උස්සාගෙන යනවා  
ගෙරුර. සේප්පුව ඇතුළේ මොනව මොනවා තිබුණද මොනව මොනවා  
තිබෙනවාද කියා අපි දන්නේ නැහැ. ඒ මොනවා තිබුණත් තුනත් මේවා  
තුනි කරන්න බැහැ. මේක "බෝඩ් ප්ලේපර්" එකක්. දැන් ඒ වෙක් වික  
ආපසු ආවා. ඒට පස්සේ වෙච්ච දේ බලන්න. ඒකට මොකද ? අපටයි  
කිව්වේ "කරපටි" කියලා. එහෙම "කරපටි" කියන කොට අපේ  
විරුද්ධ පාර්ශ්වයේ තායකතුමා එද මට මතකයි හයිසෙන් අන්පුඩී ගැසු  
හැටි. "කරපටි" කියන කොටම තැගිටලා අප්පුඩී ගැහැව්වා. අතික්  
මක්කොමලාත් උඩ පැන පැන අන්පුඩී ගැහැව්වා. මම හිතන්නේ  
මහරගම ගරු මන්ත්‍රීතුමා නම් (දිනේෂ් ගුණවර්ධන මහතා) ගැහැව්වේ  
නැහැ. [බාධා කිරීම] ගැහැව්වද ?

දිනේෂ් ගුණවර්ධන මහතා (මහරගම)  
(නිල. නිශේෂ් ගුණවර්ධන — මහරාජයා)  
(Mr. Dinesh Gunawardene-Maharagama)  
මුළු ආණ්ඩුවම දුෂිතයි. ඒක ඇත්ත කිව්වේ. මුළු ආණ්ඩුවම දුෂිතයි.

ජී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ මහතා  
(නිල. ඉ. ඩී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)  
අපේ කට අවුස්සා ගන්න එපා.

මෙරිල් කාරියවසම් මහතා (අගල්වත්ත)  
(නිල. මෙරිල් කාරියවසම් — අගල්වත්ත)  
(Mr. Merrill Kariyawasam-Agalawatta)  
ඒක ඉල්ලා අස්කර ගන්න. එක දුෂිත ඇමතිවරයෙක් හිටිය  
පලියට—

ජී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ මහතා  
(නිල. ඉ. ඩී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)  
ගරු කථානායකතුමනි. තාත්තා සමාජවාදයට යන්න මුළු ලංකාවම  
පෙරළාගෙන ගිහිල්ලා අටසන් හුස්ම හෙළවේ එක්සත් ජාතික පක්ෂයේ  
පාමුලට ඇවිල්ලයි.

මෙරිල් කාරියවසම් මහතා  
(නිල. මෙරිල් කාරියවසම්)  
(Mr. Merrill Kariyawasam)  
එක දුෂිත ඇමතිවරයෙක් හිටියට මක්කොම එක ගොඩට දන්න  
එපා. කරුණාකර ඉල්ලා අස්කර ගන්න. ඔය වචනය පාවිච්චි කරන්න  
එපා.

ජී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ මහතා  
(නිල. ඉ. ඩී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)  
He must withdraw that.

දිනේෂ් ගුණවර්ධන මහතා  
(නිල. නිශේෂ් ගුණවර්ධන)  
(Mr. Dinesh Gunawardene)  
No.

ජී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ මහතා  
(නිල. ඉ. ඩී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

You cannot say that.

දිනේෂ් ගුණවර්ධන මහතා  
(නිල. නිශේෂ් ගුණවර්ධන)  
(Mr. Dinesh Gunawardene)

ගරු කථානායකතුමනි. මම කියන්නේ ආණ්ඩුව දුෂිතයි කියලා.

[බාධා කිරීම]  
I do not have to withdraw that surely.

ජී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ මහතා  
(නිල. ඉ. ඩී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

You have to withdraw that—(Interruption). He is not  
a gentleman.

දිනේෂ් ගුණවර්ධන මහතා  
(නිල. නිශේෂ් ගුණවර්ධන)  
(Mr. Dinesh Gunawardene)

Do not talk any more about  
gentlemanship—(Interruption). Somapala, everyone  
knows.

කථානායකතුමා  
(ආරාධනාකරු — අයුරු)  
(Mr. Speaker)  
Please continue your speech.

ජී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ මහතා  
(නිල. ඉ. ඩී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

එද තමයි බොරළුගොඩ සිංහයෝ හිටියේ. එද සිටියේ බොරළුගොඩ  
සිංහයෝ. අද ඉන්නේ බලු සිංහයෝ. ඒකයි ඔහොම කියන්නේ. තැන්නම  
ඔහොම කථා කරන්නේ නැහැ.

I insist that that should be withdrawn. That should  
be withdrawn. I will continue after that.

කථානායකතුමා  
(ආරාධනාකරු — අයුරු)  
(Mr. Speaker)

You continue with your speech. Take orders from the  
Chair.

ජී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ මහතා  
(නිල. ඉ. ඩී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

තුනි. කථානායකතුමනි. මුළු ආණ්ඩුවම දුෂිතයි කිව්වා.  
That means, including you. So, he must withdraw  
that.

කථානායකතුමා  
(ආරාධනාකරු — අයුරු)  
(Mr. Speaker)

You carry on with your speech.

ජී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ මහතා  
(නිල. ඉ. ඩී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

What about withdrawing that statement ?

කථානායකතුමා  
(ආරාධනාකරු) (Mr. Speaker)

That is for me to decide.

ජී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ මහතා  
(ති. ජී. ඩී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ) (Mr. G. V. Puchinilame)

Not for you Sir. For the entire Government.

කථානායකතුමා  
(ආරාධනාකරු) (Mr. Speaker)

Now you are trying to usurp my rights also.

ජී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ මහතා  
(ති. ජී. ඩී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ) (Mr. G. V. Puchinilame)

I am very sorry. I am really sorry if I have annoyed you, Sir.

කථානායකතුමා  
(ආරාධනාකරු) (Mr. Speaker)

Please carry on.

ජී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ මහතා  
(ති. ජී. ඩී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ) (Mr. G. V. Puchinilame)

හැබැයි, මම තව පාරක් කියන්නම් මේ ලංකාවේ බොරර්ගොඩ පද සිටියේ සිංහයා අද ඉන්නේ බල සිංහයා කියලා.

දිනේෂ ගුණවර්ධන මහතා  
(ති. ජී. ඩී. ඩී. ගුණවර්ධන) (Mr. Dinesh Gunawardene)

ඒක තමයි මුළු උතුරම පාවා දෙන්නේ. මය කියන සිංහලයෝ තිසා තමයි මුළු උතුරම මුළු තැනෙහිරම 60,000 ක් සිංහලයන් එලවනතුරු බලා සිටින්නේ. ඊට පාවා දෙන්නවා. ඊට විකුණලා දන්නයි හදන්නේ. උතුරු තැනෙහිර පලාත්වල සිංහලයන් අමු අමුවේ මරන්නවා. අද කථා කරන්නවා සිංහලයෝ ගැන.

ජී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ මහතා  
(ති. ජී. ඩී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ) (Mr. G. V. Puchinilame)

අපි එක්කෙනෙකටත් එවන්නේ නැහැ. ඒ ආපු අප සේරම ආපසු අරින්නවා, අපි තිසා කලට තිසා වෙලාවට ඒ සටන කරන්නවා හරියට.

දිනේෂ ගුණවර්ධන මහතා  
(ති. ජී. ඩී. ඩී. ගුණවර්ධන) (Mr. Dinesh Gunawardene)

කෝවිලියේ හැමදම එවන්නවා මෙහාට. හිතියලා බලන්න. හැමදම සල්ලි දී දී කෝවිලියේ පටට පටට සිංහලයෝ මෙහාට එවන්නවා.

කථානායකතුමා  
(ආරාධනාකරු) (Mr. Speaker)

Order, please! Do you want me to suspend the Sittings of this House? Please carry on with this Debate in a dignified manner. The hon. Member for Maharagama, please do not make these sweeping statements. I think you have enough experience now to

maintain the dignity of the House where you are also a Member. I do not think you would like any Member from the Government side saying, "The entire Opposition is corrupt", which includes you. Do not make statements like that. I have sat in Parliament with your beloved father. Although he was opposed to our party at a certain time he joined our party. He never made such statements.

දිනේෂ ගුණවර්ධන මහතා  
(ති. ජී. ඩී. ඩී. ගුණවර්ධන) (Mr. Dinesh Gunawardene)

I am sorry, Sir, he did not join your party.

කථානායකතුමා  
(ආරාධනාකරු) (Mr. Speaker)

He joined the Government.

So, do not make such statements. You are all Members of this House and it is our bounden duty to maintain the dignity of this House. The hon. Member for Ratnapura can now carry on.

ජී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ මහතා  
(ති. ජී. ඩී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ) (Mr. G. V. Puchinilame)

I am sorry Sir, if I have annoyed you.

Your birthday seems to be a very good day. Sir, we want a special feed on your account today for giving so much of life to the debate.

අනුර බණ්ඩාරනායක මහතා  
(ති. ජී. ඩී. ඩී. අනුර බණ්ඩාරනායක) (Mr. Anura Bandaranaike)

I second that on behalf of the Opposition.

මන්දිරයෙක්  
(අනුකර්තෘ) (A Member)

Quite good. We want a buriyani feed.

අනුර බණ්ඩාරනායක මහතා  
(ති. ජී. ඩී. ඩී. අනුර බණ්ඩාරනායක) (Mr. Anura Bandaranaike)

Let him decide on the menu.

ජී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ මහතා  
(ති. ජී. ඩී. ඩී. පුච්චිලමේ) (Mr. G. V. Puchinilame)

It says : "Following cancellation of the leadership, 13 cheques issued by the Foundation to the Corporation"—That is, when Mr. Mathew was the Minister these cheques amounting to Rs. 495,748.02 were issued and they all bounced back!

රුපියල් 495,748.02 ක් වටිනා චෙක්පත් සල්ලි නැහැ කියා ආපසු ඇවිත් එබෙන්නවා. දැන් මොකක්ද සිදුවෙලා එබෙන්නේ.

"A sum of Rs. 104,515.33 however is lying to the credit of the Foundation against stocks at the time of take-over."

දැන් මෙතන තමයි ප්‍රශ්නය තිබෙන්නේ. හෙල් බවුසර් පහේ වටිනාකමටත් එහි නැහැ. කැලණිය fපවුන්ඩේෂන් එක හැදුවේ මොකටද ? කැලණියේ ඉන්න දුප්පත් මිනිසුන්ට සුබවලක්ෂ්‍ය කරන්න. තමුත් කවිද සුබවලක්ෂ්‍ය වෙලා තිබෙන්නේ ? කැලණිය fපවුන්ඩේෂන් එකේ ඉන්න උදවිය. ඒ සල්ලිවලට මොකක්ද සිදු වුණේ ? අපිට "කරප්ප" කලා කිවේ. ආණ්ඩුවට "කරප්ප" කියල කිවේ. "කරප්ප" කියල කියනකොටම තන්ද මැතිව මහතා කැහිට්ටා. ඒ සල්ලිවලට මොකක්ද වුණේ කියල අපි අහනවා. ඒ වෙක්පත් ස්‍රාපත්‍ර ඇවිත් තිබෙනවා. හෙල් බවුසර් පහක් නිකම දුන්නා ඇමතිවරයා හැටියට සිටිද්දී, තමුත් අපට හෙල් හැලමක් වත් නිකම දෙන්නේ නැහැ. ණයට තම දෙයි. නිකම දුන්න හෙල් බවුසර් පහේ වටිනාකමටත් ඒ කැලණිය fපවුන්ඩේෂන් එකේ මුදල්වල නැහැ. මේවාටයි "කරප්පන්" කියා කියන්නේ. මේවා සොයන්නයි මේ ආණ්ඩුව හදන්නේ. ඒවා සොයන්නයි මුදල් ඇමතිතුමා මේ යෝජනාව ඉදිරිපත් කර තිබෙන්නේ. එහෙම නැතිව වෙන කාටවත් මධ්‍යගතන කොට්ටිය. දූෂණයන් සොයන්නයි හදන්නේ. මම මේ කියන්නටත් Board paper එකකින්. I am prepared to table this if you want. Now that is one point.

ඒලඟට, එස්. ඒ. පී. සමාගමේ ගණුදෙනු ලියකියවිලි අධ්‍යයන සකසා කබයි එක ගරු මන්ත්‍රීතුමාගේ ගෙදර ගෙන ගොස් තියාගෙන ඉන්නවා. මා අහන්න කැමතියි ගරු කථානායකතුමනි, මේ සේප්පුව ගෙන ගොස් ගෙදර තියාගෙන ඉන්නේ මෙහි මොකට හරි අදහන දෙයක්වත් තියන තියාද කියල. අපට තොපෙනෙත තේතුවක් එහි තිබෙන්න මිනැ. ඒ තේතුව තමයි මේ සිදුවෙලා තිබෙන වැරදි.

ඒලඟට, මේ සමාගම සතුව ඒප් රථ තිබුණා. ඒ සමාගම සතු ඒප් රථ තිබුණේ ගෙදර තියා ගන්න එතුමාට තියෙන අයිතිය මොකක්ද ?

**කථානායකතුමා**  
(*ආරාධනායක අමාත්‍යවරයා*)  
(Mr. Speaker)

Order, please! The Hon. Minister has informed me that he wants to start speaking at 2 p.m. After the hon. Member for Ratnapura there are two more from the Government side who want to speak. Can you please let me know till what time you will go on ?

**ජී. වී. පුංචිනිලමේ මහතා**  
(*ශ්‍රී ලංකා නීතිඥ ආචාර්යවරයා*)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

Give me another 10 minutes.

**කථානායකතුමා**  
(*ආරාධනායක අමාත්‍යවරයා*)  
(Mr. Speaker)

Order, please! The hon. Deputy Speaker will now take the Chair.

*අනතුරුව කථානායකතුමා මූලාසනයෙන් ඉවත්වූයෙන්, නියෝජ්‍ය කථානායකතුමා [නෝමන් වොයිආරත්න මහතා] මූලාසනාරූපි විය.*

*අන් පිරිමු, ආරාධනායක අමාත්‍යවරයාගේ අතිරේක සාමාජිකයන්ගේ ඉදිරිපත්වීම, පිරිමු ආරාධනායක අමාත්‍යවරයා [ශ්‍රී ලංකා නීතිඥ ආචාර්යවරයා] නියෝජ්‍ය කථානායක අමාත්‍යවරයාගේ ස්ථානයට පත්වීම.*  
Whereupon MR. SPEAKER left the Chair, and MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER [MR. NORMAN WAIDYARATNA] took the Chair.

**ජී. වී. පුංචිනිලමේ මහතා**  
(*ශ්‍රී ලංකා නීතිඥ ආචාර්යවරයා*)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

ගරු නියෝජ්‍ය කථානායකතුමනි, මේ සමාගම කැලණියේ මන්ත්‍රීතුමාගේ බුදුලයේ කොටසක් නොවෙයි. බුදුලයේ කොටසක් තම ගෙදරට ගෙන ගියත් අපේ විරුද්ධත්වයක් නැහැ. [බාධාකිරීමක්]

**නියෝජ්‍ය කථානායකතුමා**  
(*පිරිමු ආරාධනායක අමාත්‍යවරයා*)  
(Mr. Deputy Speaker)

No, but that is a comment. You have only 10 minutes.

**ජී. වී. පුංචිනිලමේ මහතා**  
(*ශ්‍රී ලංකා නීතිඥ ආචාර්යවරයා*)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

Yes, Sir, that is more than enough. Thank you.

දුමකොළ සංස්ථාව ත්‍රිරෝද මිලදී ගන්නට රුපියල් ලක්ෂ එකසිය පණහක් දී තිබෙනවා. ඒ ලක්ෂ එකසිය පණහෙන් ලක්ෂ එකසිය හතළිහ ආපසු ගෙවා තිබෙන්නේ. තවත් ලක්ෂ විස්සක් ගෙවන්නට තිබෙනවා. මේවා තමයි දූෂණ කියන්නේ. තමුත් අපිට දූෂණය කරනවාට කියල කිව්වේ. මේවා කියන කොට කැක්කුම හැදෙනවා. උඩ පතිනවා. මේවාට සම්බන්ධ අය. පවුල් - family tree. Hon. Leader of the Opposition, can you remember the family tree ?

**අනුර බණ්ඩාරනායක මහතා**  
(*ශ්‍රී ලංකා නීතිඥ ආචාර්යවරයා*)  
(Mr. Anura Bandaranaike)

Yours or ours ?

**ජී. වී. පුංචිනිලමේ මහතා**  
(*ශ්‍රී ලංකා නීතිඥ ආචාර්යවරයා*)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

Yours as well as ours.

**අනුර බණ්ඩාරනායක මහතා**  
(*ශ්‍රී ලංකා නීතිඥ ආචාර්යවරයා*)  
(Mr. Anura Bandaranaike)

Now there is a family jungle.

**ජී. වී. පුංචිනිලමේ මහතා**  
(*ශ්‍රී ලංකා නීතිඥ ආචාර්යවරයා*)  
(Mr. G. V. PUNCHINILAME)

That is right. Now listen to this: This is a very important thing. They were talking about the family tree. How about this family organization ?

රුපියල් ලක්ෂ විස්සක් අඩුවෙන් ගෙවා තිබෙනවා. අත්තිමේදී කළේ මොකක්ද ? අත්තිමේදී කැලණියේ මන්ත්‍රීතුමාගේ පවුල්වල උදවියගේ තමන් "තිරෝමා" කියන සමාගමක් හදගෙන, ස්වයං රැකියා සමාගමේ කොටස්වලින් සියයට හැට හතරක් ඒ අයට වික්කා, තැනිතම දුන්නා. මේ කාරණය එද එකුමාගේ කථාවේදීත් සඳහන් කර තිබෙනවා. ඒ අන්දමට සියයට හැට හතරක කොටස් තිරෝමා සමාගමට පවරා ගෙන, ගණුදෙනු සම්බන්ධ ලියකියවිලි පොත්පත් සහ යාන වාහනත්, තමන්ගේ ගෙදරම තබා ගෙන, ස්වයං රැකියා සමාගම බංකොලොත් කර, දුමකොළ සංස්ථාවට කොකා පෙන්වන්නටයි ලැහැස්ති වී සිටියේ. මෙතන මේවායි වංචා. තමුත් ස්වයං රැකියා සමාගම රජයට පවරා ගැනීමෙන් ඒ සියලු බලාපොරොත්තු සුන් වී ගිය තිසා තමයි කැලණියේ මන්ත්‍රීතුමා මේවා ගැන මේ තරම් කෑ ගහන්නේ. මෙතන මේවා තමයි දූෂණ.

ගරු නියෝජ්‍ය කථානායකතුමනි, මෙම තිරෝමා සමාගමේ සිටි සාමාජිකයන් කවුද ? ඒත් පවුලේ උදවියයි. මේවා ගැන කියන විට විරුද්ධ පක්ෂයෙන් ආසිත් නැගිටලා එයින් දැනේ නැහැ. [බාධා කිරීමක්] ඒ මන්ත්‍රීතුමාගේ පවුලේ සාමාජිකයන්ට අයිති සමාගමක් වන තිරෝමා සමාගමේ ඉන්න සාමාජිකයන්ගේ තම කියවීම - මා කලිසුන් සඳහන් කළ තිසා - අවශ්‍ය වෙන්නේ නැහැ. ඒක "family organization" එකක්. ඒක පවුලේ උදවියට පමණයි. ඒ අය එකතු වී හදගත් සමාගමට මේ "එස්. ඒ. පී." සමාගමේ කොටස්වලින් සියයට හැට හතරක් පවරා දී තිබුණා. දැන් ඒක ආණ්ඩුවට පවරා ගන්නට යන විටයි, මේ අයට කැක්කුමක් හැදී තිබෙන්නේ. මොකක්ද ඒ අයට තිබුණු බලාපොරොත්තු ? "කැලණිය fපවුන්ඩේෂන්"





ක්‍රමයක් ශ්‍රී ලංකා දුමකොළ කර්මාන්ත සංස්ථාවත් අතරේ ගිවිසුමක් අත්සන් කරන්න තීන්දු කර ගන්න. එම රැස්වීමේ වාර්තාවේ එම ගිවිසුම ගැන මේ විධියට සඳහන් වී තිබෙනවා :

"සී/ක. ස්වයං රැකියා යෝජනා ක්‍රම" හා ශ්‍රී ලංකා දුමකොළ කර්මාන්ත සංස්ථාව අතර "මට්ටම් වැඩිකොට" ව්‍යාපාරය සම්බන්ධව ගිවිසුමක් ඇති විය යුතු බව අධ්‍යක්ෂ මණ්ඩලය විසින් තීරණය කරන ලදී. මෙම ගිවිසුම සඳහා "සී/ස ස්වයං රැකියා යෝජනා ක්‍රම" වෙනුවෙන් අත්සන් තැබීම අධ්‍යක්ෂ කේ. සී. මෙන්ඩිස් මහතා සහ අධ්‍යක්ෂ ලලිත් වික්‍රමසිංහ මහතා විසින් කළ යුතු යයි අධ්‍යක්ෂ කේ. ගණේසලිංගම් මහතා විසින් යෝජනා කරන ලදී. අධ්‍යක්ෂ පී. පොත්සේනා මහතා විසින් මෙම යෝජනාව ස්ථිර කරන ලදුව සභා සම්මත විය."

මේ විධියට පටන් ගන්න ස්වයං රැකියා යෝජනා ක්‍රමයේ කටයුතු ඊට පසුව පවත්වා ගෙන ගියේ කොල්ලුපිටියේ අංක 532 දරණ තැන පිහිටි සිරිකොන කාර්යාලයේයි. දුමකොළ කර්මාන්ත සංස්ථාව විසින් මිලයට ගත් ක්‍රියෝද රථ පාලනය කර ඒවායේ මුදල් ආපසු දුමකොළ කර්මාන්ත සංස්ථාවට එකතු කර දීමට මේ සංගමය පොරොත්තු වුණි. මෙම සංගමය මේ විධියට පවත්වා ගෙන යන විට රියදුරු මහතුන්ගේ සුභ සාධනය පිණිස සුභසාධක සංගමයක් ඇති කරන්න ඕනෑ කියලා අදහසක් ආවා. සුභ සාධක සංගමයක් ඇති කරන්න ඕනෑ කියන යෝජනාව ඉදිරිපත් වීමෙන් පසුව එවැනි සංගමයක් එද සිරිකොන මන්දිරයේදී සංවිධානය වුණි. ඒ සුභ සාධක සංගමයේ සභාපතිවරයා හැටියට මාව පත් කර ගන්න. ලේකම් හැටියට ලිලත් වික්‍රමසිංහ මහතා පත් වුණි. ශාණ්ඩාගාරක හැටියට කේ. ගණේසලිංගම් මහතා පත් වුණි.

**නියෝජ්‍ය කථානායකතුමා**  
(**பிரதிச் சபாநாயகர் அவர்கள்**)  
(Mr. Deputy Speaker)

ගරු මන්ත්‍රීතුමා නව කොපමණ වේලාවක් කථා කරන්නට බලාපොරොත්තු වෙතුවාද ?

**ගාමිණී ලොකුගේ මහතා**  
(**திரு. காமினி லொகுமே**)  
(Mr. Gamini Lokuge)  
මිනිත්තු හතළිහක් විතර.

**නියෝජ්‍ය කථානායකතුමා**  
(**பிரதிச் சபாநாயகர் அவர்கள்**)  
(Mr. Deputy Speaker)

එතකොට වෙන කෙනෙකුට කථා කරන්නට අවස්ථාවක් තැනී වෙනවා.

**ගාමිණී ලොකුගේ මහතා**  
(**திரு. காமினி லொகுமே**)  
(Mr. Gamini Lokuge)

හොඳයි ! මම පැය බාගයකින් අවසන් කරන්නම්.

1981. 07. 13 ද පැවති අධ්‍යක්ෂ මණ්ඩල රැස්වීමේදී මා අධ්‍යක්ෂවරයෙක් හැටියට පත් කර ගන්නා මේ තිබෙන්නේ ඒ වාර්තාවයි. ඒ අධ්‍යක්ෂ මණ්ඩලයට මා පත් කර ගන්නා විටත් එද මුල්ම අධ්‍යක්ෂ මණ්ඩල රැස්වීමේදී තීන්දු කරගත් පරිදි දුමකොළ කර්මාන්ත සංස්ථාවක් සමඟ ගිවිසුම් අත්සන් කර තිබුණේ නැහැ. දුමකොළ කර්මාන්ත සංස්ථාවක් සමඟ මේ ස්වයං රැකියා යෝජනා ක්‍රමයේ තිබෙන්නට ඕනෑ ගිවිසුම් අත්සන් කර තැනී නිසා මෙය නීත්‍යානුකූල තැනී බවත් ඒ නිසා එම ගිවිසුම් අත්සන් කළ යුතු බවත් අධ්‍යක්ෂවරයෙක් හැටියට පත් වුණාට පස්සේ ඊළඟ සභා වාරයේදී මම යෝජනා කළා. ඒ අනුව තමයි. ගරු කර්මාන්ත ඇමතිතුමා විවාදයේදී සඳහන් කළ ගිවිසුමට මට අත්සන් කරන්නට සිදුවූවුණේ, එතකොට කේ. සී. මෙන්ඩිස් මහත්මයා අධ්‍යක්ෂ මණ්ඩලයෙන් ඉවත් වෙලයි සිටියේ. ඒ නිසා අධ්‍යක්ෂවරුන් දෙදෙනෙක් අත්සන් කරන්නට ඕනෑය කියා එද අධ්‍යක්ෂ මණ්ඩලය කල්පනා කළා. අධ්‍යක්ෂවරුන් හැටියට මාත් ලලිත් වික්‍රමසිංහ මහත්මයාත් ස්වයං රැකියා යෝජනා ක්‍රමය පැත්තෙන් දුමකොළ කර්මාන්ත සංස්ථාවක් සමඟ ඒ ගිවිසුමට අත්සන් කළා. ඒ අනුවයි මම ඒ ගිවිසුමට අත්සන් කළේ.

දුමකොළ කර්මාන්ත සංස්ථාවක් සමඟ මේ ස්වයං රැකියා යෝජනා ක්‍රමය ක්‍රියාත්මක කරගෙන යන විට එවකට සිටි ගරු කර්මාන්ත ඇමති සිරිල් මැතිවි මැතිතුමාගෙන් ඒ අධ්‍යක්ෂ මණ්ඩලයේත් බලාපොරොත්තුව වි තිබුණේ මොන ක්‍රමයෙන් හෝ එම රියදුරු මහත්වරුන් ඒ ක්‍රියෝද රථවල

අයිතිකරුවන් කර. එම රියදුරු මහත්වරුන්ගෙන් කොහොම හර හරියාකාරව මේ මුදල් එකතු කර. මේ ව්‍යාපාරයෙන් දුමකොළ කර්මාන්ත සංස්ථාවට ගෙවිය යුතු මුදල් ගෙවා. එම රියදුරු මහත්වරුන් ක්‍රියෝද රථවල අයිතිකරුවන් කරන්නයි. ඒ බලාපොරොත්තුව සපුරන්නටයි. අපි එහි අධ්‍යක්ෂවරුන් හැටියට කටයුතු කළේ. ඒ කටයුතු කරන විට රියදුරු මහත්වරුන්ගෙන් එම මුදල් එකතු කර ගන්නට අපි නොයෙක් ක්‍රියාමාර්ග ගත්තා. එම එහි සිටි වකවානුවේදීයි. 1983 මාර්තු මාසයේ අවසානය වන තුරු මම එහි අධ්‍යක්ෂවරයෙක් හැටියට කටයුතු කළා. ඒ කාලය තුළදී දුමකොළ කර්මාන්ත සංස්ථාවට රුපියල් දස ලක්ෂ 13 ක් ගෙවන්නට අපට හැකි වුණි. අපි ඒ කාලයේ තුම මාසයකම දුමකොළ කර්මාන්ත සංස්ථාවට රුපියල් ලක්ෂ පහක් තැන්තම් දහසක් ගෙව්වා.

ඒ වකවානුව වන විට දුමකොළ කර්මාන්ත සංස්ථාවේ ප්‍රශ්නයක් මතු වුණි. දුමකොළ කර්මාන්ත සංස්ථාවත් ඉන්ටර්බේක් නමැති ජාත්‍යන්තර සමාගමත් සමඟ හවුල් ව්‍යාපාරයක් පටන් ගෙන තිබුණි. ඒ සමාගමේ කොටස්වලින් සියයට 33 ක් දුමකොළ කර්මාන්ත සංස්ථාවටත්, සියයට 33 ක් ඉන්ටර්බේක් ආයතනයටත්, සියයට 33 ක් පුද්ගලික අංශයටත් අලෙවි කරන්නට තියමයක් තිබුණි. මෙයින් කොටස් සියයට 33 ක් පුද්ගලික අංශයට විකුණුවහොත්, ඉන්ටර්බේක් ආයතනය වෙතත් උදවිය යොදවා ඒ කොටස් මිලයට ගන්නොත් ඉන්ටර්බේක් ආයතනයේ පාලනය දුමකොළ කර්මාන්ත සංස්ථාවෙන් පිටතට යනවාය කියා අදහසක් ඒ අවස්ථාවේ දුමකොළ කර්මාන්ත සංස්ථාව වෙනුවෙන් මේ සංගමයේ පෙනී සිටි අධ්‍යක්ෂවරුන් ඉදිරිපත් කළා. ඒ නිසා මේ ඉන්ටර්බේක් ආයතනයේ කොටස් මිලයට ගන්න ක්‍රමයක් සකස් කරන්නට, එහෙම තැන්තම් දුමකොළ කර්මාන්ත සංස්ථාවට ගෙවන්න තිබෙන මුදල්වලින් ඇපකරයක් දී මේ කොටස් මිලයට ගන්නය කියා ස්වයං රැකියා යෝජනා ක්‍රමයට අදහසක් දුන්නා. ඒ අනුව ස්වයං රැකියා යෝජනා ක්‍රමය කොටස් මිලයට ගන්න එකඟ වුණේ නැහැ. නමුත් රුපියල් දස ලක්ෂ 2.5 ක තැන්තම් දස ලක්ෂ දෙකක ඇපකරයක් දෙන්න එකඟ වුණි.

එද දුමකොළ සංස්ථාවට ගෙවන්නට තිබුණු මුදල්වලින් දස ලක්ෂ දෙකක ඇපකරයක් දී දුමකොළ කර්මාන්ත සංස්ථාව වෙනුවෙන් ඒ කොටස්වලට ඇපකරයක් ඉදිරිපත් කළා. ඒ අනුවයි දස ලක්ෂ 2.1 ක තැන්පත් මුදලක් ඇති වුණේ. එද මම අස්ථිර අවස්ථාව වන විට දුමකොළ කර්මාන්ත සංස්ථාවට රුපියල් දස ලක්ෂ 13 කුත්, ඉන්ටර්බේක් ආයතනයේ කොටස් මිලයට ගන්න දස ලක්ෂ දෙකකුත් ස්වයං රැකියා යෝජනා ක්‍රමයේ තැන්පත් මුදල්වලින් වෙන් කර තිබුණි. එද මම දුමකොළ සංස්ථාවෙනුත්, රියදුරු ශුභසාධක සංගමයේ සභාපති ධුරයෙනුත් අස්වුණේ පාර්ලිමේන්තු ආයතයක් - කැස්බෑව ආයතය - නරග කරන්නටයි. රියදුරු ශුභ සාධක සංගමයට දැම මාසයකම එක් රියදුරු මහත්මයෙකුගෙන් රුපියල් දහසක් තැන්තම් රුපියල් පණහක් අඩු කළා. ගණන මට හරියටම මතක නැහැ. නමුත් ඒ සියලුම මුදල් අපි ස්වයං රැකියා යෝජනා ක්‍රමයේ ගිණුම්වල තැන්පත් කර තිබුණි.

සුභ සාධක කටයුත්තකටය කියලා අපි ඒ මුදල් පාවිච්චි කළේ රියදුරු මහත්වරුන්ගේ මරණයක් වුණොත් එහෙම තැන්තම් ඒ පවුලක කෙනෙකුගේ මරණයක් වුණොත් පමණයි. රියදුරු මහත්වරුන්ගේ මරණයක් වුණොත්, ඒ පවුලක මරණයක් වුණොත් අපි රුපියල් දහසක් ගෙව්වා. වාර්ෂිකව ස්වයං රැකියා යෝජනා ක්‍රමයේ රියදුරු මහත්වරුන්ගේ ප්‍රධානත්වයෙන් පිරිත් පිංකමක් පැවැත්වුවා. ඒ හැර වෙන සහ පහක්වත් මේ සමාගම වෙනුවෙන්, සමීතිය වෙනුවෙන් අපි වියදම් කරලා නැහැ. අනෙක් සියලුම මුදල් ස්වයං රැකියා යෝජනා ක්‍රමයේ ගිණුම්වල තැන්පත් කර තිබුණි. අපි ඒ වෙනුවෙන් වෙනම ගිණුමක් ඇති කළේ නැහැ. ඒ විධියට තැන්පත් කරලා තිබුණි. මේ විධියට මා අස්ථිර තුරුම මේ ආයතනයේ අධ්‍යක්ෂවරුන්ගෙන් කිසිකෙනෙකු මේ මුදල් වැරදි විධියට පාලනය කළේ නැහැ. හරියාකාරව පාලනය කළා. ඒ සියලුම මුදල් ගිණුම්වල තැන්පත් කර තිබුණි. නමුත් මට කියන්න කණගාටුයි. පසුගිය දවස්වල හිටපු කර්මාන්ත හා විද්‍යා කටයුතු පිළිබඳ ඇමතිතුමා එම ඇමති ධුරයෙන් ඉල්ලා අස්වුණාට පසුව ඇතිවුණු සිදුවීම් නිසා, ඒවගේම මේ විවාදයේදී ඇති වුණු යම් යම් සිද්ධීන් නිසා ඉතාම හොඳින් පවත්වාගෙන ගිය ආයතනයක් වූ මෙම ආයතනය ඉතාම පල්ලෙහා තත්වයට පත්වෙලා තිබෙනවා. මේ ආයතනයේ හිටපු අධ්‍යක්ෂවරු මේ ආයතනය දියුණු කරන්නට ඉතා හොඳින් කටයුතු කරගෙන ගියා. නමුත් මම දන්නේ නැහැ මොන හේතුවක් නිසාද කියන්න. 1985 ජනවාරි මාසයේ 1 වනදා අධ්‍යක්ෂ මණ්ඩලයේ රැස්වීමක් පවත්වා

[ගාමිණී ලොකුගේ මහතා]

නියෝජනය. ඒ අධ්‍යක්ෂ මණ්ඩල රැස්වීමේදී ගිණුම් අංක 320 යටතේ කොල්ලුපිටියේ සීමාසහිත වාණිජ බැංකුවේ තිබුණු ගිණුමේ අධ්‍යක්ෂවරුන් දෙදෙනෙකු හැටියට සිටි සිරිනාල් ද මැල් මහතාගේත්, ලලිත් වික්‍රමසිංහ මහතාගේත් අත්සන් ඉවත් කර තියෙනවා. ඒ මහත්වරුන් සමග මම පොදුගලිකව කථා කලා. ඒ මහත්වරු කවුරුවත් දන්නේ නැහැ. ඇයි ඒ මහත්වරුන්ගේ නම ඉවත් කළේ කියලා. ඒ මහත්වරු සමග ඒ ගැන සාකච්ඡා කර නැහැ. අධ්‍යක්ෂ මණ්ඩලයේ රැස්වීමට ඒ මහත්වරුන්ට ආරාධනාකර නැහැ. මේ ගැන කෙටි කලකට දැනගන්නට ලැබුණා, ඒ ගිණුමේ තිබුණු මුදල් වෙනත් සමාගමක තැන්පත් හැටියට අරගෙන තිබෙන බව.

මම අධ්‍යක්ෂ මණ්ඩලයට ආවාට පස්සේ යෝජනාවක් ඇවිල්ලා 'නිරෝමා' සමාගම රියදුරු සහ සාධක සංගමයේ භාරකරුවන් හැටියට පත් කර තියෙනවා. අපි කල්පනා කළේ නැහැ, එහි වරදක් තියෙනවාය කියා. 'නිරෝමා' සමාගම සීමාසහිත සමාගමක්. හිටපු කර්මාන්ත ඇමතිතුමාට සම්බන්ධකම් තියෙනවා. එයින් මේ අයායතනයට වරදක් වෙන්නේ නැහැ. මේ ආයතනය හොඳින් පවත්වාගෙන ආ නිසා ඒකෙන් තව විකක් මේ ව්‍යාපාරයට හයිසක් ඇති වේවි කියා හිතාගෙනයි. ඒ විධියට පත් කළේ. ඒවගේම මේ සමාගම මම දන්නා තරමින් අපි කටයුතු කරපු කාලේ කිසිම විධියකින් මේ සමාගමට හෝ රජයට හෝ එහෙම තැන්තමි දුම්කොළ සංස්ථාවට හෝ පාඩු වෙන්න කටයුතු කළේ නැහැ. නමුත් පසුගිය වකවානුවේ මෙහි සභාපති හැටියට කටයුතු කළ පියදස පෙරේරා මහතාට දෙලක්ෂ පහලොස් දහසක තැන්තමි දෙලක්ෂ විසිපත් දහසක වාහනයක් හිස කුමයකට දීලා තියෙනවා. මම දන්නේ නැහැ. මොන මතයක් උඩ දුන්නාද කියා. කරපු සේවාවට 'ගැටයුටි' වශයෙන් රුපියල් එක් ලක්ෂ විසිපත් දහසක් තැන්තමි පණස් දහක් ගෙවා තියෙනවා.

ඒවාගේම මම තවත් පැහැදිලි කැනී එක කාරණාවක් තියෙනවා, මෙකේ. 'නිරෝමා' සමාගමට මුදල් ගත්ත විට ස්වයං රැකියා යෝජනා ක්‍රමයේ රියදුරු සහ සාධක සංගමයේ තිබුණු මුදල් වෙන්පත් තුනකින් අරගෙන තියෙනවා. 06. 09. 83 වන දින දරන බි - 315235454 දරන වෙක්පතෙන් රුපියල් එක් ලක්ෂ විසිපත් දහක් අරගෙන තියෙනවා. රියදුරු සහ සාධක සංගමයේ මුදල්වලින්. 17. 10. 84 වන දින එක් ලක්ෂ විසිපත් දහක් අරගෙන තියෙනවා. 07. 01. 85 වන දින තුන් ලක්ෂයක් අරගෙන තියෙනවා. මම දන්නේ නැහැ, කවුද මේ මුදල් ගත්තේ කියා. මේ විවාදයට සහභාගි වන්නට ඕනෑ නිසා මම තිසි බලධාරීතුමාට ලිපියකින් දැනුම් දුන්නා, ස්වයං රැකියා යෝජනා ක්‍රමයේ ගිණුම්වල රියදුරු සහ සාධක සංගමයට අයිති මුදල් කොපමණ තියෙනවාද, මේ මුදලින් කොපමණ මුදලක් බැර කර තියෙනවාද කියා දන්වන ලෙස. තිසි බලධාරීයා මට ලියමනක් එවලා තිබෙනවා.

\* කැපබැඳු පාර්ලිමේන්තු මන්ත්‍රී,  
ගාමිණී ලොකුගේ මැතිතුමා,  
මාවිත්තර,  
පිළියන්දල.

මහත්මයාණනි,  
මම විසින් ඉල්ලා එවන ලද කරුණු පහත සඳහන් වේ.

- 01. අප ආයතනයේ ගිණුම් පොත් අප ලග දැනට නොමැති බැවින් බැංකු ගිණුම්වල තැන්පත් කර ඇති සාමාජික මුදල් ප්‍රමාණය දක්වා එවීමට නොහැකි බව දක්වමි.
- 02. සීමාසහිත ස්වයං රැකියා යෝජනා ක්‍රමයට අයත් රියදුරුගේ සහ සාධක අරමුදල නමින් පහත සඳහන් අයුරින් සීමාසහිත ලංකා වාණිජ බැංකුවේ කොල්ලුපිටිය ශාඛාවෙන් වෙක් පත් තිබුණු කර ඇත. "

ඒ අය දන්නෙ නැහැ කවුද මේ වෙක්පත් ගත්තේ කියලා. නමුත් මේවා පරීක්ෂා කර බලන්න ඕනෑ. හිටපු ඇමතිතුමාවන් දන්නෙ නැහැ, කවුරු ගත්තද කියල. මේ මුදල් සහසාධක සංගමයේ තිබුණු ගිණුම්වලින් අරගෙන තිබෙනවා. ඒ ගිණුම්වලින් මුදල් අරගෙන තිබුණු අවස්ථාවේත් තවත් මුදල් - නිරෝමා සමාගමේ ගිණුමට තැන්පත් කිරීම මම නම් හිතන්නෙ සුදුසු කටයුත්තක් නොවේවි කියලයි. එහෙම නම් තිබුණේ සහසාධක සංගමයට අයිති මුදල් කොපමණද කියල ගණන් බලල ඒ ප්‍රාණය භාරකාරයන් හැටියට අරගන්නයි. මම හිතන්නේ භාරකාරයන් හැටියට ඒ අය පත්කලාට පස්සේ ඒ මුදල්වලට වෙක්පත් අත්සන් කර තිබෙනවා. මම මුලින් කීවා ඒකේ හිටපු අධ්‍යක්ෂවරුන් දෙදෙනෙකුට තිබුණු ඒ ගිණුම් සඳහා අත්සන් කිරීමේ බලය ඔවුන්ට දන්වන්නෙ නැතුව ඉවත් කර තිබෙන බව. මේ ගැන මම කණගාටු වෙනවා. මෙය පරීක්ෂා කර බැලුවොත් හොඳයි.

ඒවාගේම හිටපු ඇමතිතුමාගෙ කථාවේදී ස්වයං රැකියා යෝජනා ක්‍රමයට අයිති ජීප් රථයක් මම පාවිච්චි කලයි කීවි. ඒක බොරුත් නොවෙයි, ඇත්තක්. මම පාවිච්චි කලා ජීප් රථයක්. මම ඒක පාවිච්චි කරන්න ඇති වුණු හේතු මම පැහැදිලි කර දෙන්න ඕනෑ මේ සභාවට. මම මන්ත්‍රී ආසනය තරග කරන විට එවකට හිටපු කර්මාන්ත ඇමතිතුමා හමු වී මේ ගැන කථාකලා. අපේ කොට්ඨාශයේ ක්‍රියාකාරී අධ්‍යක්ෂවරුන් ගණනාවක් සිටිනවා. ඒ අධ්‍යක්ෂවරුන් සමහර අය මාත් එක්ක ආසනය තරග කිරීමට උත්සාහ කල අය. තවත් සමහර අය මට ඉතා කුළුපගව මට උදවු උපකාර කරන අය. මගේ හිසේ හසක් තිබුණ මා නිල රථ පාවිච්චි කලොත් මගේ ජන්ද ව්‍යාපාරයේදී මට ජන්ද පෙන්සම් එයි, තැන්තමි මට යම් කිසි පාඩුවක් වෙයි කියල. එම නිසා මම එතුමාගෙන් ඉල්ලා සිටියා මගේ කොට්ඨාශයේ සිටින ක්‍රියාකාරී අධ්‍යක්ෂ වරුන්ගේ නිල රථ ජන්ද කාලයට ආපහු ගන්නය කියා. එතුමා ඒ ඉල්ලීම ඉෂට කලා. ඒ ගමන්ම මම ඉල්ලුවා මට වාහනයක් ගොසා දුන්නොත් හොඳයි කියල. එවිට ස්වයං රැකියා යෝජනා ක්‍රමයේ අධ්‍යක්ෂවරුන් මට කීවා "එහෙම නම් මේ අරන් ජීප් රථ ගෙනත් තිබෙනවා. එක ජීප් රථයක් පාවිච්චි කරන්න" කියල. මට ජන්ද කටයුතු සඳහා දුන් ඒ ජීප් රථය මම පාවිච්චි කලා.

ජන්දය අවසාන වුණාට පස්සේ හිටපු ඇමතිතුමාටත් ඒ අධ්‍යක්ෂවරුන්ටත් මම කීවා, "දන් මම මේ ජීප් රථය පාවිච්චි කරල ඉවරයි. ඕනෑ නම් දෙන්නම්" කියල. එතකොට කීවා, "නෑ, අපි ගාව ජීප් රථ ගතරක් තිබෙනවා. මේ ජීප් රථය තිබුණාට ප්‍රශ්නයක් නැහැ. පාවිච්චි කරන්න" කියල. නමුත් එතුමා මට එක දිනයක දුරකථනයෙන් කීවා, "ජීප් රථය දක් එවන්න" කියල. මම එදම ඒක එව්වා.

මම කතාගාටු වෙනවා මේ සිද්ධිය පමණක් මේ ගරු සභාවේදී කීම ගැන. මොකද ? අපි ස්වයං රැකියා යෝජනා ක්‍රමයේ ඉන්දැද්දී මේ ජීප් රථ නා නා මාදිලියේ වැඩවලට නා නා කටයුතුවලට නා නා පුද්ගලයන්ට පාවිච්චි කරන්නට දීලා තිබුණා. ඒවා අපි වැරදි විධියට නොවෙයි හොඳ විධියට පාවිච්චි කලා. ඒ එක සිද්ධිමක් ගැන පමණක් මා පාර්ලිමේන්තුවේ සිටින නිසාද දන්නේ නැහැ. මගේ නම පමණක් කීම ගැන මම කතාගාටු වෙනවා. නමුත් මම ඒ ගැන දුක් වෙන්නේ නැහැ. මම ඒක පාවිච්චි කල බව මේ සභාවේදීත් කියනවා. මම හැම තැනමත් කියනවා.

ස්වයං රැකියා යෝජනා ක්‍රමයට මගේ නම සම්බන්ධ වුණු නිසා මගේ යුතුකමක් තිබෙනවා මගේ නම සම්බන්ධ වුණු කරුණු වික පැහැදිලි කර දීමට. එහෙම තැනිට මට වුවමනා කර තිබුණේ මේ විවාදයේදී වෙන සිද්ධියක් ගැන කථා කරන්න නොවෙයි. ඒක අද මම ඇත්තවශයෙන්ම කතාගාටු වෙනවා. දුක් වෙනවා. ඉතාම හොඳ ව්‍යාපාරයක් හැටියට මේ රටේ සාමාන්‍ය රැකියා කල මිනිසුන්ට තමන්ට කියා වාහනයක් අයිතිකරගන්නට පුළුවන් ව්‍යාපාරයක් අද කඩා ඉහිරි ඉතාම කතාගාටුයක තත්ත්වයට පත්වී තිබීම ගැන. නමුත් අපි සන්නේෂ වෙනවා මේ ව්‍යාපාරය තුළින් මේ රටේ සැතහෙ පිරිසකට වාහන අයිතිකාරයන් වන්නට පුළුවන්කම ඇති වීම ගැන. මම දන්නේ නැහැ නිත්‍යානුකූල තත්ත්වය මොකක්ද කියල. මොන තත්ත්වයක් ඇති වුණත් මේ ස්වයං රැකියා යෝජනා ක්‍රමය ඇති වුණු නිසා මේ රටේ රියදුරුන් හැටියට සිටි පත්සියයකට හය සියයකට තමන් පදවන වාහනයක් අයිතිකර ගන්නට හැකි අය හැටියට මේ රටේ ජීවත් වන්නට පුළුවන්කම ඇති වුණා.

මේ ආයතනය රජයට පවරා ගන්නත් එය පවත්වාගෙන ගිය තත්ත්වය දිගටම ආරක්ෂා වන ආකාරයට ගරු කර්මාන්ත හා විද්‍යා කටයුතු ඇමතිතුමා එය පාලනය කරයි කියා මම බලාපොරොත්තු වෙනවා. මේ ආයතනය කරගෙන ගියේ ඉතාමත් හොඳ ව්‍යාපාරයක්. මේ රටේ සාමාන්‍ය ජනතාවටත් තමන්ටය කියා වාහනයක් අයිති කර ගන්න පුළුවන් තත්ත්වයක් ඇති කරන්න තමයි. ඒ ව්‍යාපාරය ආරම්භ කොට පවත්වාගෙන ගියේ. ඒ නිසා ඉදිරියටත් එය සාමාන්‍ය ජනතාවට විශාල සේවාවක් වන විධියට පවත්වාගෙන යන්න කටයුතු කරන හැටියට එතුමාගෙන් ඉල්ලා සිටිනවා.

මාත් මේ ව්‍යාපාරයට සම්බන්ධව සිටි නිසා මේ අවස්ථාවේදී මගෙන් යුතුකමක් ඉටු විය යුතුව තිබෙනවා. අප මේ ව්‍යාපාරයේ කටයුතු කරන කාලයේදී ලලිත් වික්‍රමසිංහ මහත්මයාත්, සිරිනාල් ද මැල් මහත්මයාත් ඉතාමත් හිතවත්ව මේ ව්‍යාපාරය දියුණු කරන්න කටයුතු කලා. කවුරු මොන විධියේ ආකල්පයක් දැරුවත් මම කියන්න කැමැතියි, ගාමිණී මාරපත මහත්මයා ඉතාමත් දක්ෂ විධියට කටයුතු කරමින් මේ ව්‍යාපාරය ඒ විධියට දියුණු

තත්ත්වයෙන් පවත්වාගෙන ඉපට අතහිත දුන් බව. ඒ මහත්වරුන් මේ ගරු සභාවේ කැඟි නිසා, මේ ව්‍යාපාරය ඒ විධියට සාර්ථකව පවත්වාගෙන යන්න ඉපට දුන් සභාය ගැන එතුමන්ලා මේ ගරු සභාවේදී ස්කූති පුරවකව සිහිපත් කිරීම මගේ යුතුකමක්ව තිබෙනවා. මේ ව්‍යාපාරය පටන් ගත් දවසේ සිටම එය හොඳින් පවත්වාගෙන යන්න එතුමන්ලා අත-හිත දුන්වා.

මම දැන් වසයෙන්ම කතාහැටු වෙතවා, ගාමිනී මාරපත මනනා ගැන. එතුමා අධ්‍යක්ෂ මණ්ඩල රැස්වීමට සහභාගි වුණද, එහෙම තැත්නම් අනෙකුත් අධ්‍යක්ෂවරුන්ගේ වුවමනාකම්වලට යට වන්න එතුමාට සිද්ධ වුණද කියා මම දන්නේ නැහැ. එතුමා නියම මහත්මයෙක් හැටියට කටයුතු කළ කෙනෙක්. ඉතාම හොඳින්, ඉතාම බුද්ධිමත්ව තීන්දු ගත් මහත්මයෙක්.

සිරිනාල් ද මැල් මහත්මයාන්, ලලිත් වික්‍රමසිංහ මහත්මයාන් අධ්‍යක්ෂ මණ්ඩලයේ සිටිද්දී බැංකු ගිණුම් පිළිබඳ කටයුතු වලින් එතුමන්ලාගේ නම් ඉවත් කොට තිබීම ගැන මම කතාහැටු වෙතවා. මම හිතන්නේ, අධ්‍යක්ෂ මණ්ඩලයේ මුදල් පිළිබඳ කටයුතුවලට සහභාගි වන්න එතුමන්ලාට ඉඩ දීලා තැහැ. ඒ තත්ත්වය ගැන මම කතාහැටු වෙතවා.

මොන විධියකින් හෝ වේවා අද මේ ආයතනය කර්මාන්ත හා විද්‍යා කටයුතු පිළිබඳ අමාත්‍යාංශය යටතට පත් වී තිබෙනවා. මෙය ඉතාමත් හොඳ ව්‍යාපාරයක් කරගෙන ගිය ආයතනයක්. ඒ නිසා සාමාන්‍ය මහජනතාවට තවදුරටත් සේවාවක් සිදු කරන්න පුළුවන් ආකාරයෙන් ඉතාමත් හොඳින්, ශක්තිමත් විධියට ඒ ආයතනය පවත්වාගෙන යන්න ගරු කර්මාන්ත හා විද්‍යා කටයුතු ඇමතිතුමාට ධෛර්යයක්, ශක්තියක් ලැබේවායි ප්‍රාර්ථනා කරමින් මගේ වචන ස්වල්පය අවසාන කරනවා.

පු. ක. 11.40

ආර්. ජේ. ජී. ද මැල් මහතා (මුදල් හා ක්‍රම සම්පාදන ඇමතිතුමා)  
 (திரு. ஆர். ஜி. ஜி. த மெல் - நிதி, அமைப்புத்திட்ட அமைச்சர்)  
 (Mr. R. J. G. de Mel - Minister of Finance and Planning)

Mr. Deputy Speaker, this long drawn out debate was generated by a simple Resolution moved by me for the take over of Self-Employment Projects Limited under the Business Undertakings Acquisition Act. I did this at the request of my honourable Colleague, the Minister of Industries and Scientific Affairs.

In the course of this debate last April, the Hon. Member for Kelaniya made a long and somewhat confused speech in which he tried to make out that every action taken by this firm was, to quote his own words, "honourable, justified and correct." He took what I would call a holier than thou attitude and tried to make out that the acquisition of the Self-Employment Projects Limited was completely unjustified. In order to establish his case the hon. Member for Kelaniya quoted extensively from various documents. He made a speech lasting nearly five hours and, in particular, read out in full a lengthy letter on the activities of Self-Employment Projects Limited, which he stated had been addressed to him by two of the Directors of the Company, Mr. Piyadasa Perera and Mr. K. Ganeshalingam.

The vast mass of details placed before the House by the hon. Member in his speech served, in my opinion, only to cloud and confuse the real issues involved. I propose, therefore, in my own remarks at the outset to confine myself to the real basic issues and to avoid as fair as possible all peripheral and irrelevant details. I will go into the details themselves later on in my speech.

Mr. Deputy Speaker, as you know, it is a most painful duty for me to speak about the acts of omission and commission of an old Colleague and old friend with whom I had the pleasure to work in the same Cabinet for seven years. I wish I could have avoided it. That is why in my opening speech in moving this Resolution I did not refer once to the hon. Member for Kelaniya. I did not refer once to the then Minister of Industries and Scientific Affairs, not even by one word. I confined myself only to a very short speech stating why I had been requested by the Hon. Minister of Industries and Scientific Affairs to take over the Sep Limited - I will call it SEP Limited or short. I wish the hon. Member for Kelaniya had not made the type of speech he made, making allegations all round, hitting all round the wicket. In these circumstances I am compelled very much against my wish to reply, and reply at some length. I propose to do so now.

The main thrust of the argument used by the hon. Member for Kelaniya was that SEP Limited is a purely private liability company. I wish to quote his own words: "had nothing to do with the Government." He stated that neither the Sri Lanka Tobacco Corporation nor the Government had made any investment in, or given any loan to, Self-Employment Projects Limited. It was his contention, therefore, that the Government had no right to inquire into any of the activities of the Company or to question the propriety of its actions.

Mr. Deputy Speaker, let us first examine the validity of this contention of the hon. Member for Kelaniya, this statement that this is a very private, purely private, company as he stated. The hon. Member for Kelaniya has conceded the fact that that SEP was formed as a private limited liability company with the primary object of taking over the management of a number of trishaws or three wheelers, whatever you may call it, which have been purchased by the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation, a fully Government owned Corporation entirely financed by the tax-payers' money. An Agreement which was entered into between the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation and the new Company spelled out in detail the proposed relationship between the two organizations. SEP Limited had no independent existence whatsoever as a company, public or private, before this date, before this Government with Government money purchased the three wheelers for it to operate and manage.

This agreement stated that SEP would function as 'Managing Agents' for trishaws owned by the Government Corporation. I would like the House to carefully note the term that has been used. SEP was set up to be the Agent of the Corporation - "Agent", that is the relevant work. Anyone who has even a nodding acquaintance with the law governing the relationship between Principal and Agent knows that when a Principal entrusts business to an Agent, the Principal has every right to examine how well or how badly the

[ආර්. ඩී. ඊ මැල මහතා]

Agent discharges the responsibilities entrusted to him or to the organisation. It is ludicrous, therefore, to suggest as the hon. Member for Kelaniya has done, that the Government had no right to question any of the actions of a Company which was set up primarily as the Agent of a State Corporation, merely on the ground that the Agent happens to be in law a private limited liability company set up under the Companies Act. This is a completely ludicrous argument as you will well see.

Mr. Deputy Speaker, there is another aspect of this matter to which I would like to draw the attention of the House. It is true that in a purely legal sense Self-Employment Projects Limited was a private limited liability company. But was it in fact a truly private enterprise? That is the question. A private business enterprise is one in which private persons invest their own monies in the form of equity capital to undertake some particular line of business. You know that, Sir. Such an enterprise may then raise loans usually by pledging the assets of the company or of the shareholders, and if the business eventually incurs losses the investors run the risk of losing in part or in whole their equity and loan capital. The investment of private capital and the bearing of business risks are essential elements in any private business undertaking.

You know that, Sir, and every hon. Member of this House knows that. Compare that with the position in Self-Employment Projects. This House will be amazed to learn that the total paid-up capital of this so-called private firm at the time it took over the management of the 3-wheeler business was the princely sum of Rs. 20. Rs. 20 only! A firm with a paid-up capital of only Rs. 20 were given the management and use of nearly Rs. 15 million worth of state property owned by the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation, a state corporation, and they were allowed to appropriate half the profits accruing from the use of the state property. Where, then, was the private element in SEP, I ask you?

SEP was set up to be the agent of a state organisation. Its only assets were the right to use and appropriate the profits of the property belonging to the state, belonging to the tax-payer. SEP was a private company only in name. It is my contention that the Government had every right to examine its working and if it was not satisfied with the manner in which the company was discharging its functions, the Government was fully entitled to take necessary corrective action, which it has now done.

Mr. Deputy Speaker, let us now see whether SEP did in fact discharge its responsibilities satisfactorily. The Agency Agreement entered into between the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation and SEP made provision for the audited accounts of SEP to be submitted to the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries

Corporation. It also provided that 50 per cent of the profits of SEP should be paid to the Tobacco Industries Corporation. Although the Tobacco Industries Corporation called several times for the audited accounts of SEP, not one single audited statement of accounts was ever submitted by SEP to the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation, its principal. Under the new Companies Law which came into force in July 1985 audited accounts of every registered company have to be submitted to the Registrar of Companies, whether they be private or public companies, whatever the status of the company, they have to submit their audited accounts to the Registrar of Companies. Not a single set of accounts has been submitted by SEP to the Registrar of Companies up to date. This is how SEP conducted its business, the very opposite of what the hon. Member for Kelaniya (Mr. Cyril Mathew) tried to make out in this House.

The long letter from the two directors of SEP which the hon. Member read out in this House said—

“With wise management and good management, SEP was able to expand its activities.”

It is a shocking travesty of the truth to say that a company which failed to prepare and submit its audited statement of accounts to its principal was in the hands of good management. What type of management is this, I ask you? What is much more important is that although the agreement signed by SEP specifically stated that 50 per cent of its profits should be paid to Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation, not a single cent of such profits – not a single red cent I tell this House – was paid although the Chairman of the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation had written to SEP requesting that profits be paid to the Corporation in accordance with the agreement entered into.

It is not as if SEP did not make profits. If they did not make any profits I could have understood but the letter of the two directors, which the hon. Member for Kelaniya quoted in his speech, specifically stated that SEP made good profits. Then, what happened to those profits? The hon. Member also said in his speech that SEP had made a lot of money. What happened to this money? I charge the SEP and all who were concerned with its management – and I make this charge with a full sense of responsibility – of fraudulently misappropriating and misapplying the half share of its profits which belonged to the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation and which should have been paid to the Corporation in terms of the Agency Agreement which was entered into by the firm; in other words, fraudulently misappropriating and misapplying Government money, the tax-payers' money. This is the gravamen of my charge.

When the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation's annual accounts were presented to Parliament last month, unfortunately I was

away – when the cat is away the rats are at play – the hon. Member for Kelaniya referred to an item in the accounts relating to the profits of the SEP and stated that this proved that a part of the SEP profits had in fact been paid to the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation. There is no truth whatsoever in this statement. It is a completely incorrect statement. Provision has been included in the accounts in accordance with the normal accounting practice under which accrued income or income due to an organisation is included in its accounts as a provision made to give a more realistic picture of the profits or loss of the organisation concerned. But the money itself has not been paid. That is a fact.

The Chairman of the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation has confirmed to my Ministry in writing that not a single cent of SEP's profits has in fact been received by the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation at any time since the inception of the Trishaw Project. I will now read, Sir, and table this letter. It is a very important letter. To quote :-

**"SRI LANKA TOBACCO INDUSTRIES CORPORATION**

172, Rammutugala,  
Kadawata,  
09.07.1985

B. Mahadeva Esq.,  
Director General of National Planning,  
Ministry of Finance and Planning,  
Galle Face Secretariat,  
Colombo 1.

Dear Sir,

Remittance in respect of Profits from Self-Employment Projects Ltd.

Further to the information furnished by the accountant of this Corporation on the above subject I hereby certify that the Self-Employment Projects Ltd. has not made any remittance to the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation in respect of Profits of the Auto Trishaw Service operated by them.

Thanking You,

Yours faithfully,

**SRI LANKA TOBACCO INDUSTRIES CORPORATION**

Chairman & Managing Director.

c.c :- Secretaty - Ministry of Industries & Scientific Affairs.

I table this letter. \* You can mark it in any way you like. I have to table a series of documents.

Mr. Deputy Speaker, not only did SEP fail to pay to the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation a half share of its profits, as it was legally bound to do, it also further defrauded the State by failing to pay a single

cent in income tax on the large profits it had earned. Money which rightly belonged to the State, money which should have come to the State was placed in fixed deposits in various banks and in a Trust—I will talk of the Trust later—which was completely controlled by family members of the hon. Member for Kelaniya.

In the light of these facts how can anyone accept the statement contained in the letter quoted by the hon. Member for Kelaniya that there has been no misappropriation or mismanagement by SEP? Nor can anyone accept, Sir, the hon. Member's statement that every action it took was honourable, justified and correct—honourable, justified and correct, my foot! On the contrary, it is my firm contention that not only was there inefficiency and mismanagement but there was also deliberate, continuous, systematic and diabolic misappropriation of funds which rightly belonged to the State. As Minister responsible for safeguarding, as far as I can, the financial interests of this country and of this Government, I cannot accept or condone these fraudulent activities.

The entire history of SEP Ltd. is a saga of financial skulduggery. That is the correct word to use. I used a very mild word when I said in my first speech that there are some gross financial irregularities. It is a saga of financial skulduggery of the basest type. I repeat that word.

This is not all, Sir. I am just beginning.

නියෝජ්‍ය කථානායකතුමා  
(பிரதிச் சபாநாயகர் அவர்கள்)  
(Mr. Deputy Speaker)

Order, please! Now it is 12 o'clock. The Sitting is suspended till 2 p.m.

යැවීමේ ඊට අනුකූලව නාවකාලිකව අත්හිටුවන ලදී. ඊ. හා. 2 ට නියෝජ්‍ය කාරක සභාපතිතුමා [එවිමනේ සමරවික්‍රම මහතා] ගේ සභාපතිත්වයෙන් නැවත පවත්වන ලදී.

அதன்படி, அமர்வு பி. ப. 2 மணிவரை இடைநிறுத்தப்பட்டு, மீண்டும் ஆரம்பமாயிற்று. குழுப் பிரதித் தலைவர் அவர்கள் [திரு. எட்மண்ட் சமரவிக்ரம] தலைமை வகித்தார்கள்.

Sitting accordingly suspended till 2 p.m. and then resumed, MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEES [MR. EDMUND SAMARAWICKREMA] in the Chair.

ආර්. ඩේ. ජී. ද මැල් මහතා  
(திரு. ஆர். ஜி. த். ஜி. டி. மெல்)  
(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel)

Just before we adjourned for lunch, Sir, I was dealing with the misappropriation of profits, 50 per cent of which should have come to the State coffers, by Self-Employment Projects Ltd. Apart from the misappropriation of profits, Sir, Self-Employment Projects Limited was guilty of many other financial irregularities. My colleague, the Hon. Minister of Industries and Scientific Affairs referred to some of these irregularities in his speech in Parliament on 9th April. He referred for instance, to the loan given by

\* ප්‍රස්තූත කාලයේ තමා ඇත.  
தூனிவையத்தில் வைக்கப்பட்டுள்ளது.  
Placed in the Library.

[ආර්. ජේ. ජී. ද මැල මහතා]

SEP to one of its Directors, a loan which was completely unwarranted. He also referred to the irregular use of jeeps belonging to the Company. I do not wish to take up the time of the House at this stage by listing the numerous irregularities of which the company was guilty, but I must certainly refute, Sir, the allegation made against me by the Hon. Member for Kelaniya. He had the sheer impudence and the sheer cheek to say in this House that my statement, that SEP was guilty of a series of financial and other irregularities, 'was a complete falsehood' and that I had tried to 'mislead this House'. I leave it to the House and the Hon. Members to judge, who exactly has been guilty of uttering falsehoods and who has attempted to mislead this House. I will give full details of each company; SEP Ltd., Niroma Ltd., the Trust Deed, the Management Agreement, the Kelaniya Foundation etc., and then you will be able to judge - the hon. Members will be able to judge for themselves. Let me tell my Friend, the hon. Member for Kelaniya only one thing at this stage. "People in three-wheelers should not throw stones. They sometimes back fire". He should remember that.

අතිර මුණසිංහ මහතා  
(ති.රු. අනිල් මුණසිංහ)  
(Mr. Anil Moonesinghe)

That is if the stones are thrown into the exhaust.

ආර්. ජේ. ජී. ද මැල මහතා  
(ති.රු. ජී. ජී. ජී. ජී. ද මෙල්)  
(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel)

I do not think the Member for Kelaniya can throw it either into the exhaust or somewhere else.

In his speech, the Hon. Member for Kelaniya gave details of the shareholders and Directors of SEP and of the equity holding of each share-holder, as well as details of the various changes in the Directorate of SEP. He also described in some detail the origin and ownership of Niroma Limited, the Mathew family firm, which was appointed as Trustee for the Trust set up by SEP called "Self-Employment Projects Welfare Trust". But in spite of the undigested mass of verbiage and the mass of details given by the Hon. Member for Kelaniya, there are many questions relating to these two firms which still remain unanswered, questions which are very pertinent to the Motion we are now discussing, Sir. I mentioned earlier that the original paid-up share capital of SEP at the time when it took over the management of Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation's trishaws was only twenty rupees. The princely sum of Rs. 20. I will show you how Rs. 20 became lakhs and millions very soon!

Particulars of changes in the ownership of shares in a registered company have - as you well know Sir, you are one of the most famous brokers 20 years ago. I had

very close personal relations. Now I do not think he has time to do brokering. His multifarious duties as a Member of Parliament does not permit him to do so. Anyway, Sir, what I was just telling you was that, as you well know, particulars of changes in the ownership of sares in a registered company have to be notified to the Registrar of Companies. That is an absolutely essential requirement of Company Law. The records available in the office of the Registrar of Companies, which we have studied very carefully, indicate that in November 1981 the total number of shares, which had been issued by SEP, was 5,000, the par value of each share being Rs. 10. Of these 5,000 shares, 1,000 shares were ostensibly held, - I am using the word 'ostensibly' - by the Jathika Sevaka Sangamaya and another 1,000, by SEP drivers' Welfare Society. It is very interesting, Sir. The Accountant, a very responsible officer of the Jathika Sevaka Sangamaya has stated in writing - I will produce the letter soon - that there is no record of any shares in SEP being purchased or paid for by the JSS. I am now going to table that letter. [Interruption] There are many "helpkarayas", as you know.

"Jathika Sevaka Sangamaya - President : Minister of Industries and Scientific Affairs Hon. C. Cyril Mathew. General Secretary : Srinal de Mel." No relation of mine. This letter is dated 19th April 1985 and addressed to :

D. J. Samaratinga Esq.

Competent Authority, Govt. Owned Business Undertaking of Self Employment Projects Ltd., 532, Galle Road, Colombo 3.

"Dear Sir,

Share Purchase of S.E.P. Ltd.

With reference to your letter dated 18th April 1985, we wish to inform you that we have not been officially informed by S.E.P that they have allocated any shares to J.S.S. and we have not made any payment against purchase of shares.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,  
Jathika Sevaka Sangamaya.  
Signed Accountant."

I table this letter for inclusion in HANSARD\* I want all these letters to be included in HANSARD. Then, Sir, between 1981 and 1983 SEP made large profits, and accumulated large cash balances. The real value of shares would therefore, as you know, have risen far above their original par value. In March 1983, the 2,000 shares of SEP belonging to the JSS, ostensibly belong to the JSS and the SEP-

අතිර මුණසිංහ මහතා  
(ති.රු. අනිල් මුණසිංහ)  
(Mr. Anil Moonesinghe)

2,000 or 1,000 shares ?

ආර්. ඩී. ජී. ජී. මෙල්  
(திரு. ஆர். ஜி. ஜி. த மெல்)  
(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel)

2,000, 1,000 of each. 2,000 shares of SEP belonging to the JSS and the SEP Drivers' Welfare Society both, were transferred to the Mathew family firm, Niroma Limited. I will give you the full details of Niroma Limited very soon. It will shock you. But there is no record in the books of the JSS of any payment having been received for these shares, which were transferred to the Mathew family firm. Even if payment had been made, it was totally improper for the JSS, of which Mr. Mathew was the President, to transfer shares in a very profitable company to a family firm controlled by Mr. Mathew's own family, his sons, daughter, nephews etcetera. [Interruption] What is even more intriguing [Interruption] Of course, there was one person called Ganeshalingam.

Mathew had a little lamb,  
In a three-wheeler that was slow.  
And everywhere that Mathew went,  
Ganesh was sure to go.

The Sinhala hero and Ganeshalingam, a pukka combination! [Interruption]

The same process has been repeated in almost every Corporation of the Ministry of Industries. This is only the beginning. I can tell you that. I am saying it with a sense of responsibility. What is even more intriguing is that on 30th March 1983, barely three weeks after these valuable shares transferred by the JSS and the Drivers' Welfare Society to the Mathew family firm, SEP made a large bonus issue of shares on the basis of - I am sure the hon. Member for Matugama who knows Company Law very well will get stunned - 9 bonus shares for each existing share. How did you like that? The Mathew family firm therefore received a huge bonanza, of 18,000 bonus shares in a very profitable Company without paying a cent at the expense of whom, at the expense of the Jathika Sevaka Sangamaya, the workers, and the Drivers' Welfare Society - again the workers - which would otherwise have received these bonus shares. So much for trade union leaders. I am ashamed. The hon. Member for Kelaniya did not state in his speech exactly how much his family firm paid to the JSS and the Drivers' Welfare Society for the shares which they purchased. How was the value of the shares determined? Did the valuation take into account the large profits which were being made by SEP? Surely there would have been a large number of other persons who would have willingly purchased these shares? Probably even my Friend the hon. Member for Kesbawa would have liked to purchase these shares. Why only the Mathew family? Or on what basis did the JSS and the Drivers' Welfare Society decide to sell these valuable shares to the Mathew family firm just before a large bonus issue of shares was due to be made by

SEP? These are all relevant questions to which the hon. Member for Kelaniya has not provided any answers in his long speech full of irrelevancies. Was any consideration paid at all by the Mathew family firm for these very valuable shares of SEP Limited? No answer has been given by the hon. Member for Kelaniya, because I feel no answer can be given.

Mr. Chairman, what is equally interesting is how and why the family firm of Niroma Ltd., was selected as a Trustee for the Trust which was set up utilizing the profits of SEP without paying half the profits to the Government. Surely the hon. Member for Kelaniya is aware that there are large and respected professional organizations which are prepared to handle Trust Funds of this nature? The Public Trustee, you know, Sir handles funds of many Private Trusts. Leading legal firms such as Julius and creasy and F J & G de Seram, have special subsidiaries or divisions to handle Trusts. So have leading and accounting and Banking Institutions. With all these alternatives available, why did SEP choose private family firm of the Minister's family which he says had been established to erect an office building in Canal Row, Fort. That is what he said in his speech - a firm which had no professional experience or expertise in financial or legal matters. Why was this firm selected to be the Trustees of the Self-Employment Projects Welfare Trust? Is it because it was not possible to find a single qualified person or persons in this country other than the Minister's own children and his brother's children to whom he could entrust these monies half of which belonged to the State or was it because by placing the monies in the custody of his relations it would be much easier for him to use these funds for purpose which a professional Trustees would never condone? I leave it to you to judge, Sir. Mr. Chairman when very large sums of money, half of which rightfully belonged to the State, are placed by a Minister in the custody of his own relatives, what do you call this entire operation? What term shall I use to describe it, except gross financial irregularity.

Mr. Deputy Chairman, I would next like to reply to the serious charge made by the hon. Member for Kelaniya that the Hon. Minister of Industries in particular and I too have made false statements in this House in a deliberate attempt to mislead the House and and that we are therefore guilty of a breach of privilege. The statements to which he referred were, first, the statement that the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation had made a "loan" top SEP - he objected to the use of the word "loan" - and, second, the statement that the Government had not approved this entire project.

Let us first examine the statement relating to the "loan" by the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation to SEP. I have already explained the relationship between the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries

[ආර්. සේ. ජී. ද මැල මහතා]

Corporation and SEP. The Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation purchased approximately Rs. 15 million worth of trishaws and made them available to SEP. SEP was given the right to manage and operate the trishaws and to appropriate 50 per cent of the profits of the operation. The balance 50 per cent of the profits were to be paid to the Corporation because it had advanced Rs. 15 million. In addition, SEP agreed to pay to the Corporation the initial cost of the trishaws amounting to a little under Rs. 15 million on or before 7th March 1983.

It is true that no money was directly paid by the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation to SEP. They bought the trishaws for SEP by paying Rs. 15 million. But there is no doubt that the complicated agreement entered into between the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation and SEP created a relationship between them which had all the characteristics of a loan. SEP had bound itself to pay a certain sum of money to the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation by a particular date and was therefore "indebted" to the Corporation. In lieu of paying interest on this sum SEP had agreed to pay to the Corporation 50 per cent of the profits of the enterprise. Whether or not a person who is indebted to another person can be described as having taken a "loan" or merely described as being "in debt" to that person, is a matter of pure semantics. The description, therefore, of the transaction as a loan cannot by any stretch of imagination be construed as a deliberate attempt on the part of the Hon. Minister of Industries and Scientific Affairs or myself to mislead this House.

As regards the second allegation that we had misled the House by stating that the Government had not approved this transaction, I would like, for purpose of record, to recount the whole history of this enterprise. The first mention in writing of this project occurs in the minutes of a meeting of the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation held on 19th January 1980. Although this project was an important one and involved expenditure by the Corporation of nearly Rs. 15 million, there was no memorandum setting out the details of the project or its financial implications placed before the board. The matter was raised under "Any Other Business" by one of the directors, Mr. K. Ganeshalingam - the little lamb, as I called him, the faithful little lamb - who stated that the Hon. Minister of Industries and Scientific Affairs had directed the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation to take over the trishaws imported by Messrs. Hebitulabhoy & Co., Ltd. These trishaws were taken over and paid for on the very same day, 19th January 1980. How quickly they acted! At the time this purchase was made no formal Cabinet Paper had even been submitted by the Ministry of Industries and Scientific Affairs. That is how they act!

The first Cabinet Paper on the subject was submitted by the Ministry on 28th January 1980, that is, nine days after the event. This Cabinet Paper, which was submitted a week after the trishaws had been purchased and paid for, did not state that the purchase had already been made. It merely sought the approval of the Cabinet to implement a scheme under which trishaws would be purchased by the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation and managed by the Jathika Sevaka Sangamaya under the direction of the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation.

In his observations on this Cabinet Paper, the Acting Minister of Finance and Planning, Mr. M. H. M. Naina Marikar, made the following comments. I will now read out and table his entire observations\* because some allegation was made that the Ministry of Finance had also approved this. Here are the observations of Mr. Naina Marikar, Acting Minister of Finance and Planning, dated March 1980.

"CABINET MEMORANDUM

*Operation of the Auto Trishaw Passenger Service  
Observations of the Minister of Finance & Planning*

The Proposal is to enable the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation to:

- (1) take possession of 593 auto trishaws already imported by Messrs Hebitulabhoy & Co. Ltd., or on order by the same party;
- (2) finance these imports - 40 % from its own resources and 60% by People's Bank, by pledging the vehicles to the Bank;
- (3) hand over the vehicles to users on a hire purchase scheme covering a 3 years period;
- (4) suitably amend the Incorporation Order of the Corporation to permit the Corporation to engage itself in this activity;

These auto trishaws, I understand, are new vehicles and not the ones that have already been on the roads.

2. The proposal falls completely outside the objectives of the Tobacco Corporation and is not even incidental to its main objectives."

These are the Acting Minister's observations.

"3. The ability of the Tobacco Corporation to undertake this financing arrangement is also in question. The National Institute of Business Management in a report dated 7th February 1980 addressed to the Secretary, Ministry of Industries and Scientific Affairs, has made adverse comments on the financial management, as well as on the absence of systems and control in the credit and inventory management, of the Tobacco Corporation. A copy of this report is annexed.

4. I would recommend that the party which established the Irrevocable letter of Credit or the Banker can clear the vehicles and thereafter enter into a hire purchase agreement with the users. The users of these vehicles can make individual applications to the People's Bank or any Commercial Bank, by pledging the vehicles to the Bank concerned and obtain facilities to purchase them. There is no necessity for the Tobacco Corporation to get involved in a purely financing venture.

Sgd. M. H. M. Naina Marikar,  
Acting Minister of Finance and Planning."

I table these observations for inclusion in Hansard.\*

\* ප්‍රඥප්තියක් මගින් මෙය සිදු කළේය.  
தூணிலையுத்தில் வைக்கப்பட்டுள்ளது.  
Placed in the Library.



Then, at the Cabinet Meeting held on 19th March 1980 – this was about three months after the event – at which the Cabinet Paper of the Industries Ministry was considered, the Hon. Minister of Industries was required to present a full report on this matter to the Cabinet indicating whether approval had been granted to the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation to operate a passenger service and whether the prior approval of the Cabinet had been obtained for this purpose. A report on these matters was never submitted to the Cabinet by the Hon. Minister of Industries. Instead, he sent a Cabinet Paper dated 20th April, 1980 in which he stated that the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation was being profitably run! The Cabinet considered this memorandum, and the Cabinet Minutes merely record that “its contents were noted”.

There is a great difference between contents being noted and approval being granted for a project.

There is no record anywhere in the Cabinet Office of approval having been given by the Cabinet for the purchase of the trishaws nor is there any record of Cabinet approval being granted for entrusting the management of these trishaws to a private firm – Self Employment Projects Ltd. In fact, there is no mention whatever of a private firm in any of the documents submitted by the Hon. Minister of Industries to the Cabinet. I am quite justified therefore in stating that this project was never really approved by the Government and that Government approval was never given for entering into an agreement with a private company for the management of trishaws belonging to the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation.

In view of the attempt made by the hon. Member for Kelaniya to mislead this House by giving a completely incorrect picture of events, I will now go into various aspects of this question in same detail – (1) Self-Employment Projects Limited; (2) Niroma Limited; (3) Kelaniya Foundation; (4) the Trust, and many other things. I am now really coming to the meat of my speech.

First I will deal with Self-Employment Projects Limited. This company was incorporated as a private limited liability company on 6.3.1980.

The subscribers were Piyadasa Perera and K. D. Mendis. The company was established to run a tourist and hotel business etcetera and to act as transport agents.

This company was never empowered by its memorandum to run petrol sheds or carry on business as a dealer in petroleum or petroleum products. I have the Memorandum of Association. If you want, the whole thing will be tabled in the Library of this House for

anybody to see. In fact, I have all the minutes of all the board meetings of all these companies. If any hon. Member wishes to see these minutes we will place the whole thing in the Library for their information. We cannot table a whole heap of things like this.

At the time of incorporation the authorized capital of SEP was Rs. 1 million divided into 100,000 shares. The issued capital, as I have already informed you, was only Rs. 20 the princely and magnificent sum of Rs. 20, with which they made millions! The Memorandum of Association of SEP does not state that the Company was incorporated “to ensure the efficient operation of auto-trishaws given to the users in terms of the user agreement”, although the preamble in the management agreement between SEP and the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation, which I have referred to, states so. It is not in the memorandum.

The first board meeting of SEP was held on 9.3.80, and item 2 in the minutes, which can be produced at any time, states that SEP has appointed the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation as its managing agent – not vice versa. They started with a mistake in the minutes also! SEP has appointed the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation as its managing agent, not the other way around! They started their company with a significant mistake. This mistake, of course, was subsequently rectified. This is a very efficient Company! Item 3 shows that the board has resolved that K. D. Mendis and Lalith Wickremasinghe were authorized to sign the management agreement on behalf of SEP with the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation. Item 9 resolves that a jeep be hired from the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation. You see the connection.

According to the Return of Directors dated 25th March 1980 the first directors of the company were the following persons: (1) G. Piyadasa Perera, (2) K. Ganeshalingam, the little lamb, (3) K. D. Mendis, (4) Padmin Fonseka, (5) Sirinal de Mel, (6) U. L. C. Wickremasinghe, all of whom were appointed by the subscribers to the memorandum with effect from 9.3.80. The minutes of the board meeting of 25.3.80 show that under item 13 SEP has been designated as agent of the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation, thereby rectifying the earlier mistake in item 2 of the earlier meeting.

Item 18 shows that SEP has resolved that the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation should pay the salaries of two persons, C. Edirisinghe and I. Abeysinghe. A very strange doing! SEP is a private limited liability company, but the Tobacco Industries Corporation pays its salaries! It is a wonderful state of affairs! Item 20 resolves that a sum of Rs. 1,500 per month be paid to the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries

[සාර. මෙ. ජී. ද මැල මහතා]

Corporation as jeep hire – wonderful – *vide* minutes of the board meeting of 25.03.80. This clearly shows that SEP is using Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation funds as well as Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation vehicles – the funds and vehicles of a State Corporation under the Ministry of Industries.

At the board meeting of 7.6.80 it was resolved under item 47 that Rs. 5 per day be levied for the Welfare Society of the Trisaw Drivers and that the JSS should carry out matters relating to the Welfare Society – *vide* minutes of the board meeting of 7.6.80. At the next board meeting of 5.7.80 at item 64 it was resolved that the sum of Rs. 5 per day be altered to Rs. 2. The minutes of the board meeting dated 21.8.80, *vide* item 70, shows that it was resolved that Rs. 3 million should be paid by the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation to Richard Pieris & Company on behalf of SEP. Strange doings with Government money! Item 80 (ii) shows that it was decided that it was not necessary for the JSS to be involved with the Drivers' Welfare Society. Now they are beginning the division of functions. Item 80 (iii) resolves that SEP should purchase a Peugeot 504 car. Item 80 (ix) resolves that SEP should obtain the dealership of a petrol shed at Baseline Road belonging to the Petroleum Corporation under the Ministry of Industries. However, by letter dated 7.8.80 the Chairman of SEP had written to the Chairman of the Ceylon Petroleum Corporation stating that since SEP owned and managed 600 trishaws it was necessary to obtain a dealership and requested that a suitable petrol shed be allocated to SEP. The Ceylon Petroleum Corporation which is under the Ministry of Industries decided on 10.8.80 to allocate a shed at Baseline Road, one of the most profitable sheds in the country. This shows before the meeting of 21.8.80 SEP had already been allocated a petrol shed at Baseline Road. It was a home and home match in the Ministry of Industries. It was so easy for SEP to take over a most profitable petrol shed belonging to Government – even before a Board minute had been entered.

The minutes of the board meeting of 18.9.80 – *vide* item 82 – shows that a petrol shed at Prince of Wales Avenue is to be obtained from the Ceylon Petroleum Corporation for SEP. The shed at Prince of Wales Avenue is another extremely profitable petrol shed at the entrance to Colombo. All lorries come through that petrol shed, with everybody stopping there. It is a junction. I remember the time that one Mrs. Felsingher owned that petrol shed about 30 years ago. She was a millionaire at that time. One of her daughter's is married to one of my managers in the bank. She was a millionaire owning only one petrol shed. Just imagine! That was the best petrol shed in the island. However by his letter dated 16.9.80 the Chairman of SEP has applied for the allocation of this shed and the shed was allocated on 26.9.80. Two petrol sheds belonging to the

Ministry of Industries. The profit from these two sheds alone after we have taken over has been Rs. 40,000 per month according to a report made to the Treasury. I would like the hon. Member for Matugama and the hon. Member for Maharagama to know this.

The minutes of the board meeting dated 15.12.80 at item 113 (i) shows that the office bearers of the Welfare Society have been elected – viz. Gamini Lokuge as Chairman, Lalith Wickremasinghe as Secretary and K. Ganeshalingam as Treasurer – the “Lingam” again. Item 113 (2) shows that it has been resolved that the maximum to be levied from the drivers for the welfare fund or society should be Rs. 1,500.

The annual return of the company made up to 31.12.80 shows that only two shares have been allotted – while all this is going on. Therefore, the issued capital of the company was Rs. 20. So things are becoming funnier and funnier, a company doing such large business to have two shares only.

The minutes of the board meeting dated 16.2.82 at item 120 resolves that Rs. 2 million be placed in a fixed deposit. They have already earned around Rs. 2 million with Rs. 20. Item 123 resolves that the security for the petrol shed operated by SEP at Prince of Wales Avenue be provided by the Tobacco Industries Corporation. Item 126 resolves that two jeeps be purchased. This clearly shows that Tobacco Industries Corporation staff and the funds are to be used as well as the earnings from the use of the SLTIC investment by placing monies in fixed deposits without paying back 50 per cent of the profits to the Tobacco Industries Corporation. This is what I would call playing pandu with State funds! Income taken and deposited in fixed deposits by SEP. Expenditure, e. g. security for petrol shed, still being provided by Tobacco Industries, that is by the Government.

The minutes of the board meeting dated 18.3.81 at item 128 resolves that Rs. 300,000 is to be deposited for the use of the petrol shed at Prince of Wales Avenue. Item 140 states that a sum of Rs. 5,250 be paid to Mr. D. H. N. Jayamaha, Attorney-at-Law, for the draft of the management agreement. Only now, years later they are thinking of the management agreement after making millions. The agreement is intended to be signed between SEP and the SLTIC. This clearly shows that even at this stage one year after SEP commenced functioning as managing agent, the agreement had not been signed. For one year SEP just ran the trishaws with State monies without any agreement with Government. This is a wonderful state of affairs! If these are not irregularities, I ask what are irregularities. [Interruption]. We will look into that later.

The minutes of the Board meeting dated 10.4.81 at item 149 (v) shows that after deducting a sum of Rs. 120,000 for the purposes of the Welfare Society the

balance monies are to be placed in a deposit account – not given back to the Tobacco Industries Corporation but placed in a deposit account to earn good income.

The return of directors dated 10th August 1981 shows that one additional director, Mr. Gamini Lokuge, was appointed on 31.7.81 in addition to the aforementioned directors. The appointment of Mr. Lokuge was made at the board meeting dated 13.7.81. Item 166 (vi) resolves that the terms of the management agreement should be discussed with the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation. They are still discussing the terms.

The minutes of the board meeting dated 25.08.81 at item 181(v) shows that it was decided that the profits be divided giving 50 per cent to the SLTIC with the balance accruing to SEP according to the management agreement intended to be signed—it is intended to be signed. Thus it is clear that even at this stage the management agreement had not been signed between SEP and the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation.

The minutes of the board meeting dated 15.10.81 at item 181 shows that it was resolved that Rs. 1 million be repaid to the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation against its investment in the purchase of Trishaws. Only resolved, not paid. Item 189 resolves that an auto-taxi service be inaugurated—going into big business now—and that discussions and negotiations be carried out with Armico Pacific Ltd. of Singapore. Big business! It was resolved further that Messrs Piyadasa Perera and K. Ganeshalingam—again the Lingam!—be sent to Singapore for this purpose and that a sum of 100 dollars per diem be paid to each of them. A company with Rs. 20 capital!

The minutes of the SEP Board meeting dated 05.11.81 at item 191 shows that a decision to lease a metal crusher had been taken. Going into new business! At item 195 it is shown that 4998 shares had been allotted to the six Directors and to the J.S.S. and Drivers' Welfare Society, but the J.S.S. says that they never got shares. Item 195 shows that it had been decided to purchase 100 luxury buses. I do not know whether they purchased them but that is the decision.

According to S. 17 on 05.11.1981 4,998 shares have been issued to the following persons. (1) Piyadasa Perera 498 shares. Not for money. It is summa! (2) K. Ganeshalingam 500 shares. The little lamb! (3) Sirinal de Mel 500 shares. (4) U. L. C. Wickremasinghe 500 shares. (5) Gamini Lokuge 500 shares. (6) Padmin Fonseka 500 shares.—(Interruption). Maybe. I think it is so. But he stayed in this place for a month or two and resigned and went away. I think he was an honourable man. He knew things were going wrong. He resigned. So did Mr. Jayamaha. Both of them did not stay for

more than a month. (7) J.S.S. 1000 shares. But (8) SEP Drivers' Welfare Society 1000 shares. But the J.S.S. never got the shares. This is only in the return. This is confirmed by the Return of Allotment dated 05.11.1981. The Annual Return dated 31st December 1981 shows that the issued capital of the company is 5000. What type of shares are these? I am asking. Did any one of them pay for them? There is no record of any payment. It is a strange doing—stranger than the Arabian Night Tales. You know the tale of Ali Baba and the Forty Thieves. It is stranger than that!

The minutes of the Board meeting dated 18.01.82 at item 206 shows that it had been decided to obtain another petrol shed. The minutes of the SEP Board meeting dated 02.02.82 shows that it was resolved to obtain a Trishaw tyre agency from the Tyre Corporation, also under the Ministry of Industries, and item 209 shows that it was decided that SEP should form a security service of its own. Maybe an army of thugs! A private army, maybe to bully even important personalities in this country. A very useful all-purpose commando force!

The SEP Board meeting of 10.05.82, item 227, shows that three new jeeps are to be purchased. Item 227 shows that it was resolved that the land belonging to the Petroleum Corporation behind Prince of Wales Avenue shed be leased out. They are now leasing Government land. Item (vi) shows that it was resolved that the petrol shed at Dematagoda owned by the Petroleum Corporation be obtained by SEP. Item (xiii) shows that shares belonging to the J.S.S. and 1000 shares belonging to the SEP Drivers' Society were allotted to Henry Jayamaha, Attorney-at-Law. As I told you, Mr. Jayamaha left in one month. He realized what was going wrong and did not want to associate with it. One share belonging to K. D. Mendis was allotted to G. Piyadasa Perera and 500 shares belonging to P. Fonseka, Attorney-at-Law, were transferred to Henry Jayamaha.

At the Board meeting dated 10.05.82 at item 230 it was decided that the accounts of 1981/82 be forwarded to Thornton & Panditharatne for auditing. An association of ideas! I ask the hon. Member for Matugama to draw his own conclusions.

சகிர் மூனேசிங்ஹே  
(திரு. அனில் முனேசிங்ஹே)  
(Mr. Anil Moonesinghe)

Corruption at high places!

சார். டீ. சி. டி. டி. மெல்  
(திரு. ஆர். ஜே. ஜி. தி. மெல்)  
(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel)

At the Board meeting dated 26.05.82 at item 233 it was resolved that all expenses incurred by SEP in respect of the Seruwila Sacred Area Scheme are to be

[පා. පෙ. ජී. ඊ මැලි මකා]

debited to the Prince of Wales Avenue Petrol Shed account. It is sacrilege. It is a dishonour to the sacred shrine at Seruwila. I repeat it. It is a dishonour to the sacred shrine at Seruwila. The return of Directors dated 21st May 1982 shows that K. D. Mendis and Padmin Fonseka resigned from the Directorate—Padmin Fonseka was, I think, an honourable man. He went away—and D. N. N. Jayasmaha was appointed a Director from 10.05.82. But he too resigned very soon.

The other directors, of course, acted as if the Tobacco Corporation belonged to them, the Petroleum Corporation belonged to them, the Tyre Corporation belonged to them, and every Corporation under the Ministry of Industries and Scientific Affairs was their grandfather's property to play about with as they chose. I only ask you whether they could have done all this without the blessings of higher people.

The minutes of the Board Meeting dated 16.6.82 at item 234 shows that Gamini Marapona – a relation by marriage – was appointed a Director and item 235 shows that JSS shares and SEP Drivers' Welfare Society shares were transferred to Piyadasa Perera. How do you like that? Playing *pandu* with the shares! They played *pandu* with the funds, now they are playing *pandu* with the shares. Playing *pandu* with the company and the Companies Law and playing out the trade unions and the poor drivers. Poor drivers, they are completely oblivious of all this. The return of directors dated 23rd June 1982 shows that Mr. D. H. N. Jayamaha resigned on 16th June 1982 – he served for only one month – and Gamini Marapona, the stop-gap and always a stop-gap, was appointed a director on 16th June 1982. As at 23rd June 1982 the following constituted the Board of Directors of the Company: G. Piyadasa Perera, K. Ganeshalingam – a lingam always! – Srinall de Mel, U.L.C. Wickremasinghe, Gamini Lokuge and Gamini Marapona. Ganeshalingam was the kingpin in the whole operation. As I told you before, the little faithful lamb.

Item 243 shows that a payment was made for a stone crusher and item 246 shows that the DAGODA SHED HAD BEEN ALLOCATED. The minute of the Board Meeting dated 27.7.82 at item 248 shows that it was decided to purchase the metal crusher. Item 254 shows that a payment of Rs. 24,000 be treated as a loan to the Chairman. How do you like that? The Chairman pick-pocketing Government money! The Chairman of a very fine, honest company pick-pocketing Government money! Item 254 (iv) shows that it was decided to import 30 luxury buses. Item 254 (xiii) shows that it was resolved to request the Tobacco Industries Corporation to transfer ownership of the fully paid up trishaws to SEP and not to the drivers. The drivers have been forgotten long ago! This is how they looked after the drivers, the poor drivers. The great trade unionists!

The minutes of the Board meeting dated 26.1.83 at item 288 shows that it was decided that – now we are coming to a whole new operation and I will tell you all about it – that the security he handed over to Provincial Security Services (Pvt) Limited. Item 288 shows that it was decided to obtain the agency for the Orissa Corporation for beedi wrapper leaf. I will tell you the whole history with the commissions also. It is all here, documented. What was the Orissa Corporation? This Corporation in India, on the recommendation of the Ministry of Industries and Scientific Affairs, became the sole supplier of beedi wrapper leaf to the entire beedi and tobacco industry of Sri Lanka. The Ministry of Finance protested volently and said tender should be called but it was just brushed aside and the Orissa Corporation became the sole supplier of beedi wrapper leaf to the entirety of Sri Lanka for five years. A sole monopoly! They were the sole exporter of beedi wrapper leaf to Sri Lanka through the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation. Tenders were not called.

I will now table a wonderful letter as to what happened with the Orissa Corporation. This is how they distributed the commissions. This has been written by the General Manager of SEP and it has been sent by Air Mail Express and it is a true copy.

“11th July, 1983.

A. K. Ray, Esq.,  
Chairman,  
The Orissa Forest Corporation Ltd.,  
Satyanagar, Bhubaneswar 2,  
India.

Dear Sir,

Reference to the discussion you had with the Directors of Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation and officials of the Ministry of Industries and Scientific Affairs during your visit to Sri Lanka, it was decided that our Company be appointed as local agents for your Corporation.”

That is the monopolist Orissa Corporation –

“In this connection we wish to add that there is no other local agent to represent you and the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation do not recognize any local agent other than our company.

We also wish to know the remuneration you would be granting us as local agents.”

How wonderful!

“Please reconfirm the arrangements immediately.

Thanking you,  
Yours faithfully,  
SELF-EMPLOYMENT PROJECTS LTD.

General Manager.”

\* ප්‍රධාන කාර්ය මණ්ඩලයේ මාසික වාර්තාවක් ලෙසින් මෙහි පිටුවක් තිබේ. මෙය ආර්ථික විද්‍යාත්මක සේවාවේ විසින්ම පිටුපසට තබා ඇත.  
Placed in the Library.

Here is a further letter. This letter dated 27th September 1984 is signed by the Chairman and counter-signed by the Secretary. The letter is addressed to A. Y. Ray, Esq., Chairman, Orissa Forest Corporation, Satyanagar 2, Bhubaneshwar, Orissa, India.

Here is the letter ;

“27th September, 1984.

A. K. Ray, Esq.,  
Chairman,  
Orissa Forest Corporation,  
Satyanagar 2,  
Bhubaneshwar, Orissa,  
India,

Dear Sir,

Appointment of Local Agent for O.F.C. in Sri Lanka in the Supply of Beedi Wrapper Leaf

With reference to the discussion we had on 26th September, 1984, in Colombo with Mr. S. R. Mahapatha, Managing Director of Orissa Forest Corporation, Mr. G. M. Das, Chief Conservator of Forests, Orissa, Mr. D. J. Samaratunga Chairman S.L.T.I.C., Mr. K. Ganeshalingam, Working Director, S.L.T.I.Co. and you on the above subject, we wish to confirm to you the following decisions taken therein for your perusal and necessary action please.

It was decided that a local Agent be appointed to look after the interests of Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation and the Orissa Forest Corporation of India, in the supply of Beedi Wrapper leaves and that a commission of Rs. 50 (Indian Rupees) be paid to the said Agent by the O.F.C. for every metric ton of Wrapper Leaf they supply to the S.L.T.I.C. or any person in Sri Lanka.

It was also decided that M/S Self Employment Projects Ltd. of 532, Galle Rd., Colombo 3, Sri Lanka, be appointed as the Local Agent.

Further it was decided that the payment of commission to the Agent be effective from the day the O.F.C. commenced the supply of beedi wrapper leaves under their agreement for the years 1983 - 1984 and all supplies thereafter”.

Even retrospectively they were going to get a commission to continue :

“In this connection we also wish to refer our letter of 11th July, 1983.

Thanking you,  
Yours faithfully,  
Self Employment Project Ltd.,

Chairman

Secretary.

We agree to the terms and conditions as stated above.  
Orissa Forests Corporation.

Chairman

Managing Director.”

I am tabling both these letters \*and I want them to go into Hansard-(*Interruption*). There are a lot more to come. There are jucier things to come.

එළිවෙත ජාමෙට නමයි හොඳ හොඳ සෙල්ලම් තියෙන්නෙ.

\* ප්‍රත්නකාරයේ තබා ඇත.  
ප්‍රකාශනයක් ලෙස පැවරුවේය.  
Placed in the Library.

The minutes of the Board meeting dated 26.1.83 (S.26) at item 288 (vii) show that it was decided that the security be handed to the Provincial Security Services (Pvt) Ltd. I will tell you exactly what happened.

Item 288 (xiii) shows that it was decided to obtain the agency for the Orissa Corporation for beedi wrapper leaves. Item (xiv) shows that it was decided that a bank guarantee for Rs. 2.1 million be given to underwrite the issue of shares of Interbex (Lanka). Now what is Interbex (Lanka) Ltd. ? It is a FIAC approved joint venture with foreign participants, playing “pandu” with State money and property again.

The minutes of the Board meeting dated 26.2.83 (S.27), at item 290, show that the estimate of Provincial Security Services (Pvt) Ltd., was considered and at item 292 it was decided that SEP would purchase shares in Interbex (Lanka) Ltd., an FIAC joint venture with foreign capital. Now they are going into joint ventures with foreign capital, with an issued share capital of Rs. 20 ! This is wonderful. I will give you the Directors of Interbex - that is also very interesting - which is a FIAC venture. Its shareholders are :

- (1) Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation 35%
- (2) Interbex Netherlands S.A. 35%
- (3) Public (not issued yet) 30%

Of course, not issued. Yet ! That is only a camouflage. This is a private company, a joint venture. Its authorised capital is 1,500,000 shares of Rs. 10 each - Rs. 15,000,000 ; Issued capital 660,005 ordinary shares at Rs. 10 each - Rs. 6,600,050. The directors are - Mr. D. J. Samaratunge (Chairman), Mr. M. H. Bougourd, a person from the Netherlands, Mr. K. Ganeshalingam - Lingam again - Mr. B. L. Anandappa, Mr. M. J. Makisack, Mr. C. Yewdall, both of them foreigners, Mr. G. S. Marapana, married to a niece, Mr. G. S. Perera and Mr. H. D. M. Wickremaratne.- [*Interruption*]. There is a lot more. You will have to be patient with me.

At this stage it must be noted that the management agreement between the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation and SEP was about to expire at the end of the three-year period. SEP Limited had on its Board two Directors of the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation, viz : Piyadasa Perera and K. Ganeshalingam. Ganeshalingam was in all the Boards, in every one of them. The Secretary of SEP, Mr. Ariyapperuma, was also the General Manager of the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation. None of them appear to have taken any interest in exercising the option of the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation to renew the agreement for any further period.

[ආර්. ජේ. ජී. ද මැල මහතා]

Obviously it was the intention of SEP to break away from being the management agent of the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation. Now they are doing big business! They do not want to have any links with the Tobacco Industries Corporation any more except to steal a jeep or two or to flick some funds.

The minutes of the Board meeting of 7.3.83 at item 295 show that Provincial Security Services (Pvt) Limited had been awarded the security. I will now give the Directors of Provincial Security Services (Pvt) Limited. They are G. S. Marapona, married to a niece, D. M. Lokuge, E. B. Wickremanayake, A. R. Mathew. I will tell you what they did, how many millions they earned from the Industries Ministry.

At item 296 (i) it was decided to purchase 800 shares in the Provincial Security Services (Pvt) Ltd. At item 297 (iii) it was decided to transfer 2,000 shares purported to have been held by the JSS and the SEP Drivers' Welfare Society to Niroma Ltd., the Mathew family firm. Immediately thereafter, at item 297 (iv), it was decided to issue a bonus issue of 9 shares for every one share held. Thus the JSS and the SEP Drivers' Welfare Society were successfully and very skilfully deprived of any bonus share issue, and the beneficiary of the entire bonus share issue became Niroma Ltd., the Mathew family firm, and the directors of SEP - What representatives of the workers! What Trade Union leaders!

It must be noted that no profits were remitted or paid to the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation and no dividends were declared by SEP. A declaration of a bonus issue is a capitalisation of profits or distribution of profits in lieu of the declaration of a dividend. Thus the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation was deprived of a dividend and the profits were distributed in the form of bonus shares only amongst the Directors of SEP and the new shareholder, Niroma Limited, the Mathew family firm. This would amount not only to a fraud perpetrated on the State, but a fraud perpetrated on the JSS, and a fraud perpetrated on the SEP Drivers' Welfare Society - one of the several frauds committed by SEP Limited and its Directors.

According to the minutes of the Board meeting of 7.3.1983 the 2,000 shares registered in the name of the JSS and the SEP Drivers' Welfare Society were transferred to a Company called Niroma Limited, the Directors of which were the following persons: A. R. Mathew, C. Nanda Mathew, G. Sarath Mathew, Indrajith Mathew and K. Ganeshalingam. Nothing can be done without the Lingam!

On 7.3.1983 a bonus issue of shares was declared by SEP and 9 bonus shares issued to the holder of one share in the Company, a bonus issue of 9:1! This was

therefore a 900 per cent declaration of a bonus issue by SEP. 45,000 bonus shares were issued, 4,500 shares to each one of the 6 Directors - the 5 Mathews and 1 Lingam - and 18,000 bonus shares to Niroma Ltd., the Mathew family firm. Therefore, after the bonus share issue, the issued capital of the Company was constituted as follows:

Directors: 3,000 shares, i.e. 60% shareholding.  
Niroma Ltd.: 2,000 shares, i.e. 40% shareholding.  
Total: 5,000 shares.

Wonderful! luck for the Mathew family! A windfall for the Mathews at the expense of the State, the poor drivers and the JSS Trade Union! SEP Ltd., became Mathew and Mathew Ltd., nothing more nothing less.

When the transfer was effected of the shares of the JSS and the SEP Drivers' Welfare Society, the Directors of SEP knew that the Company, in view of its considerable profits, would make a bonus issue of shares. This does not appear to have been disclosed either to the JSS or to the SEP Drivers' Welfare Society from whom the shares are claimed to have been purchased. The JSS, by their letter dated 15th April, 1985, deny that they ever knew or were informed that any allotment of shares had been made in their name or that any transfer or sale of shares had been effected. Furthermore, the JSS received no payment for the 1,000 shares that stood in their name. From beginning to end, from top to bottom a completely fraudulent transaction! A thoroughly shabby deal!

The minutes of the Board Meeting dated 10.6.83 at item 304 show that it was decided to purchase 58 vehicles with radio control to set up an Airport Taxi Service - doing big business now. Item 304 (ii) shows that it was decided to make a purchase of a minimum of 25 new Trishaws per month and to explore the possibility of obtaining an agency of a foreign manufacturer of Trishaws. Doing big business now with taxpayers' money!

The minutes of the Board Meeting dated 15.8.83, at item 307, show that it was decided to operate an Airport Taxi Service and Management Aid Ltd., a Consultancy firm were requested to prepare a feasibility report. At item 307, bonus shares were issued again in one share for every two shares held by the shareholders and at item 307 50,000 shares were allotted to the SEP Drivers' Welfare Trust which was to be set up shortly, the trustee being Niroma Limited. - a wonderful Trustee, I told you about that.

At item 307, it was decided to purchase the Travellock Hotel at Tissamaharama. Now they are going into tourism! Although it was decided to allot 50,000 shares to the Drivers' Trust this allotment has never been

communicated to the Registrar of Companies or to the drivers. By this manipulation – I call it sheer manipulation – the shareholding was as follows :

Directors : 45,000 shares –36%

Niroma Ltd. (Mathew family Co.) : 30,000 shares – 24%

Niroma Ltd. (Again Mathew family Co.) as Trustee 50,000 shares –40%.

The minutes of the Board Meeting dated 14.9.84 at item 355 show that it was decided to obtain a lease of the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation Circuit Bungalow in Anurddhapura and, at item 355, to obtain a petrol shed in Trincomalee – going places now – which was then operated by the Mutur Multi-purpose Co-operative Society. Now going into very big business !

According to the minutes of the Board meeting of 28.11.84, at item 361, it was decided that SEP should purchase 51 per cent of the shares in the Kiriya-golla Agro Development Company Ltd., which was engaged in the cultivation and manufacture of sugar cane – very big business on the multi-national level now, starting with Rs. 20, in three years !

According to the minutes of the Board meeting dated 18.12.84, at item 363, it was decided to grant a loan of Rs. 212,000 to the Chairman, Mr. Piyadasa Perera. How do you like that ? Playing *pandu* with taxpayers' money. According to statements recorded by the CID a further Board meeting was held on 7.1.85 where it was decided that a sum of Rs. 1.5 million be transferred to the account of Niroma Limited of the Mathew family company to safeguard the interests of the shareholders. It must be remembered that up to this time no profits had been paid to the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation who is therefore a creditor of SEP. No profits were paid to the State. This transfer of Rs. 1.5 million to the Mathew family, therefore, is a fraudulent transfer of that sum of money in order to put that sum of money out of the reach of its creditor, the Tobacco Industries Corporation.

Furthermore, in contravention of the conditions prohibiting the sale, mortgage or lease of 3 new jeeps, some of the jeeps were sold or leased to three named persons as well as a further lease or purchase by two others. This also is fraudulent action or conduct to place those vehicles out of the reach of the creditor of SEP, the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation. By this time they knew the game was up. They wanted to get the money, vehicles and everything out of the hands of the creditor, the Tobacco Industries Corporation.

From the Board minutes and all the documents, it is clear that SEP utilized an investment of Rs. 15 million

by the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation to earn and invest monies in the following operations :

1. The operation of two petrol sheds.
2. Fixed deposits in numerous banks.
3. Purchasing shares in a wholly owned subsidiary, viz., Provincial Security Services (Pvt) Ltd., and various other businesses.

All these investments were made by a Company that commenced its operations with an issued capital of Rs. 20 only. SEP reaped the profits from earnings obtained through the utilisation of Government funds invested by the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation.

After the expiry of the Management Agreement on 7.3.83 SEP had successfully and fraudulently broken away from the SLTIC and set itself up on its own. Niroma Limited., the Mathew family company, from that time became the major share holder in SEP and the ultimate beneficiary of the income and profits from SEP and SEP investments in petrol sheds and in Provincial Security Services (Pvt) Limited and in various other things connected with the Ministry of Industries. Provincial Security Services (Pvt) Limited alone earned Rs. 4.5 million in 1984 from corporation security contracts with the Ministry of Industries. A sheer Arabian Night story, nothing less.

Mr. Deputy chairman hon. Members will now see that SEP and its Directors have committed a glaring series of most gross financial irregularities. Calling them financial irregularities is an under-statement. I do not know what to call them. Probably 'highway robbery' is a better statement. I will list a few of them that have already come to light. More will come to light very soon.

(1) The terms of the management agreement have been violated by the failure to maintain proper accounts and by SEP's failure to forward to the SLTIC proper accounts and ledgers and by the failure to pay 50 per cent of the net profit to the SLTIC., a government corporation under the Ministry of Industries.

(2) SEP and its Directors are guilty of criminal breach of trust of the funds belonging to the SLTIC, a government corporation under the Ministry of Industries.

(3) The directors of SEP have perpetrated a fraud on the JSS and the SEP Drivers' Welfare Society by transferring shares held by the JSS and by the SEP Drivers' Welfare Society to the Mathew family firm of Niroma Limited, and immediately thereafter declaring a massive 900 per cent bonus share issue.

(4) Loans to Directors have been made in violation of Section 188 of the Companies Act.

(5) The payment of a gratuity to Director Piyadasa Perera had been made in violation of Section 189 of the Companies' Act.

[ආර්. ජේ. ජී. ඊ මැල් මහතා]

(6) The transfer of Rs. 1.5 million to Niroma Limited, the Mathew family company, is a fraud perpetrated on the SLTIC., a Government Corporation under the same Minister of Industries, in order to place that money out of the reach of the SLTIC.

(7) The declaration of a bonus issue of share is a fraud on the SLTIC., a Government Corporation under the Ministry of Industries, for the reason that profits that should have been paid to the SLTIC withheld and instead those profits were distributed only among the Directors of SEP and the Mathew family firm of Niroma Limited.

(8) By investing in its wholly owned subsidiary, Provincial Security Services (Pvt) Limited SEP and its directors successfully manipulated a scheme whereby the Mathew family firm of Niroma Limited became the major recipient of security contracts awarded by various corporations under the Ministry of Industries, worth Rs. 4.5 million in 1984. Thus, government security contracts were being awarded through the Ministry of Industries and Government monies were being fraudently filtered via Provincial Security Services (Pvt) Limited and SEP into the pockets of the Mathew family firm of Niroma Limited !

I said people in three wheelers should not throw stones. They sometimes back fire ! What more can I say ? This is a matter that should be fully and thoroughly investigated. The Hon. Minister of Industries will have to initiate action to file action for criminal breach of trust and fraud. Several actions, a series of action. And I think a Presidential Commission of inquiry is called for.

I will now deal with Niroma Limited. That is more wonderful story. I will deal with every one of them. Niroma Limited is a private company incorporated on the 14th May 1980. The original subscribers to the Memorandum of Association were the following : A. R Mathew, C. Nanda Mathew, G. Sarath Mathew, C. Indrajith Mathew and K. Ganeshalingam. Four Mathews and one Lingam. The subscribers who are all members of Mr. Cyril Mathew's family, with the single exception of K. Ganeshalingam were all allotted one share, each of Rs. 10. They start very small SEP with Rs. 20, Niroma Limited with Rs. 50 but they make millions, may be even billions. Thus Niroma Limited started business with an issued capital of Rs. 50 just as much as SEP started with Rs. 20. According to the notice furnished to the Registrar of Companies, the registered office of Niroma Ltd. is situated at No. 23A, Alfred Place, Colombo 3 which is the office address of K. Ganeshalingam. According to the particulars of Directors dated 26.9.83, the following were Directors of the Company appointed from 28.5.80. I gave you the share holders. Now the directors : Mr. A. R. Mathew,

Mr. C. Nanda Mathew, Mr. G. Sarath Mathew, Mr. C. Indrajith Mathew, Mr. K. Ganeshalingam. Same shareholders, same Directors, four Mathews and one Lingam.

On 7.3.83 Niroma Limited has been allotted 2,000 shares of Rs. 10 value each in SEP Limited, shares which were alleged to have been held by the JSS and the SEP Drivers' Welfare Society. There is no evidence of any payment made by Niroma Limited to either the JSS or the SEP Drivers's Welfare Society for the purchase of such shares. They played out the drivers and they played out the Welfare Society.

Mr. Chairman, the date 7.3.83 is very significant. You must mark and red-pencil this date - 7.3.83. The three year period of the management agreement between the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation and SEP came to an end on 7.3.83. That management agreement signed on 6.2.82 was retrospective in its operation and was deemed to have commenced on 7.3.80 to expire in three years on 7.3.83. No attempt whatsoever was made by the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation or its directors on the board of the SEP to renew the management agreement. Why ? I will give you the Directors of the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation.

නියෝජ්‍ය කාරක සභාපතිතුමා

(ලුයුප් பிரதித் தலைவர் அவர்கள்)

(The Deputy Chairman of Committees)

Orders, please ! The Hon. Deputy Speaker will now take the Chair.

අනතුරුව නියෝජ්‍ය කාරක සභාපතිතුමා මූලාසනයෙන් ඉවත් වූයෙන්, නියෝජ්‍ය සභාපතිතුමා [නෝමන් වේද්‍යරත්න මහතා] මූලාසනාරූඪ විය.

அதன் பிறகு, குழுப் பிரதித் தலைவர் அக்கிரமத்தின்மீது அகலவே, பிரதிச் சபாநாயகர் அவர்கள் [திரு. நோமன் வைத்யரத்ன] தலைமை வகித்தார்கள்.

Whereupon MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEES left the Chair and MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER [MR. NORMAN WAIDYARATNA] took the Chair.

ආර්. ජේ. ජී. ඊ මැල් මහතා

(திரு. ஆர். ஜே. ஜி. த மெல்)

(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel)

The Directors of Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation Ltd. are :

Mr. D. J. Samaratunga, Chairman, Mr. Jagath Karunaratne, Mr. Piyadasa Perera, Mr. Daham Beliwatte, Mr. K. Ganeshalingam, the king pin, Mr. D. Fonseka, Mr G. S. Perera, and Mr. S. Sivaguru.

Of course, they change from time to time but K. Ganeshalingam was the relevant Director at all times, at all times in all companies everywhere. No attempt therefore was made by the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation or its Directors on the board of SEP to renew the management agreement. Thus for all intents and purposes, SEP ceased to be the managing agent of the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation



on 7.3.83 and would consequentially be entitled to keep for itself all profits, dividends or declaration of bonus share issues. That date 7.3.83 is the crucial date when Niroma Limited came into the picture as a shareholder of SEP. So Niroma Limited became a shareholder through a form of manipulation by a purported sale of shares alleged to have been held in the names of the JSS and the SEP Drivers' Welfare Society. Everything was purported - nothing was done! It must also be remembered that SEP at that juncture had made considerable profits from all its ventures such as the operation of the trishaws, petrol sheds etc. The assets of SEP therefore as well as the value of shares in SEP would have been considerably more than the nominal value. The Rs. 10 shares would have increased three to four fold in value at least, may be even more. Those factors would certainly have been known to the directors of SEP, certainly to Mr. Ganeshalingam, who would also have realised that SEP was in a position either to declare a massive dividend or a massive bonus issue of shares. The directors have obviously failed in their fiduciary duties - All these directors should go to jail - and have withheld that information from the JSS and the SEP Drivers' Welfare Society, the original shareholders who have blissfully been deprived of their shares which have ended up in the hands of Niroma Ltd., the Mathew family firm. Thus, instead of the JSS and the SEP Drivers' Welfare Society benefitting by a 900 per cent bonus issue of shares, Niroma Limited was adroitly manipulated in their place as a shareholder, and within 23 days of such adroit manipulation received a bonanza bonus issue of 18,000 shares, the nominal value of which was Rs. 180,000. One need not speculate much as to what the real value of the 18,000 shares was. Following the bonus issue of shares on 30.3.83, 18,000 bonus shares were allotted to Niroma Limited. Thus by 30.3.83 Niroma Limited, which only had an issued capital of Rs. 50, obtained for itself a remarkable asset, the nominal value of which was Rs. 200,000. Not bad, Sir! Not bad at all, pukka business if you can make money like that! Two days later, on 2.4.83, 1,995 shares have been allotted to the following persons who had become shareholders of Niroma Ltd.,

Mrs. C. Manel Pedris, (daughter)	300 shares
Mr. K. Ganeshalingam	299 shares
Mr. A R. Mathew	199 shares
Mr. C. Nanda Mathew	299 shares
Mr. Gamini Marapona (a close relative)	300 shares
Mr. C. Nimal Cyril Mathew	300 shares
Mr. C. Indrajith Mathew	298 shares

The return of the above allotment has been received by the Registrar of Companies on 9.9.83. A further bonus issue of shares has been made by Niroma Ltd., on 2.9.83. This bonus issue was in the proportion of 14 shares for every share held by a shareholder. 14 to 1! How do you like it? In other words, Niroma Ltd., has

on 2.9.83 declared a massive 1,400 per cent bonus share issue. Probably unheard of in the history of companies in this country or probably in the whole world. A. R. Mathew has received a bonus issue of 2,800 shares and the other shareholders, Mathews' and their relations have each received a bonus issue of 4,200 shares. The total issue amounted to 28,000 bonus shares valued at Rs.280,000. Vide Return of Allotment dated 2.9.83 received by the Registrar of Companies on 9.9.83.

The Return of Directors dated 2.9.83 has also been submitted on 16.9.83 to the Registrar of Companies whereby C. Nanda Mathew and G. Sarath Mathew have resigned from the board and Mr. Gamini Marapone and Mrs. Manel Pedris have been appointed directors. It is significant that Trust Deed No. 48 was signed on 2.9.83. On 15.8.83, as a result of a further bonus issue by SEP, the third bonus, Niroma Ltd., was allotted a further 10,000 shares in SEP. Although no dividends were declared by SEP the issue of bonus shares, as you all know, is tantamount or equivalent to the distribution of profits in lieu of dividends.

Also on 15.8.83 a further 50,000 shares in SEP have been allotted to Niroma Ltd., as trustee of the SEP Drivers' Trust Fund. Niroma Ltd., now owns a 24 per cent shareholding in SEP directly as well as a further 40 per cent shareholding as trustee, as the so-called trustee for the SEP Drivers' Trust Fund. Two directors of Niroma Ltd., Messrs K. Ganeshalingam and Gamini Marapone also own a 11 per cent shareholding in SEP. Thus Niroma Ltd., and its two directors effectively own and control a 75 per cent shareholding in SEP. The Mathew family thus became the recipient of the major share of the profits and income generated by the use of Government funds from the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation, the Government funds of the Corporation under the Ministry of Industries. Furthermore, Niroma Ltd. is the major recipient of income from SEP's two petrol sheds also under the Ministry of Industries for which Rs. 1 million was utilized as initial capital expenditure for the operation of the two sheds instead of repaying the investment of the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation.

One of the bank statements of Niroma Ltd., Account No. 438 of the Commercial Bank, Colombo 3 shows that on 6.9.83 a sum of Rs. 25,000 and on 2.8.84 another sum of Rs. 206,070.89 was deposited in the account. The total balance then stood at Rs. 223,757.71. Further, on 12.9.84 three cheques were paid out for Rs. 5,000, Rs. 2,000, and Rs. 5,000 respectively. On 10.1.85 a sum of Rs. 1.5 million was paid into this account by SEP and credited to a fixed deposit. A sum of Rs. 1.5 million was paid into this account by SEP and credited to a fixed deposit. The payment of that Rs. 1.5 million to Niroma Ltd., by SEP is a blatant illegality and is totally irregular and

[ආර්. ජේ. ජී. ඊ මහතා]

constitutes fraudulent conduct on the part of the directors of SEP who participated at a board meeting of SEP alleged to have been held on 7.1.85. On 25.1.85 two cheques for Rs. 10,830 and Rs. 100,000 respectively were paid out of the account.

I would like, Mr. Deputy Speaker, to draw the following conclusions from the transactions of Niroma Ltd. These are the conclusions which we can draw from these transactions :

1. Niroma Ltd., has without any doubt been the recipient of vast benefits obtained through the utilization of Government Corporation funds belonging to the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation under Mr. Mathew. An investment of Rs. 14.5 million by the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation now ensures mainly for the benefit of Niroma Ltd., the Mathew family firm, which owns and controls 75 per cent of the shareholding in SEP.

2. SEP is the holding company of Provincial Security Services (Pvt) Ltd., which provides security services for numerous corporations under the Ministry of Industries. I will deal with that later. The major portion of the income and profits from SEP's investment in Provincial Security Services (Pvt.) Ltd., would therefore accrue to Niroma Ltd., the Mathew family firm.

3. Commencing business with a princely capital of Rs. 50 m, the Mathew family firm of Niroma Ltd., now has an issued capital of Rs. 300,000 of which Rs. 280,000 was by way of a 1400 bonus issue of shares in September, 1983 - all without the shareholders paying a cent. other than the first Rs. 50.

4. Niroma Ltd., as so-called trustee for the SEP Drivers' Trust Fund, would become the sole beneficial owner of the entirety of the Trust Fund income and assets since the trust is illegal for uncertainty. I will deal with the trust later.

5. A private company which is a family firm of a Minister's family has without any effort or capital investment obtained vast financial benefit through the utilization of Government funds invested by a corporation under that same. Minister, namely, the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation.

What more proof, Mr. Deputy Speaker, of gross financial irregularity!

I will now deal with the Kelaniya Foundation. According to the Memorandum of Association which was subscribed on 25.10.83, the Kelaniya Foundation is a registered Foundation with five main objects which are basically for the community welfare of people

residing within the Kelaniya Electorate. The memorandum does not seem to empower the Kelaniya Foundation to operate petrol sheds or engage in business as a dealer in petroleum or petroleum products. The subscribers to the memorandum are the following : (1) C. Cyril Mathew, (2) C. Nanda Mathew. (3), Mrs. C. Manel Pedris, (4) Mrs. Indira Mathew, (5) Mr. D. L. F. Pedris, (6) Gamini Lokuge, (7) Gamini Marapone.

The Memorandum of Association was received in the office of the Registrar of Companies on 28.11.83. Registration was effected on 30.11.83. Therefore in effect the business of the Kelaniya Foundation as a registered body could not have commenced until 30.11.83.

However, it would appear that the Kelaniya Foundation commenced operating a petrol shed belonging to the Petroleum Corporation under the Ministry of Industries situated at Peliyagoda in March 1983. This information is from CID statements recorded from some of the members of the Kelaniya Foundation. According to information from the Ceylon Petroleum Corporation, however the Kelaniya Foundation was appointed as a dealer from January, 1984 - That is according to the Ceylon Petroleum Corporation board paper No. 6306 (K1). However, that same board paper states that it became necessary to appoint a dealer to the Peliyagoda Lanka Service Station as a result of the death of the earlier dealer in February, 1983. Therefore the appointment of the successor to the dealership at the Peliyagoda petrol shed should have been made soon after February 1983. If the Kelaniya Foundation was unregistered until November 1983, then any contracts of dealership if granted would have been between the Ceylon Petroleum Corporation and the shareholders or co-owners of the Foundation who were the seven persons, one of whom was a Cabinet Minister in charge of the Ceylon Petroleum Corporation and another a Member of Parliament.

The monthly profit of this shed, according to the manager, Mr. Balabadra Wickremanayake, in his statement to the CID, is Rs. 15,000 to Rs. 20,000. For the purpose of managing this petrol shed the Kelaniya Foundation opened a bank account at the Bank of Ceylon. Kollupitiya, and also required a bank guarantee of Rs. 200,000 from the directors, which was provided by SEP. That is the connection. Apart from the directors trustees of the Foundation, the authorized signatories to that bank account were K. Ganeshalingam - the "lingam" always, without which Mathew cannot live - U. L. C. Wickremasinghe and Balabadra Wickremanayake. Balabadra Wickremanayake, who was a former director of the Petroleum Corporation, is also director of Provincial Security Services (Private) Limited together with Marapone and Gamini Lokuge.

This Provincial Security Services (Private) Limited provides security on payment of more than Rs. 4 million every year for the following – all Corporations or Government Owned Business Undertakings under the Ministry of Industries. They are :

(1) Ceylon Steel Corporation, (2) State Hardware Corporation, (3) Self-Employment Projects Limited, (4) G.O.B.U. of Wijaya Tiles Limited, (5) G.O.B.U. of shaw Industries, (6) National Packaging Materials Corporation (7) G.O.B.U. of United Motors. This is information that has come to our knowledge. There will be many more. Still it is only the tip of the iceberg! Also it provides armed cash escort for the Gas Company, also under the Ministry of Industries, and the Kanneliya Project of the Ceylon Plywoods Corporation, which is also under the Ministry of Industries. All these organizations without one exception, are under the Ministry of Industries. This was how they made money.

By letter dated 9.8.84 the Kelaniya Foundation requested a donation. Mark my words. They requested a donation – a pukka donation this is! – a donation of 5,000 gallons of diesel, කර්බන් , from the Ceylon Petroleum Corporation under the Ministry of Industries for the construction of roads since the Oil Refinery, they said, was in close proximity to the area being developed. It will be noted that the refinery is situated within the Biyagama Electorate and not in the Kelaniya Electorate. However, five loads of auto diesel valued at Rs, 347,509 were sent to the Foundation, ostensibly for the construction of new roads – loads of diesel from the Minister's own corporation. Furthermore, after the cancellation of the dealership at Peliyagoda, cheques issued by the Foundation in favour of the Ceylon Petroleum Corporation, also under the Minister, amounting to Rs. 495,748.02 had bounced – had been returned by the bank as being dishonoured.

Apart from running the petrol shed at Peliyagoda, the Kelaniya Foundation was also entrusted with the provision of workers. They were also entrusted with the provision of workers – hiring workers on a contract basis – both by the State Fertilizer Manufacturing Corporation under the Ministry of Industries and the Ceylon Steel Corporation, also under the Ministry of Industries. Wonderful! Hiring workers to your own corporation! The Foundation retained 10 per cent of all payments for contract work and paid their workers the balance. How do you like that? All these were contracts in corporations of the Minister of Industries by the Kelaniya Foundation, of which he was a trustee or member. Wonderful! The following amounts were paid by the two corporations of the Minister for the contract work. This is what has come to our knowledge already. It is only the tip of the iceberg, as I told you.

5-A 085141 (85/08)

#### Steel Corporation

	Total Amount Paid	
	Rs.	cts.
September 1984	88,209.00	
October 1984	91,559.05	
November 1984	83,732.93	
December 1984	84,878.66	
January 1985	85,249.86	
February 1985	73,369.49	
March 1985	98,056.96	

#### State Fertilizer Manufacturing Corporation

	Rs.	cts.
16.11.84 to 30.11.84	124,024.50	
1.12.84 to 15.12.84	137,262.50	
16.12.84 to 31.12.84	161,756.75	
1.1.85 to 15.1.85	136,885.00	
16.1.85 to 31.1.85	128,174.50	
1.2.85 to 15.2.85	136,885.00	
16.2.85 to 28.2.85	104,777.00	

Mr. Deputy Speaker, from the above, with regard to Kelaniya foundation, what are the conclusions which we can draw? The conclusions which we can draw are :

- (1) The Kelaniya Foundation operated as an unregistered body between March and November 1983. The contract of leadership was a contract between the Ceylon Petroleum Corporation and the co-owners or shareholders of the unregistered body if granted for that period. Two of the co-owners are Members of Parliament, one of whom was the Minister in charge of the Ceylon Petroleum Corporation. Wonderful!
- (2) The operation of a petrol shed was outside the objects of the Kelaniya Foundation and therefore *ultra vires* and illegal.
- (3) The contracts for the provision of labour issued to the Kelaniya Foundation were issued by corporations under Mr. Cyril Mathew in the Foundation, who was then also the Minister of Industries and Scientific affairs.
- (4) The Kelaniya Foundation was also the recipient and beneficiary of the utilization of Government funds invested by the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation in the form of a bank guarantee for Rs. 200,000 provided by SEP when the Foundation commenced operating a petrol shed at Peliyagoda. If the bank guarantee was provided prior to the incorporation of the Kelaniya Foundation, then SEP has provided a bank guarantee at its own risk in favour of an unregistered body of persons which may not be recoverable in law. The unregistered body of persons included the Minister concerned, his son, his daughter, various other relations and Mr. K. Ganeshalingam, the faithful little lamb.

[ආර්. ජේ. ජී. ජී. මැලි මහතා]

- (5) The donation of five bowsers of diesel valued at Rs. 347,509 to the Kelaniya Foundation, one of whose directors was also a director of the Petroleum Corporation, amounts to an irregularity and was obtained on the false pretext that the refinery was close to the area sought to be developed whereas the refinery was situated in the Biyagama Electorate.
- (6) A further irregularity is the bouncing of cheques to the value of Rs. 495,748.02.

Sir, I will come now to the management agreement between the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation and SEP. This is a very important document.

The management agreement between the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation and SEP is undated. This is the famous, efficient company which should never have been taken over by the Government, according to the Member of Parliament for Kelaniya! The signatories are D. J. Samarasinghe and Susantha Perera, described as duly authorized agents of the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation, and Piyadasa Perera and Gamini Lokuge, duly authorized agents of SEP. At the first board meeting of SEP on 9.3.80, item 3, it was resolved that K. D. Mendis and Lalith Wickremasinghe be authorized to sign on behalf of SEP the management agreement between SEP and the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation. However, at the SEP meeting of 18.3.81, item 140, payment of Rs. 5,250 has been authorized to Mr. D. H. N. Jayamaha, Attorney-at-Law, for the preparation of the management agreement intended to be signed between SEP and the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation. At the SEP board meeting dated 13.7.81, item 166(vi), it was decided that a discussion should take place between SEP and the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation relating to the conditions of the agreement proposed to be signed. It was at this same board meeting that Mr. Gamini Lokuge first became a director of SEP. At the board meeting held on 25.8.81, item 181(v), it has been decided that the profits would be shared equally between Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation and SEP in terms of the agreement to be signed. There is no SEP board decision or resolution specifically authorizing Mr. Piyadasa Perera and Mr. Gamini Lokuge to sign the management agreement on behalf of SEP. It is therefore quite obvious that the management agreement has been signed after August 1981, and it is for this reason that the agreement specifically states that it is deemed to have commenced on 7.3.80. Furthermore, it is for this reason that the agreement is undated. Very deliberately the agreement was kept undated. A wonderful state of affairs! According to the seal register of the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation this agreement has been signed on 6.2.82, almost one and half years after

SEP took over its management agency scheme, and the agreement is therefore retrospective in its operation. The terms of this management agreement have never been put before the Cabinet for its approval. It has never been put before the Cabinet.

The preamble to the agreement is that "the Corporation is now desirous of having the assistance of the Project Company in the management of the project of running the auto-Trishaws by Self Employment Projects Ltd." and further that "the Project Company is willing to advise and assist in the management and conduct of the business of the said Corporation in relation to the user agreement and the operation of auto-Trishaws."

Clause 1.1 provides that "the Corporation hereby appoints the Project Company as its managing agent to advise and assist in the management and conduct of business and authorises the Project Company so to do under and subject to and in accordance with the terms and conditions set out." SEP therefore has been appointed in a fiduciary capacity – that is the relevant point – namely, that of a managing agent appointed to advise and assist in the management of an investment of Government Corporation funds. Therefore SEP is answerable both as managing agent and as trustee for the utilization or misuse of an investment of Government corporation funds.

නියෝජ්‍ය කථනායකතුමා

(பிரதிச் சபாநாயகர் அவர்கள்)

(Mr. Deputy Speaker)

Order, please! Does the House agree to continue with the Debate? The time is 3.30 p.m.

මන්ත්‍රීවරු

(அங்கத்தினர்)

(Members)

Aye!

ආර්. ජේ. ජී. ජී. මැලි මහතා

(திரு. ஆர். ஜே. ஜி. த மெல்)

(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel)

By Clause 1.2 of the management agreement SEP has accepted such an appointment and "agrees to advise and assist in the management and conduct of the said business of the said Corporation". Thus SEP has accepted the fiduciary nature of its role as a managing agent and is therefore responsible to the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation in all matters and all transactions that have resulted from the utilization of Government Corporation funds.

Clause 2.1 provides that SEP shall be responsible for maintaining proper accounts, and Clause 2.3 provides that SEP shall keep all detailed accounting records including journals, ledgers and other subsidiary records in keeping with accounting practice and shall prepare

and forward to the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation such accounting reports and information as may be required by the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation. From March 1980 to date no accounts have been forwarded by SEP to the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation although requested by the Chairman of the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation. SEP has therefore violated one of the fundamental requirements of the management agreement.

Clause 2.6 provides that "the Corporation shall be responsible for the purchase, delivery and documentation of new auto-Trishaws to be operated for the Project Company". This clause implies that only the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation would be responsible for purchasing new trishaws. However, SEP has from monies earned through the utilization of Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation funds admittedly purchased new auto-trishaws itself amounting to a breach of Clause 2.6 as well as a violation of the fiduciary duties entrusted to SEP as managing agent.

Clause 6 provides that SEP shall collect and recoup the capital expenditure incurred by the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation amounting to Rs. 14.5 million. This duty is one of the primary functions of SEP, namely, to ensure that the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation is repaid the monies invested in the purchase of trishaws. It must be remembered that the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation has obtained 40 per cent of the value of the trishaws as a loan from the People's Bank, on which interest would be payable by the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation to the People's Bank.

Clause 7 provides that after deducting all office expenses, insurance charges and insurance premium and other operational expenses the net profit shall be apportioned in equal shares between the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation and SEP. Up to date SEP has failed and neglected to account for or to apportion 50 per cent of the net profits earned by it. Instead SEP has embarked on its own ventures such as the management and operation of petrol sheds, the furnishing of bank guarantees to Interbex (Lanka) Ltd., and the Kelaniya Foundation and also in the purchase of jeeps and trishaws as well as loans to its Directors. SEP's first duty was to repay the monies invested by the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation. However even before commencing to repay any monies to the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation, SEP used Rs. 1 million earned as fiduciary agent from the utilisation of Government funds, as capital expenditure to commence operating a petrol shed in August 1980.

Clause 10 provides that the terms of the Agreement shall be for 3 years from 7th March 1980, with the option to extend the period of service of SEP as

managing agent from year to year upon the same or new terms and conditions. The Directors of the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation many of whom are also Directors of SEP have taken no steps whatsoever after March 1983 to extend the period of the management contract. Without even accounting for or paying out to the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation 50 per cent of the considerable profits that it earned through the utilization of Government Corporation funds, SEP has instead embarked on numerous profitable ventures enumerated above and thereby violated its fiduciary duties as managing agent as well as specific conditions of the Management Agreement.

Furthermore, soon after the expiration of the period of 3 years SEP has declared a 900 per cent bonus issue of shares on 30th March 1983 and its major shareholder Niroma Ltd. has in September 1983 declared a 1440 per cent bonus issue of shares. What are the conclusions, Sir, that we can draw from this? One, the Management Agreement has been signed after August 1981 on 6.2.82 and is retrospective in its operation and has never been put before the Cabinet for its approval. Two, Clause 2.1 of the Management Agreement has been violated by SEP's failure to maintain proper accounts. Three, Clause 2.3 has been violated by SEP's failure to forward to the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation all detailed accounting records, including journals ledgers and other subsidiary records in keeping with accounting practice. Four, Clause 2.6 has been violated in that SEP has purchased new trishaws itself, on its own. Five, Clause 7 has been violated by SEP's failure to account for 50 per cent of the net profits and to remit this 50 per cent to the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation. Six, SEP as a fiduciary agent and as Trustee of Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation funds is liable for criminal breach of trust in terms of Sections 388 and 392 of the Penal Code. This would also apply to the Directors of SEP. I am asking my Friend the Hon. Minister of Industries to kindly take immediate action because SEP as a fiduciary agent and as Trustee of Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation fund is liable for criminal breach of trust in terms of Section 388 and 292 of the Penal Code. This would also apply to the Directors of SEP. Seven, although at least two Directors and the General Manager respectively of Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation were directors of SEP none of them has taken any steps to renew the Management Agreement on 7.3.83. Eight, it must be noted that after 7.3.83 the only reference to the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation in the Board minutes is in late 1984 when it was decided to lease the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation circuit bungalow at Anuradhapura.

[ආර්. ඩේ. ජී. ඊ මැල මහතා]

Now, Sir, I will come to the other wonderful document, the Trust Deed No. 48 dated 2.9.83. By this indenture two persons, again K. Ganeshalingam, Mathew's little lamb, and Gamini Marapone, married to a relative, as Settlers set up a Trust nominating Niroma Ltd., the Mathew Family Firm, as Trustee. The Trust is named "SEP Drivers' Welfare Trust" and "is for the benefit of the persons hereinafter defined as beneficiaries." I am quoting from the Trust Deed. It must be clearly noted that the Trust does not include as beneficiaries SEP drivers or SEP employees or ex-employees. The beneficiaries are not designated as belonging to any particular class and are therefore not identifiable. "SEP Drivers Trust Fund" is only the name given to the Trust. It is only a bogus name. It is only a nomenclature. Although the Trust deed is dated 2.9.83, the minutes of the Board meeting of SEP dated 15.8.83 shows that Niroma Ltd., the Mathew Family Firm, was accepted as a Trustee on that date. This appears to have been done even before the creation of the Trust and the appointment of Niroma Ltd. as Trustee. Very strange happenings!

Very, very strange happenings!

Clause 1 (b) defines beneficiary to mean and include 5 named persons and "any such person or persons nominated by the Directors of SEP Limited from time to time." It must be noted that none of the 5 beneficiaries are described as trishaw drivers or members of the JSS or even members of the SEP Drivers Welfare Fund or Society. It is uncertain, therefore, who the identifiable beneficiaries of the Trust are. There is no restriction to any class or designation or any class such as employees, ex-employees or drivers or ex-drivers or members of the SEP Drivers' Welfare Fund or Society.

Clause 2 provides that the Trust named "SEP Drivers' Welfare Trust" is for the benefit of the beneficiaries. Clause 3 provides that the Trust property and all sums of money and property and income shall vest in the Trustee (Niroma Limited) - the Mathew family firm - and further provides that the Trustees have the power to invest or convert at their discretion any or all of the Trust properties in any form of investment. Clause 8 (a) provides that it shall be lawful for the Trustees to transfer shares at their absolute discretion to any person for the purpose of obtaining a directorate in any company wherein the Trust has invested its moneys. Clause 8 (b) provides that such person appointed a director in conformity with the foregoing clause may be paid and may retain any moneys paid to him by such company. Clause 8 (c) provides that the Trustee can invest in any company and the Trustee is free to accept employment in any company or receive remuneration from any company in which the Trustee is a shareholder and may retain such remuneration so received. Thus, the Trust could invest

in any company such as Interbex and the nominated director may be paid any remuneration by the company of which it is a shareholder. Clause 8 (b) provides that the Trustee shall not be liable for any loss or deficiency caused by an agent or other person with whom Trust property is deposited unless the insufficiency or deficiency occurs through the Trustee's own dishonest or wilful neglect.

There does not appear to be a Clause 9 in the Trust Deed. It is a strange Trust. I would say it more a distrust than a trust!

Clause 10 (a) empowers the Trustee to sell and convert Trust property or any part thereof. Clause 10 (b) empowers the Trustee to receive donations, gifts and endowments for the Trust. Clause 10 (d) empowers the Trustee to invest Trust property in the acquisition of movable or immovable property. Clause 10 (e) empowers the Trustee to invest Trust property or income in investments or securities. Clause 10 (h) empowers the Trustee to apply the Trust property or Trust income for the education, maintenance and advancement in life of the beneficiaries or any of them at its absolute discretion. Clause 10 (n) permits the Trustee to terminate the Trust at any time and distribute the Trust property or income among the beneficiaries. Clause 10 (q) empowers the Trustees to reimburse themselves and pay all expenses incurred from and out of the Trust Fund. Clause 10 (r) empowers the Trustees to terminate the interests of the beneficiaries or any of them in the Trust property. This is a very wide power and appears to be unrestricted and puts an end to the interest of such a beneficiary to the Trust property or income thereof. Clause 10 (s) provides that where any of the beneficiaries dies before the termination of the Trust and his interest has not been terminated, then his interest shall form part of the Trust property. In other words, if a beneficiary dies before the termination of the Trust, such beneficiary's interest accrues to the Trust and not to the heirs of the beneficiary. It is, indeed, a very very queer Trust.

Clause 11 provides that the Trust shall terminate 25 years later on 1.9.2008.

Clause 12 (a) provides that in the event of the termination of the Trust the beneficiaries shall be entitled to the Trust property and the trust income in equal shares. However, if a beneficiary has either died or his interest has been terminated, then such a beneficiary would therefore not be entitled to any share of the Trust property. Clause 12 (b) provides that the Trustees shall distribute to each of the beneficiaries his share of the Trust income in the event of the termination of the Trust at the absolute discretion of the Trustees. Clause 16 (d) empowers the settlors to terminate the interests of any beneficiary.

The Trust Deed does not provide specifically for taking over the funds of the SEP Drivers' Welfare Society that was already in existence. Mr. Ganeshalingam, it must be noted, had been appointed Treasurer of this Welfare Society as far back as 15.12.80, a most suitable appointment for him. He would have been a most efficient treasurer. It therefore appears that the Trust set up by Indenture No. 48 of 2.9.83 is separate and distinct from the Welfare Society which was already in existence. The whole purpose of creating such a trust would be with the intention of gaining tax exemption on the basis that the Trust is a charitable or approved trust. The Inland Revenue Department would thereby grant exemption and all income and turnover of the Trust would therefore be exempt and free from income tax. Any monies received by the Trust or any gifts or endowments would be free from income tax in the hands of the Trustees. The Trustee is empowered to use the monies according to his absolute discretion. The Trustee can invest the Trust property or monies in any Company or put up high rise buildings or purchase agricultural or urban properties.

What are the conclusions we can draw from this Trust ?

The Trust is an invalid Trust in terms of Section 6 of the Trust Ordinance and according to the principles of the law governing Trusts for the reason that the class of beneficiary is uncertain and therefore not identifiable. Although five persons have been named as beneficiaries, those persons are not designated as drivers of SEP or employees of SEP Welfare Fund or Society. Furthermore, the Directors of SEP are entitled to nominate any person or persons as additional beneficiaries without any restriction to any clause or person such as employees, ex-employees, drivers or ex-drivers of SEP. The Directors of the SEP appear to have the unfettered freedom to nominate anybody, their own children or their relations as beneficiaries. The Trust therefore is invalid for uncertainty.

In the event of the Trust being invalid the Trust property and the Trust income which has already been vested in the Trustee (Niroma Ltd.), the Mathew family firm, becomes the absolute and exclusive property of the Trustee. That was the objective. This would mean that Niroma Ltd. without any doubt would become the absolute owner of the Trust property and Trust income and all monies received by the Trust. Furthermore, since both the Trustee and the Settlers have the right to terminate the interests of any beneficiary and also since the beneficiary's interests could terminate on the death of the beneficiary prior to the termination of the Trust, Niroma Ltd., the Mathew family firm, would thereby become the absolute owner of the Trust property even upon the termination of the Trust, if the interests of the beneficiaries have been

terminated either by the act of the Settlers or by the Trustee or by death prior to the termination of the Trust. By being appointed Trustee and being allotted a further 50,000 shares in SEP, Niroma Ltd. successfully acquired ownership and control of 75% of SEP which in turn was the holding company of Provincial Security Services (Pvt.) Ltd. which was awarded considerable security contracts by Corporations under the Ministry of Industries, paying over Rs. 4.5 million in 1984 alone. Thus Niroma Ltd. in its capacity as the owner of 75% of shares in SEP would be entitled to the bulk of the profits that accrue to SEP, from the corporations and GOBUs and other institutions of the Ministry of Industries, the Cyril Mathew firm, becoming the beneficiary of corporations under the Ministry of Industries. This is wonderful.

Then there is only one more major matter that I want to deal with. I have already dealt with it in outline in my opening remarks. Did the Cabinet approve this whole matter of SEP Ltd. as made out by the hon. Member for Kelaniya in his speech ? I will give you the facts.

Towards the end of 1979 a dispute had arisen between M.S. Hebtulabhoy & Company Ltd., the owners and operators of a Trishaw service, and the drivers of the Trishaws. The 200 odd drivers had formed themselves into a Trade Union under the auspices of the Jathika Sevaka Sangamaya. This dispute had been brought to the notice of the Minister of Transport, Mr. M. H. Mohamed, by the Minister of Industries in his capacity as the President of the JSS. On 7.12.79 a conference was held by the Minister of Transport, Mr. M. H. Mohamed, at his office which was attended by the Minister of Industries, representatives of the JSS and Hebtulabhoy & Company as well as the Commissioner of Motor Traffic. After some discussion the Minister of Transport, Mr. M. H. Mohamed, directed the Commissioner of Motor Traffic to inquire into the matter with a view to resolving the dispute.

On the afternoon of 7.12.79 the Commissioner of Motor Traffic met the representatives of the JSS whose representations were recorded. The Commissioner also met Hebtulabhoy & Company's representatives on 8.12.79 and recorded their representations. Thereafter the Commissioner of Motor Traffic submitted his report dated 10.12.79 to the Minister of Transport.

The Minister of Transport thereupon submitted a Cabinet Paper No. 16 of 1980. This memorandum was considered at the Cabinet meeting of 16.1.80 and it was decided that a Sub-Committee consisting of the Minister of Transport, Mr. M. H. Mohamed, as Chairman, the Minister of Industries and Scientific Affairs, Mr. Cyril Mathew, as the representative of the JSS, and the then Minister of Trade and Shipping be constituted to arrive at a settlement of this matter with

[ආර්. ජේ. ජී. ද මැල මහතා]

Mr. Hebtulabhoy of M/s Hebtulabhoy and Company Ltd. It was also decided that the outcome of the negotiations be reported to the Cabinet at its next meeting. The decision was to arrive at a settlement with the owners and to report the settlement to the Cabinet at its next meeting.

A Memorandum dated 28.1.1980 set out the decisions and recommendations of the Cabinet Sub-Committee consisting of Messrs. Mohamed, Mathew and Athulath Mudali. This memorandum sought Cabinet approval for the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation to take over 300 Trishaws imported by Hebtulabhoy & Co. and operate a scheme whereby the drivers would become the owners of the Trishaws at the end of the third year. The People's Bank had agreed to finance 60 per cent of the capital investment by the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation on the vehicles on their being pledged to the Bank by the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation. The balance 40 per cent of the cost of the Trishaws would be met by the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation. Cabinet approval was sought to implement this proposal and also to amend suitably the objectives of the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation to participate in this venture. This Cabinet Paper was signed by the Hon. Denzil Fernando, who was the then acting Minister of Industries and Scientific Affairs.

At this stage the proposal that was before the Cabinet was a scheme whereby the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation would pay for the Trishaws to be hired to the drivers for purchase and whereby the scheme would be managed by the Jathika Sevaka Sangamaya under the direction of the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation. No mention whatsoever had been made at this stage of the formation of a private company like SEP with limited liability to manage the scheme.

Then, Mr. Naina Marikar, Acting Minister of Finance and Planning at that time – because I was away – submitted the observations of the Ministry of Finance relating to the proposal that the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation should purchase, finance the importation and operate and hand over Trishaws to users on a hire purchase scheme covering a period of three years. I have already tabled these observations this morning, where Mr. Naina Marikar had clearly opposed this and stated that the proposals fall outside the objectives of the Tobacco Industries Corporation and are not even incidental to its main objectives. He had suggested that the Tobacco Industries Corporation should have nothing to do with it, the Government should have nothing to do with it and the Bank should finance the purchase of these vehicles and give them

over to the drivers to run because it would have been the most sensible arrangement to do. He had further recommended that the vehicles be hired by way of a hire purchase agreement between the hirsers and the People's Bank without the necessity of the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation getting involved in a purely financing venture, which is not a subject for the Tobacco Industries Corporation to handle.

Then the National Institute of Business Management, which is actually under the Minister of Industries himself, had set out the deficiencies at that time in the management of the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation and has stated that they were not even managing their affairs properly, not managing their finances properly, and as a result Mr. Naina Marikar quoted their report and said that the Tobacco Industries Corporation is the last Corporation that should be given the management of anything like this. Further, no authority had been obtained from the Ministry of Finance and Planning under the provisions of the Finance Act to enable the Tobacco Industries Corporation to embark on this investment.

I think I detailed this morning what happened thereafter. Without any approval of the Cabinet, the Board of Directors of the Tobacco Industries Corporation at a meeting held on 19.1.1980 discussed about the operation of the Auto Trishaw passenger Service. Mr. Ganeshalingam, Working Director, informed the Board that the Hon. Minister of Industries and Scientific Affairs has directed the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation to take over the Trishaws imported by Messrs. Hebtulabhoy and Company. It was further decided that the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation would pay for the 300 Trishaws imported by Messrs. Hebtulabhoy and Company and also obtain a loan from the People's Bank for the purchase of a further batch of 200 trishaws ordered by Messrs. Hebtulabhoy and Company. The Tobacco Industries Corporation would remain the owners of these Trishaws till such time as instalments are paid in full by the owners. The operation of the scheme will be managed by the Jathika Sevaka Sangamaya under the direction of the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation, not by a private limited liability company called SEP. The Jathika Sevaka Sangamaya would be expected to clear the daily dues and remit some to the Corporation.

The Board minute shows that Mr. Cyril Mathew the then Minister has, without any Cabinet approval and before the Cabinet even considered the report of the Cabinet Sub-Committee, on his own directed the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation to purchase and take over the Trishaws and hand over the management to the JSS. This directive is contrary to and inconsistent with any of the objectives of the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation. No mention is even made at



this time of the formation of a private company like SEP with limited liability to take over the operation and management of trishaws. The Cabinet has not approved it at all, I will tell you what happened.

By 7.3.80, however, the SEP has been incorporated and was alleged to have entered into a Management Agreement with the Tobacco Industries Corporation to manage the operation of the Trishaws for and on behalf of the Tobacco Industries Corporation. It will be noted that the Agreement was only drafted in 1981 and eventually signed in 1982. At the next Cabinet Meeting dated 17.4.80, that is in April – nearly four months after the Trishaws had already been taken over without any Cabinet approval – after the event, the Minister of Industries and Scientific Affairs referred to the memorandum dated 28.1.80 and stated that action taken was in accordance with the recommendations of the Cabinet Sub-Committee. The fact that a directive was given to the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation before 19.1.80, without prior Cabinet approval of the proposed scheme, was not brought to the notice of the Cabinet by the Minister of Industries and Scientific Affairs even at this late stage. Further, the terms of the Management Agreement with the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation and SEP were never approved by Cabinet and had never been even placed before or brought to the notice of the Cabinet. Long after the event Mr. Cyril Mathew reported to the Cabinet that the operation was going profitably and the Cabinet noted its contents. That is all that has happened. No approval was given.

What are the conclusions we can draw from this? That without any Cabinet approval or without the knowledge or consent of the Cabinet expressly given, the then Minister of Industries has, according to Mr. Ganeshalingam, prior to 19.1.80, given a directive that the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation should purchase the Trishaws without the approval of the Cabinet, without the approval of the Minister of Finance under the Finance Act. The terms of the Management Agreement between the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation and the SEP have never been submitted to the Cabinet for approval. In fact, the Cabinet never knew even of the existence of the SEP. My Colleagues are here. I do not think any of them knew of the existence of this. The impression made out at the Cabinet was that SEP consisted of a combination of the JSS and the Sri Lanka Tobacco Industries Corporation merely for ensuring that the auto trishaws hire purchase scheme would be efficiently managed. Therefore, Sri, I do not think the Member for Kelaniya can by any stretch of imagination say that the Cabinet ever gave express approval to SEP Limited taking over or managing these Trishaws.

I do not think I should take up more of the time of this House, Sir. There are many other things that I can come out with, but they are matters really for a Presidential Commission of Inquiry not really for Parliament. I would never have spoken at this length if not for the series of allegations made all round by the hon. Member for Kelaniya. When I introduced this Resolution I did not even mention the Member for Kelaniya. I did not even mention him. I did it in the most dignified manner possible. I only said that at the request of my Hon. Colleague, the Minister of Industries and Scientific Affairs, I am performing a statutory function in taking over the Business Undertaking of SEP Limited under the Business Undertakings Acquisition Act because of a series of financial irregularities and other irregularities that had taken place. I never even mentioned the hon. Member for Kelaniya, but in view of his very long speech, I think over five hours, hitting all round the wicket and attacking everybody all round, from His Excellency the President downwards, we had to defend ourselves and our Government.

I have taken my time. I am thankful to you and the House, Sir, for your indulgence. There are many matters on which the Hon. Minister of Industries and Scientific Affairs will have to file certain criminal action for fraud, for misappropriation, for breach of trust and for various things. There are other matters for which a Presidential Commission of Inquiry is the only thing that is merited. This is only the tip of the iceberg. The same type of dealings have occurred in almost all Corporations under the Ministry of Industries since 1977. And some of the irregularities have been colossal in comparison even to this—hundred times as big in Cement Corporation, in Hardware Corporation, in Ceramics Corporation, in Steel Corporation, in almost all the Corporations, where State funds have been diverted to other purposes. I wish a complete Presidential Commission of Inquiry is appointed to inquire into all these matters.

In conclusion, Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, I think I have now conclusively proved that SEP Limited was grossly mismanaged—that is only an under-statement—that it was guilty of misappropriation of State funds and that the Mathew family firm of Niroma Limited had benefited considerably from the operation of this company with State funds. I was therefore fully justified in issuing an Order under the Business Undertakings Acquisition Act for the takeover of this enterprise.

I commend the Resolution approving the Order to the House.

ප්‍රශ්නය විමසන ලදී, සහ සමමත විය.  
 வினா விடுக்கப்பெற்று ஏற்றுக் கொள்ளப்பட்டது.  
 Question put, and agreed to.

**சீமாசபிண ஸ்பெலம் ரகினி யேர்ப்பாணம : தியேர**

**சய தமாழில் வசதி கருத்திட்டங்கள் விமிற்றட :**

**ஓழுங்குவிதிகள்**

**SELF-EMPLOYMENT PROJECTS LTD : REGULATIONS**

**ஈர். ஸே. டீ. டு மேல் மணம்** (தூரல் யா னும ஸீமாடன ஈமெரினா)  
(திரு. ஆர். ஜே. ஜீ. த மெல் — திதி, அணமப்பத்திட்ட அணமச்சர்)  
(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel—Minister of Finance and Planning)

I move,

“That the Regulations made by the Minister of Finance and Planning under section 12 of the Business Undertakings (Acquisition) Act, No. 35 of 1971, relating to the Business Undertaking called the “Self Employment Projects Ltd.” published in the Government Gazette Extraordinary No. 333/5 of 22nd January, 1985 and presented on 21.03.1985, be approved.”

It is a formal Regulation, Sir, regarding the appointment of a Competent Authority.

ஓரணம் மணகிழிவ கர்ண ரு?  
வினா ஈருத்தியல்பப்பெற்றது.  
Question proposed.

**சிரில் மாதேவ் மணம்**  
(திரு. சிறில் மத்திவ்)  
(Mr. Cyril Mathew)

I want to reply to some charges that have been made in the morning.

**ஈர். ஸே. டீ. டு மேல் மணம்**  
(திரு. ஆர். ஜே. ஜீ. த மெல்)  
(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel)

This is only a formal item. This is not on SEP. He can talk on the subject. These are only the Regulations for the management of the new business undertaking.

**தியேரர் கப்பாணமணம்**  
(பிரதிச் சபாநாயகர் அவர்கள்)  
(Mr. Deputy Speaker)

He will have to confire whatever he says to these Regulations.

**சிரில் மாதேவ் மணம்**  
(திரு. சிறில் மத்திவ்)  
(Mr. Cyril Mathew)

No, Sir. Certain allegations have been made which I have to reply to.

**ஈர். ஸே. டீ. டு மேல் மணம்**  
(திரு. ஆர். ஜே. ஜீ. த மெல்)  
(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel)

That is irrelevant, Sir.

**தியேரர் கப்பாணமணம்**  
(பிரதிச் சபாநாயகர் அவர்கள்)  
(Mr. Deputy Speaker)

Order, please ! That debate is—

**ஈர். ஸே. டீ. டு மேல் மணம்**  
(திரு. ஆர். ஜே. ஜீ. த மெல்)  
(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel)

I replied to the allegations that he made. That is all. I have the right to reply and there is no right of further reply.

**தியேரர் கப்பாணமணம்**  
(பிரதிச் சபாநாயகர் அவர்கள்)  
(Mr. Deputy Speaker)

That debate is over.

**ஈர். ஸே. டீ. டு மேல் மணம்**  
(திரு. ஆர். ஜே. ஜீ. த மெல்)  
(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel)

He has no right of further reply.

**தியேரர் கப்பாணமணம்**  
(பிரதிச் சபாநாயகர் அவர்கள்)  
(Mr. Deputy Speaker)

Any comments on what has already taken place in the already decided debate cannot be referred to in this item. This is only for the purpose of discussing the Regulations.

**ஈர். ஸே. டீ. டு மேல் மணம்**  
(திரு. ஆர். ஜே. ஜீ. த மெல்)  
(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel)

Nothing else.

**சிரில் மாதேவ் மணம்**  
(திரு. சிறில் மத்திவ்)  
(Mr. Cyril Mathew)

Regulations refer to the Acquisition Order. Therefore I think I have the right to speak—

**ஈர். ஸே. டீ. டு மேல் மணம்**  
(திரு. ஆர். ஜே. ஜீ. த மெல்)  
(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel)

No, Sir. I vigorously object to that. I take strong exception to that. He has no right whatsoever.

**தியேரர் கப்பாணமணம்**  
(பிரதிச் சபாநாயகர் அவர்கள்)  
(Mr. Deputy Speaker)

I am sorry. That question has been debated and it is over. The order has been approved by Parliament.

**சிரில் மாதேவ் மணம்**  
(திரு. சிறில் மத்திவ்)  
(Mr. Cyril Mathew)

Yes, Sir. These Regulations—

**தியேரர் கப்பாணமணம்**  
(பிரதிச் சபாநாயகர் அவர்கள்)  
(Mr. Deputy Speaker)

Now I think the Hon. Member has already spoken on it, and in any event that question has been put to the House and it is over at the moment.

**சிரில் மாதேவ் மணம்**  
(திரு. சிறில் மத்திவ்)  
(Mr. Cyril Mathew)

But the regulations also refer to the acquisition order.

**ஈர். ஸே. டீ. டு மேல் மணம்**  
(திரு. ஆர். ஜே. ஜீ. த மெல்)  
(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel)

No, Sir, it does not refer —

டிரீல் டிகிரி மெனா  
(திரு. சிறில் மத்திவ்)  
(Mr. Cyril Mathew)

That is the base of the regulation. Therefore I do not see why I cannot answer –

ஈர். டீ. டி. டி. டி. மெல்  
(திரு. ஆர். ஜே. ஜி. த. மெல்)  
(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel)

This is nonsense, Sir. Absolute nonsense.

டிரீல் டிகிரி மெனா  
(திரு. சிறில் மத்திவ்)  
(Mr. Cyril Mathew)

–some of the false accusations that had been made.

ஈர். டீ. டி. டி. டி. மெல்  
(திரு. ஆர். ஜே. ஜி. த. மெல்)  
(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel)

No, Sir. I refuse to give way. I have moved this Resolution. This Resolution can be debated. I appeal to you to confine the debate to these Regulations, nothing else.

டிரீல் டிகிரி மெனா  
(திரு. சிறில் மத்திவ்)  
(Mr. Cyril Mathew)

He has nothing to do with this Sir. It is you who have to decide.

நியோகக் கமிட்டி மெனா  
(பிரதிச் சபாநாயகர் அவர்கள்)  
(Mr. Deputy Speaker)

Certainly, and my order is that whatever is said should relate to this item and this item alone, not to anything that has been said earlier. On the regulations, certainly you can make your comments.

டிரீல் டிகிரி மெனா  
(திரு. சிறில் மத்திவ்)  
(Mr. Cyril Mathew)

No. The regulations are based on the Acquisition Order.

ஈர். டீ. டி. டி. டி. மெல்  
(திரு. ஆர். ஜே. ஜி. த. மெல்)  
(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel)

No, Sir.

டிரீல் டிகிரி மெனா  
(திரு. சிறில் மத்திவ்)  
(Mr. Cyril Mathew)

Why not ?

ஈர். டீ. டி. டி. டி. மெல்  
(திரு. ஆர். ஜே. ஜி. த. மெல்)  
(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel)

Absolutely not.

நியோகக் கமிட்டி மெனா  
(பிரதிச் சபாநாயகர் அவர்கள்)  
(Mr. Deputy Speaker)

One cannot canvass that order now.

ஈர். டீ. டி. டி. டி. மெல்  
(திரு. ஆர். ஜே. ஜி. த. மெல்)  
(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel)

It is finished.

டிரீல் டிகிரி மெனா  
(திரு. சிறில் மத்திவ்)  
(Mr. Cyril Mathew)

No, no.

நியோகக் கமிட்டி மெனா  
(பிரதிச் சபாநாயகர் அவர்கள்)  
(Mr. Deputy Speaker)

Please. I am making it very –

ஈர். டீ. டி. டி. டி. மெல்  
(திரு. ஆர். ஜே. ஜி. த. மெல்)  
(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel)

I will read it for their benefit, Sir :

“These regulations may be cited as Self Employment Projects Limited regulations and apply to and in respect of business undertaking”–

நியோகக் கமிட்டி மெனா  
(பிரதிச் சபாநாயகர் அவர்கள்)  
(Mr. Deputy Speaker)

If you refer to the Gazette notification.

ஈர். டீ. டி. டி. டி. மெல்  
(திரு. ஆர். ஜே. ஜி. த. மெல்)  
(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel)

“The Competent Authority appointed under Section 3 of the Act to manage and administer the affairs of the vested undertaking”–now it is already vested–” “The Competent Authority may refuse to employ every person employed in the business undertaking–” It is about the management of the Competent Authority, nothing more, nothing else.

டிரீல் டிகிரி மெனா  
(திரு. சிறில் மத்திவ்)  
(Mr. Cyril Mathew)

This relates to the business undertaking called the Self Employment Projects.

ஈர். டீ. டி. டி. டி. மெல்  
(திரு. ஆர். ஜே. ஜி. த. மெல்)  
(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel)

That has nothing to do with Self Employment Projects. It is with regard to the new Competent Authority.

டிரீல் டிகிரி மெனா  
(திரு. சிறில் மத்திவ்)  
(Mr. Cyril Mathew)

It is relating to that.

ஈர். டீ. டி. டி. டி. மெல்  
(திரு. ஆர். ஜே. ஜி. த. மெல்)  
(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel)

There is nothing to do with it. I vigorously object, Sir. I will not allow that type of nonsense to happen in this House.

சிரீல் மூகிவீ மெனா  
(திரு. சிறில் மத்திவ்)  
(Mr. Cyril Mathew)

He is not here to decide on that.

முட. சே. சீ. டி. டி. மெல்  
(திரு. ஆர். ஜே. ஜி. த. மெல்)  
(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel)

I can advise you on that.

சிரீல் மூகிவீ மெனா  
(திரு. சிறில் மத்திவ்)  
(Mr. Cyril Mathew)

Because it clearly states that it is relating to the business undertaking called the Self Employment Projects.

சியேர்சு கபாநாயகர் அலர்சு  
(பிரதிச் சபாநாயகர் அலர்சு)  
(Mr. Deputy Speaker)

Yes, that question is over now.

சிரீல் மூகிவீ மெனா  
(திரு. சிறில் மத்திவ்)  
(Mr. Cyril Mathew)

No, but these regulations also refer to that.

சியேர்சு கபாநாயகர் அலர்சு  
(பிரதிச் சபாநாயகர் அலர்சு)  
(Mr. Deputy Speaker)

You can make your comments on the Regulations and not on the fact that this Order has been made or on the Acquisition Order.

சிரீல் மூகிவீ மெனா  
(திரு. சிறில் மத்திவ்)  
(Mr. Cyril Mathew)

If you bear with me, the Regulations refer to the business undertaking called the Self Employment Projects. It is clearly stated here.

சியேர்சு கபாநாயகர் அலர்சு  
(பிரதிச் சபாநாயகர் அலர்சு)  
(Mr. Deputy Speaker)

So you refer to a particular Regulation and make your comments on it.

சிரீல் மூகிவீ மெனா  
(திரு. சிறில் மத்திவ்)  
(Mr. Cyril Mathew)

No I want to refer to the business undertaing.

முட. சே. சீ. டி. டி. மெல்  
(திரு. ஆர். ஜே. ஜி. த. மெல்)  
(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel)

There are 15 regulations here he can talk about these 15 regulations, nothing more nothing less.

சியேர்சு கபாநாயகர் அலர்சு  
(பிரதிச் சபாநாயகர் அலர்சு)  
(Mr. Deputy Speaker)

This opportunity cannot be made use of to discuss the Order that has been already made.

சிரீல் மூகிவீ மெனா  
(திரு. சிறில் மத்திவ்)  
(Mr. Cyril Mathew)

No, no, I am not canvassing the Order. I am going strictly by Item No. 2 which says :

That the Regulations made by the Minister of Finance and Planning under section 12 of the Business Undertakings (Acquisition) Act, No. 35 of 1971, relating to the Business Undertaking called the "Self Employment Projects Ltd." be approved.

சியேர்சு கபாநாயகர் அலர்சு  
(பிரதிச் சபாநாயகர் அலர்சு)  
(Mr. Deputy Speaker)

You can make your comments on the Regulations.

சிரீல் மூகிவீ மெனா  
(திரு. சிறில் மத்திவ்)  
(Mr. Cyril Mathew)

I am saying that the Self-Employment Projects Business Undertaking is also part of this regulation.

சியேர்சு கபாநாயகர் அலர்சு  
(பிரதிச் சபாநாயகர் அலர்சு)  
(Mr. Deputy Speaker)

No, no !

முட. சே. சீ. டி. டி. மெல்  
(திரு. ஆர். ஜே. ஜி. த. மெல்)  
(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel)

No, what nonsense.

சியேர்சு கபாநாயகர் அலர்சு  
(பிரதிச் சபாநாயகர் அலர்சு)  
(Mr. Deputy Speaker)

Certainly not. The main law has now been passed and the regulations moved by the Minister one before this House.

பி. வி. வென்சென்ட் பெரேரா மெனா  
(திரு. எம். வின்சன்ட் பெரேரா)  
(Mr. M. Vincent Perera)

I move that the question be now put.

சிரீல் மூகிவீ மெனா  
(திரு. சிறில் மத்திவ்)  
(Mr. Cyril Mathew)

I say this is directly connected to the Self Employment Projects.

சியேர்சு கபாநாயகர் அலர்சு  
(பிரதிச் சபாநாயகர் அலர்சு)  
(Mr. Deputy Speaker)

It is not connected. The regulations are regulations referring to this.

சிரீல் மூகிவீ மெனா  
(திரு. சிறில் மத்திவ்)  
(Mr. Cyril Mathew)

It is based on that.

නියෝජ්‍ය කථානායකතුමා  
(பிரதிச் சபாநாயகர் அவர்கள்)  
(Mr. Deputy Speaker)

The question of the Self-Employment Projects Ltd., being acquired by Government is now over. It is a fact.

සිරිල් මැතිව මතතා  
(திரு. சிறீல் மத்திய)  
(Mr. Cyril Mathew)

I am not referring to the acquisition. I am referring to the Self-Employment Projects. There are certain wrong statements made, which I want to correct.

නියෝජ්‍ය කථානායකතුමා  
(பிரதிச் சபாநாயகர் அவர்கள்)  
(Mr. Deputy Speaker)

No, please

ආර්. ජේ. ජී. ජී. ජී. මෙල්  
(திரு. ஆர். ஜே. ஜி. த மெல்)  
(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel)

We cannot reopen a debate. There are 15 regulations. Any member who wishes to speak on the 15 regulations can do so. Otherwise put the question to the House. Nothing more nothing less, Sir.

සිරිල් මැතිව මතතා  
(திரு. சிறீல் மத்திய)  
(Mr. Cyril Mathew)

Regulations are not given here.

එම්. වින්සන්ට් පෙරේරා මතතා  
(திரு. எம். வினசன்ட் பெரேரா)  
(Mr. M. Vincent Perera)

I have already moved "that the question be now put"

නියෝජ්‍ය කථානායකතුමා  
(பிரதிச் சபாநாயகர் அவர்கள்)  
(Mr. Deputy Speaker)

Order, please!, The Hon. Minister for Parliamentary Affairs has moved the closure. The matter before this House is that the regulations made are now put to the House for a decision.

ප්‍රශ්නය විමසන ලදී, සහ සම්මත විය.  
வினா விடுக்கப்பெற்று ஏற்றுக்கொள்ளப்பட்டது.  
Question put, and agreed to.

ආර්. ජේ. ජී. ජී. ජී. මෙල්  
(திரு. ஆர். ஜே. ஜி. த மெல்)  
(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel)  
I move,

"That the Regulations made by the Minister of Finance and Planning under section 12 of the Business Undertakings (Acquisition) Act, No. 35 of 1971, relating to the business undertaking called the "Self Employment Projects Ltd.", published in the Gazette Extraordinary No. 344/3 of 08.04.1985 and presented on 23.05.1985 be approved."

ප්‍රශ්නය විමසන ලදී, සහ සම්මත විය.  
வினா விடுக்கப்பெற்று ஏற்றுக்கொள்ளப்பட்டது.  
Question put, and agreed to.

මුද්දර ගාස්තු පනත : නියෝගය  
முத்திரைத் தீர்வைச் சட்டம் : ஒழுங்குவிதி  
STAMP DUTY ACT : REGULATION

ආර්. ජේ. ජී. ජී. මෙල් මතතා  
(திரு. ஆர். ஜே. ஜி. த மெல்)  
(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel)  
I move,

"That the Regulation made by the Acting Minister of Finance and Planning under section 69 of the Stamp Duty Act, No. 43 of 1982 read with section 2 of that Act, and published in the Gazette Extraordinary No. 348/4 of 07.05.1985 which was presented on 25.07.1985 be approved."

Sir, this is an amendment of the Stamp Duty Act. It is a non-controversial item.

ප්‍රශ්නය විමසන ලදී, සහ සම්මත විය.  
வினா விடுக்கப்பெற்று ஏற்றுக்கொள்ளப்பட்டது.  
Question put, and agreed to.

රුමේනියා - ශ්‍රී ලංකා ද්විත්ව බදු සහන ගිවිසුම  
ருமேனியா-இலங்கை இரட்டை வரி நிவாரண உடன்படிக்கை  
ROMANIA-SRI LANKA DOUBLE TAXATION  
RELIEF AGREEMENT

ආර්. ජේ. ජී. ජී. මෙල් මතතා  
(திரு. ஆர். ஜே. ஜி. த மெல்)  
(Mr. R. J. G. de Mel)  
I move,

"That this Parliament resolves under section 82(1)(a) of the Inland Revenue Act, No. 28 of 1979, that the Convention for affording relief from double taxation and for the prevention of fiscal evasion with respect to taxes on income and on wealth entered into between the Government of the Socialist Republic of Romania and the Government of the Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka on 19th October, 1984 which was presented on 25.07.1985 be approved."

Sir, this is an agreement regarding double taxation between the Governments of Romania and Sri Lanka.

ප්‍රශ්නය විමසන ලදී, සහ සම්මත විය.  
வினா விடுக்கப்பெற்று ஏற்றுக்கொள்ளப்பட்டது.  
Question put, and agreed to.

කල්තැබීම  
ஒத்திவைப்பு  
ADJOURNMENT

එම්. වින්සන්ට් පෙරේරා මතතා  
(திரு. எம். வினசன்ட் பெரேரா)  
(Mr. M. Vincent Perera)

I move,  
"That the Parliament do now adjourn".

ප්‍රශ්නය විමසන ලදී, සහ සම්මත විය.  
வினா விடுக்கப்பெற்று ஏற்றுக்கொள்ளப்பட்டது.  
Question put, and agreed to.

පාර්ලිමේන්තුව ඊට අනුකූලව අ. ග. 4.05 ට 1985 අගෝස්තු 9 වන සිකුරාදා ප්‍ර. ග. 9.30 වන තෙක් කල් ගියේය.

அதன்படி, பி.ப. 4.5 மணிக்கு பாராளுமன்றம், 1985 ஓகஸ்ட் 9, வெள்ளிக்கிழமை மு. ப. 9.30 மணிவரை ஒத்திவைக்கப்பட்டது.  
Adjourned accordingly at 4.05 p.m. until 9.30 on Friday, 9th August, 1985.

ප්‍රශ්නවලට ලිඛිත පිළිතුරු

විභාග කොටසට ඇතුළත් වූ විභාගකරු

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

වැන්ග් ජියෝ මහතා : පුරවැසිභාවය

ති.රු. වොඞ් ඛෂියෝ : பிரஜாஉரிமை

MR. WANG SHEIO : CITIZENSHIP

305/85

ආචාර්ය ඩබ්ලිව්. දහනායක මහතා (ගාල්ල)

(කොළඹ 1 උපාය. ප්‍රධානායුක්ත - කාලි)

(Dr. W. Dahanayake-Galle)

ජාතික ආරක්ෂක ඇමතිතුමා සහ නියෝජ්‍ය රාජ්‍ය ආරක්ෂක ඇමතිතුමාගෙන් ඇසූ ප්‍රශ්නය :

- (අ) 1983 අප්‍රේල් 15 දින දරන අයදුම් පත මගින් ගාල්ලේ අබ්දුල් වහාබ් මාවතේ අංක 49 හි පදිංචි වැන්ග් ජියෝ මහතා, තමා සහ තම දරුවන් සඳහා ශ්‍රී ලංකා පුරවැසිභාවය ඉල්ලා අයදුම් කළ බව එතුමා දන්නේද?
- (ආ) ඉල්ලා ඇති පුරවැසිභාවය ලබා දීමෙන් මන්ද?
- (ඇ) සහනය ලබා ගැනීම සඳහා අයදුම්කරු ගත යුතු ක්‍රියාමාර්ගය කවරේද?
- (ඈ) දරුවන් තිදෙනා පුරවැසියන් ලෙස පිළිගනු නොලබන්නේ මන්ද?
- (ඉ) ඔවුන් පුරවැසියන් ලෙස පිළිගනු නොලැබුවොත් ඔවුන්ට කිසිම රැකියාවක් සඳහා ඉල්ලුම් කිරීමට හිමිකමක් නොලැබෙන බව එතුමා දන්නේද?
- (ඊ) එතුමා කුමන ප්‍රතිකර්මයක් යෝජනා කරන්නේද?
- (උ) එසේ නොකරන්නේ නම්, ඒ මන්ද?

දේශීය பாதுகாப்பு அமைச்சரும் பிரதிப் பாதுகாப்பு அமைச்சருமான வரைக் கேட்ட வினா :

- (அ) 49, அப்துல் வகாப் மாவத்தை, காலி என்ற முகவரியிலுள்ள திரு. வொங் ஷியோ 1983 ஏப்ரல் 15 ஆம் தேதிய விண்ணப்பம் மூலம் தனக்கும் தம் பிள்ளைகளுக்கும் இலங்கைப் பிரஜா உரிமை கேட்டுள்ளார் என்பதை அறிவாரா?
- (ஆ) கேட்ட இக் குடியுரிமை ஏன் வழங்கப்படவில்லை?
- (இ) நிவாரணம் பெறுவதற்கு இவ்விண்ணப்பதாரர் என்ன நடவடிக்கை எடுத்தல் வேண்டும்?
- (ஈ) மூன்று பிள்ளைகளும் ஏன் குடிமக்களாக ஏற்றுக்கொள்ளப்படவில்லை?
- (உ) அவ்வாறு அவர்கள் குடிமக்கள் என ஏற்றுக்கொள்ளப்படா விட்டால் அவர்கள் எந்தத் தொழிலுக்கும் விண்ணப்பிக்க உரித்தற்றவர் என்பதை அறிவாரா?
- (ஊ) அவர் இதற்குத் தெரிவிக்கும் பரிகாரம் என்ன?
- (எ) இல்லையெல், ஏன்?

asked the Minister of National Security and Deputy Minister of Defence :

- (a) Is he aware that Mr. Won Shio of 49, Abdul Wahab Mawatha, Galle, had applied for Sri Lankan citizenship for himself and his children by application dated 15th april, 1983 ?
- (b) Why is the citizenship asked for not granted ?
- (c) What action should the applicant take to obtain redress ?
- (d) Why are the 3 children not accepted as citizens ?
- (e) If they are not accepted as citizens is he aware that they are not entitled to apply for any employment ?
- (f) What remedy does he suggest ?
- (g) If not, why ?

ලලිත් ඇතුළත් මුදල මහතා (ජාතික ආරක්ෂාව පිළිබඳ ඇමතිතුමා සහ නියෝජ්‍ය රාජ්‍ය ආරක්ෂක ඇමතිතුමා)

(திரு. லலித் அத்துலத் முதலி—தேசிய பாதுகாப்பு அமைச்சரும் பிரதிப் பாதுகாப்பு அமைச்சரும்)

(Mr. LalithAthulath Mudali - Minister of National Security and Deputy Minister of Defence)

- (අ) වැන්ග් ජියෝ මහතා දරුවන් තිදෙනෙකුගේද නම් ඇතුළත් කරමින් ලංකා පුරවැසි පතකට 12 වන වගන්තිය යටතේ ඉල්ලුම් පතක් 1984.02.01 දින ඉදිරිපත් කොට තිබුණි. එහි සඳහන් දරුවන් තිදෙනාගෙන් එක් අයෙක් බාලවයස්කාරයෙක්ද, අනෙක් දෙදෙනා වයස අවුරුදු 21 ට වැඩි අයද වූහ.
- (ආ) මෙය ප්‍රතිපත්තියට අනුකූල නොවේ.
- (ඇ) ඉහත (ආ) පිළිතුරු බලන්න.
- (ඈ) වයස අවුරුදු 21 ට වැඩි එක් දරුවකු වන වැන්ග් ඩර්මසේන මහතා 11 (1) (ආ) (1) වගන්තිය යටතේ පුරවැසිකම සඳහා ඉල්ලුම් පතක් ඉදිරිපත් කොට තිබූ අතර ඔහු ශ්‍රී ලංකා පුරවැසියෙකු ලෙස ලියාපදිංචි කිරීමට ක්‍රියා කරගෙන යනු ලැබේ. දෙවන දරුවා වන වැන්ග් ඩර්මසිරි මහතා වයස අවුරුදු 21 ට වැඩි නොවේ. ඔහු වෙත 1970.07.19 දින යවන ලද 11 (1) (ආ) (1) වගන්තිය යටතේ වූ ඉල්ලුම් පත් ආකෘති පහසු පුරවා අවශ්‍ය ලියවිලි සමඟ එය ආපසු එවනු ලැබුවොත්, ශ්‍රී ලංකා පුරවැසියෙකු ලෙස ඔහු ලියාපදිංචි කිරීම ගැන සලකා බැලිය හැකිවේ. දැනට වයස අවුරුදු 19 ක්වූ තුන්වන දරුවා වන වැන්ග් ඔර්ලි දමයන්ති මෙනෙට්ටා ඇයගේ 21 වන උපන් දිනයට එලඹීමේදී පුරවැසිකම සඳහා ඉල්ලුම් කිරීමට සුදුසු වනු ඇත.
- (ඉ) ඉහත (ඇ) සඳහා පිළිතුරු බලන්න.
- (ඊ) පැන නොතහි.
- (උ) පැන නොතහි.

(அ) திரு. வொங் ஷியோ 1.2.1984 ஆம் தேதி இலங்கைப் பிரசாவுரிமைச் சட்டத்தின் 12 ஆம் பிரிவின் கீழ் சிறுவய தினரொருவரும் 21 வயதுக்கு மேற்பட்ட மற்றபிரவரமான தம் மூன்று பிள்ளைகளின் பெயர்களுடன் விண்ணப்பம் செய்தார்.

- (ஆ) இது கொள்கைக்கு அமைந்ததொன்றல்ல.
- (இ) மேலே (ஆ) வுக்கான விடையை தயவுசெய்து பார்க்க.
- (ஈ) 21 வயதுக்கு மேற்பட்டவரான திரு. வொங் தர்மசேன என்னும் பிள்ளை 11(1) (ஆ) (i) எனும் பிரிவின் கீழ் குடியுரிமை கோரி விண்ணப்பித்தது. அவரை ஓர் இலங்கைப் பிரசையாக பதிவு செய்வதற்கு நடவடிக்கை எடுக்கப்பட்டு வருகிறது. 21 வயதுக்கு மேற்பட்டவரான திரு. வொங் தர்மசிறிக்கு 19.7.1970 ஆம் தேதி 11(1) (ஆ) (i) எனும் பிரிவின் கீழ் அனுப்பிவைக்கப்பட்ட படிவம் பூர்த்திசெய்யப்பட்டு தேவையான ஆவணங்களுடன் திருப்பி அனுப்பப்பட்டது. ஓர் இலங்கைப் பிரசையாக அவரைப் பதிவுசெய்தல் கருத்திற்கெடுக்கப்படும். மூன்றுவது பிள்ளையான தற்போது 19 வயதுடைய செல்வி வொங் ஷேர்ளி தமயந்தி 21 ஆவது பிறந்தநாளை அவர் அடைந்ததும் பிரசாவுரிமைக்கு விண்ணப்பிக்கத் தகைமை பெறுவார்.

- (உ) மேலே (இ) இற்கான விடையைத் தயவுசெய்து பார்க்க.
- (ஊ) எழவில்லை.
- (எ) எழவில்லை.
- (a) Mr. Wang Sheio had made an application under Section 12 of the Ceylon Citizenship Act on 1.2.1984 including the names of his 3 children, of whom one is a minor and other two are over 21 years.
- (b) This is not in accordance with policy.
- (c) Please see reply to (b) above.

(d) One child, Mr. Wang Dharmasena who is over 21 years had made an application for citizenship under Section (1)(b)(i) and action is being taken to register him as a citizen of Sri Lanka. The 2nd child Mr. Wang Dharmasiri who is over 21 years can be considered for registration as a citizen of Sri Lanka if the application form under Section 11(1)(b)(i) sent to him on 19.7.1970 is completed and returned along with the required documents. The 3rd child Miss Wang Shirley Damayanthi who is presently 19 years will be eligible to apply for citizenship on reaching her 21st birthday.

(e) Please see reply to (d) above.

(f) Does not arise.

(g) Does not arise.

එම්. පී. ඒ. එස්. ද සිල්වා මහතා : මැද පෙරදිග රැකියාව

திரு. எம். பி. ஜி. எஸ். த சில்வா : மத்திய கிழக்குத் தொழில்  
Mr. M. P. H. S. de SILVA : MIDDLE EAST EMPLOYMENT

348/85

ආචාර්ය ඩබ්ලිව්. දහනායක මහතා

(கலாநிதி டபிள்யூ. தஹநாயக்க)

(Dr. W. Dahanayake)

කමිකරු ඇමතිතුමාගෙන් ඇසූ ප්‍රශ්නය :

(අ) ගින්නොට, ගිංගහ මාවතේ ආක 53 දරන ස්ථානයේ පදිංචි, එම්. පී. ඒ. සුමතිපාල ද සිල්වා මහතා, කමිකරු කොමසාරිස්ගේ ආක වී.ඒ. 2/117 හා වී.ඒ. 2/140 දරන ලිපි යටතේ 1982 අගෝස්තු මස මැද පෙරදිග යැවීම සඳහා කමිකරු දෙපාර්තමේන්තුව මගින් තෝරා ගන්නා ලද බව එතුමා දන්නේ ද?

(ආ) සුමතිපාල ද සිල්වා මහතා යැවීමට දෙපාර්තමේන්තුව අපොහොසත් වූ නිසා, සුමතිපාල ද සිල්වා මහතා විසින් දරන ලද විසඳුම් සේවායෝජකයාගෙන් අසකර දීමට කමිකරු කොමසාරිස්වරයා පොරොන්දු වූයේද?

(ඇ) සුමතිපාල ද සිල්වා මහතාට වැයවුණු මුදල් මෙතෙක් අසකර ගෙන නොමැත්තෙන්, පසුව යටතේ ලද අයදුම්කරුවන්ගේ කණ්ඩායම්වලට ඔහු ඇතුළත් නොකරන ලද්දේ මන්ද?

(ඈ) සුමතිපාල ද සිල්වා මහතා දැන් මැද පෙරදිගට යටත්වේද?

(ඉ) එසේ නොයටත්තේ නම්, ඒ මන්ද?

தொழில் அமைச்சரைக் கேட்ட வினா :

(அ) தொழில் ஆணையாளரின் /2/117, /2/140 இலக்கப்படி ஜின்னோட்டா, ஜின்னகங்க மாவத்தையில் இலக்கம் 53 இல் வசிக்கும் திரு. எம். பி. ஜி. சுமதிபால த சில்வா என்பவர் மத்திய கிழக்கிற்கு அனுப்பப்படுவதற்காக தொழிற்நிணைக்களத்தினால் 1982 ஓகஸ்டில் தெரிவு செய்யப்பட்டார் என்பதை அவர் அறிவாரா ?

(ஆ) நிணைக்களம் திரு. சுமதிபால த சில்வாவை அனுப்பத் தவறிவிட்டதால், திரு. சுமதிபால த சில்வாவுக்கு ஏற்பட்ட செலவு முழுவதையும் தொழில் வழங்குநரிடமிருந்து மீள்பெறத் தொழில் ஆணையாளர் வாக்குறுதி அளித்தாரா ?

(இ) ஏற்பட்ட செலவுகள் ஏன் இன்னும் மீள்பெறப்படவில்லை ? பின்பு அனுப்பிய விண்ணப்பதாரிகள் குழுவில் திரு. சுமதிபால த சில்வா ஏன் சேர்க்கப்படவில்லை ?

(ஈ) திரு. சுமதிபால த சில்வா இப்போது உடனடியாக மத்திய கிழக்கிற்கு அனுப்பப்படுவாரா ?

(உ) இல்லையேயில், ஏன் ?

asked the Minister of Labour :

(a) Is he aware that Mr. M. P. G. Sumathipala de Silva, 53, Ginganga Mawata, Gintota, was selected by the Labour Department to be sent to the Middle East in August, 1982, /2/117 and /2/140 of the Commissioner of Labour ?

(b) As the department failed to send Mr. Sumathipala de Silva, did the Commissioner of Labour promise to recover from the employer all expenses incurred by Mr. Sumathipala de Silva ?

(c) Why have the expenses incurred not been received yet, and why has Mr. Sumathipala de Silva not been included in batches of applicants sent later ?

(d) Will Mr. Sumathipala de Silva be now sent to the M.E. ?

(e) If not, why ?

பி. சி. ஓம்புலா மஹா (கமீகரர் கටසුතු පිළිබද ඇමතිතුමා)

(திரு. பி. சி. இம்புலான — தொழில் அமைச்சர்)

(Mr. P. C. Imbulana - Minister of Labour)

(අ) වී.ඒ.2/117 ලිපියෙහි යටතේ තෝරාගෙන ඇත්ත පසුව එම ඇණවුම පිළිබඳව විදේශ සමාගම විසින් ක්‍රියා කර තැන, වී.ඒ.2/140 ගොනුව යටතේ සම්මුඛ පරීක්ෂණයට කැඳවා ඇති මුත් විදේශ නියෝජිතයා විසින් තෝරාගෙන නොමැත.

(ආ) පොරොන්දු වූයේ තැන.

(ඇ) වී.ඒ.2/140 ගොනුව යටතේ සම්මුඛ පරීක්ෂණයට කැඳවා ඇත. සම්මුඛ පරීක්ෂණය කරනු ලැබූ විදේශ නියෝජිතයින් විසින් ඔහුව තෝරාගෙන තැන.

(ඈ) මතුවට ලැබෙන විදේශ රැකියා ඇණවුම් සඳහා සුදුසුකම් අනුව සම්මුඛ පරීක්ෂණවලට කැඳවනු ඇත.

(ඉ) ඉහත (ඈ) අනුව පැන නොනගී.

(a) Reference file No. වී.ඒ. /2/117 although he has been selected the foreign firm has not recruited any persons on this order. Reference file No. වී.ඒ. /2/140 he has been interviewed but not been selected by the foreign employers representative.

(b) No such promise has been made.

(c) He has been summoned on order No වී.ඒ.2/140 but has not been selected by the foreign employers.

(d) He will be considered for future vacancies depending on his suitability.

(e) Does not arise in view of reply at "d" above.

එම්. සිල්මන් මහතා : වැන්දඹු විශ්‍රාම වැටුප්

திரு. எம். சில்மன் : விதவை, அனாதை ஒய்வூதியம்

MR. M. SILMAN : W & O P

3533/85

ආචාර්ය ඩබ්ලිව්. දහනායක මහතා

(கலாநிதி டபிள்யூ. தஹநாயக்க)

(Dr. W. Dahanayake)

ජාතික ආරක්ෂක ඇමතිතුමා සහ නියෝජ්‍ය රාජ්‍ය ආරක්ෂක ඇමතිතුමාගෙන් ඇසූ ප්‍රශ්නය :

(අ) වරාය අධිකාරියේ සේවය කළ විශ්‍රාම වැටුප් අංක 218808/පී හීම් එම්. සිල්මන් මහතා මැතකදී මිය ගිය බවත්, ඔහුගේ වැන්දඹුව වන ගාල්ල දඩල්ලේ ජයන්ති මාවතේ අංක 13 දරන ස්ථානයේ පදිංචි දඩල්ලේ ජයලිත් කෝනා මහත්මිය හා බැඳවියස්කාර දරුවන් හතර දෙනා වැන්දඹු අතන්දරු විශ්‍රාම වැටුප් හා අනෙකුත් අයවීම් ඉල්ලා සිටින බවත්, (වැ. අ. වී. වැ. අංක 198587) එතුමා දන්තේද?

(ආ) ඔවුන්ට මේ මුදල් ගෙවන්නේ කවද ද?

தேசிய பாதுகாப்பு அமைச்சரும் பிரதிப் பாதுகாப்பு அமைச்சருமான வரைக் கேட்ட வினா :

(அ) துறைமுக அதிகார சபையில் கடமையாற்றிய திரு. எம். சில்மன் என்பவர் (ஒய்வூதிய இல. 218808/P) அண்மையில் காலமானாரென்பதையும், இவரது விதவையும் 13, ஜயந்தி மாவத்தை, தடல், காலி எனும் முகவரியைச் சேர்ந்தவருமான திருமதி தடல்லகே ஜசலின்நோனா, பராமடையாத தம் நான்கு பிள்ளைகளுடன் விதவைகள், அனாதைகள் ஒய்வூதியத் தையும் ஏனைய வருமதிகளையும் கோரியுள்ளாரென்பதையும் அவர் அறிவாரா (வி.அ.ஒ. இல. 198587) ?

(ஆ) இவர்களுக்கு இவை எப்போது வழங்கப்படும் ?

asked the Minister of National Security and Deputy Minister of Defence :

(a) Is he aware that Mr. Silman, pensioner No. 218808/P, who had worked in the Port Authority, died recently, and his widow Mrs. Dadallege Jasalin Nona, of 13, Jayanthi Mawatha, Dadalle, Galle, four minor children, claim a Widows' and Orphans' pension, and other dues (W.& O.P. No. 198587) ?

(b) When will they be paid ?

ලලිත් ඇතුලත් මුදල් මහතා

திரு. லலித் அத்துலத்முதலி

(Mr. Lalith Athulath Mudali)

(අ) නැත.

(ආ) කෙදනිම්. මෙය රාජ්‍ය පරිපාලන අමාත්‍යාංශයට අයත් වන වැන්දඹු හා අතන්දරු විශ්‍රාම වැටුප් අරමුදල් කාර්යාලය විසින් කටයුතු කළ යුතු කාරණයකි.

(அ) இல்லை.

(ஆ) தெரியாது. இது பொது திருவாக அமைச்சின் கீழுள்ள விதவைகள், அனாதைகள் ஒய்வூதிய அலுவலகத்தினால் மேற் கொள்ளப்பட வேண்டிய ஒரு விடயமாகும்.

(a) No.

(b) Not known. This is a matter for the Widows' and Orphans' Pension Fund Office which comes under the Ministry of Public Administration.

ශ්‍රී ලංකා නැව් සංස්ථාව : නීති උපදේශකයන්

இலங்கைக் கப்பற் கூட்டுத்தாபனம் : சட்ட ஆலோசகர்

SRI LANKA SHIPPING CORPORATION: LEGAL ADVISORS

380/85

ලක්ෂ්මන් ජයකොඩි මහතා (අත්තනගල්ල)

திரு. லக்ஷ்மன் ஜயக்கொடி — அத்தனகல்ல

(Mr. Lakshman Jayakody-Attanagalla)

වෙලද හා නාවික ඇමතිතුමාගෙන් ඇසූ ප්‍රශ්නය :

(අ) 1980 අප්‍රේල් 01 දින සිට 1985 අප්‍රේල් මස 30 දින දක්වා ලංකා නැව් සංස්ථාවේ නීති උපදේශකයින් වශයෙන් කටයුතු කළ අයගේ නම් සහ ලිපිනයන් එතුමා සඳහන් කරනවාද?

(ආ) එම වකවානුව තුළදී එම නීති උපදේශකයින්ට ගෙවන ලද ගාස්තු වෙත වෙනම එතුමා සඳහන් කරනවාද?

வர்த்தக, கப்பற்றுறை அமைச்சரைக் கேட்ட வினா :

(அ) 1980 ஏப்ரல் 1 ஆம் தேதி முதல் 1985 ஏப்ரல் 30 ஆம் தேதி வரை இலங்கை கப்பற் கூட்டுத்தாபனத்தில் சட்ட ஆலோசகர்களாகப் பணியாற்றியவர்களின் பெயர், முகவரிகளை அவர் குறிப்பிடுவாரா ?

(ஆ) இக்காலப் பகுதியில் இச்சட்ட ஆலோசகர்களுக்குச் செலுத்தப்பட்ட கட்டணத்தை வெவ்வேறாக அவர் குறிப்பிடுவாரா ?

asked the minister of Trade and Shipping :

(a) Will he state the names and addresses of those who functioned as Legal Advisors in the Sri Lanka Shipping Corporation from 1st April, 1980 to 30th April, 1985 ?

(b) Will he state separately, the fee paid to those Legal advisors during that period ?

එම්. එස්. අමරසිරි මහතා (වෙලෙද හා නාවික කටයුතු පිළිබඳ ඇමතිතුමා)

திரு. எஸ். எஸ். அமரசிரி—வர்த்தக, கப்பற்றுறை அமைச்சர்

(Mr. M. S. Amarasiri - Minister of Trade and Shipping)

(අ) ඔව් : අමුණා ඇති "අ" ලැයිස්තුව බලන්න.

(ආ) ඔව් : අමුණා ඇති "ආ" ලැයිස්තුව බලන්න.

(அ) ஆம் ; இணைப்பு நிரல் "அ" வைப் பார்க்கவும்.

(ஆ) ஆம் ; இணைப்பு நிரல் "ஆ" வைப் பார்க்கவும்.

(a) Yes, vide annex list "A".

(b) Yes, vide annex list "B".

ANNEX "A"

Name and Addresses of the Legal Advisers who functioned in the Shipping Corporation during 01.04.80 to 30.04.85.

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1. Mr. G. F. Sethukavalar<br>"Indrani",<br>17, Skelton Road,<br>Havelock Town,<br>Colombo-05. | 3. Mr. Vernon Wijetunge,<br>10, Palm Grove,<br>Clifford Road,<br>Colombo 3.   |
| 2. Mr. N. T. S. Kularatne,<br>30B, Sri Mahavihara Road,<br>Off Kohuwela Road,<br>Kohuwela.    | 4. Mr. H. E. P. Cooray,<br>28, Rosmead Place,<br>Colombo 7.<br>5. Mr. George Candappa,<br>30, Galle Road,<br>Colombo 6. |



		<i>Date of Payment</i>	<i>Lawyer's Name</i>	<i>Amount Paid</i> <i>Rs.</i>	
6.	Mr. J. B. L. de Silva 15/1 B, Alfred House Gardens, Colombo 3.	10. Mr. R. C. Perera, C/o. Mr. E. P. Paul Perera, 71, Ward Place, Colombo 7.	08.02.82 08.02.82 15.12.82 10.03.83 10.03.83 19.04.83 24.08.83 02.09.83 02.09.83 23.09.83 28.12.83	Mr. Basheer Ahamed Mr. Subasinghe Mr. G. F. Sethukavalar Mr. Mithrakrishnana Mr. J. W. Subasinghe Mr. Vernon Wijetunge Mr. Sethukavalar Mr. Basheer Ahamed Mr. D. Fernando Mr. N. T. S. Kularatne Mr. G. F. Sethukavalar	525.00 6,825.00 1,050.00 367.50 1,050.00 14,175.00 1,575.00 767.00 630.00 2,625.00 3,675.00
7.	Mr. K. M. Basheer Ahamed, C/o, Mr. G. F. Sethukavalar, "Indrani", 17, Skelton Road, Colombo 5.	11. Mr. S. Mithrakrishnan, 18. Elibank Road, Colombo 5.			
8.	Mr. D. F. R. Jayamaha, 247 1/2, Hulftsdorp street, Colombo 12.	12. Mr. Mark Fernando, 26A, Rosmead Place, Colombo 7.			
9.	Mr. M. Y. M. Faiz, C/o. Mr. E. P. Paul Perera, 71, Ward Place, Colombo 7.	13. Mrs. S. Chandrasena, Ministry of Trade & Shipping, Rakshana Mandiraya, Colombo 2.	<i>Date of Payment</i> 19.01.84 14.02.84 17.04.84 07.05.84 29.05.84 29.05.84 10.06.84 10.06.84 10.06.84 10.06.84 10.06.84 10.06.84 10.06.84 10.06.84 01.10.84 25.10.84 25.10.84 09.11.84 19.11.84 19.11.84 19.11.84 26.12.84	<i>Lawyer's Name</i> 1984 Mr. Vernnon Wijetunge Mr. Vernon Wijetunge Mr. N. T. S. Kularatne Mr. N. T. S. Kularatne Mr. G. F. Sethukavalar Mr. S. Mithrakrishnan Mr. Vernon Wijetunge Mr. Vernon Wijetunge Mr. Vernon Wijetunge Mr. N. T. S. Kularatne Mr. N. T. S. Kularatne Mr. N. T. S. Kularatne Mr. N. T. S. Kularatne Mr. N. T. S. Kularatne Mr. G. F. Sethukavalar Mr. S. Mithrakrishnan Mr. N. T. S. Kularatne Mr. Vernon Wijetunge Mr. H. E. P. Cooray Mr. H. E. P. Cooray Mr. N. T. S. Kularatne	<i>Amount Paid</i> <i>Rs.</i> 4,200.00 5,250.00 1,050.00 787.50 2,100.00 787.50 3,150.00 3,675.00 6,300.00 1,350.00 2,100.00 1,575.00 1,880.00 2,100.00 1,050.00 2,362.50 16,800.00 5,565.00 630.00 1,575.00
<b>ANNEX "B"</b>					
<i>Date of Payment</i>	<i>Lawyer's Name</i>	<i>Amount Paid</i> <i>Rs.</i>	<i>Date of Payment</i>	<i>Amount Paid</i> <i>Rs.</i>	
1980 and 1981					
04.09.80	Mr. Mark Fernando	1,050.00	06.02.85	Mr. N. T. S. Kularatne	
26.09.80	Mr. G. F. Sethukavalar	787.50	25.03.85	Mr. H. E. P. Cooray	
02.10.80	Mr. M. Y. M. Faiz	420.00	04.03.85	Mr. George Candappa	
02.10.80	Mr. R. C. Perera	315.00	19.03.85	Mr. J. B. L. de Silva	
12.11.80	Mr. R. C. Perera	420.00	16.04.85	Mr. N. T. S. Kularatne	
12.11.80	Mr. M. Y. M. Faiz	420.00	26.04.85	Mr. N. T. S. Kularatne	
25.11.80	Mrs. C. Chandrasena	425.00	26.04.85	Mr. Vernon Wijetunge	
01.12.80	Mr. R. C. Perera	420.00	26.04.85	Mr. H. E. P. Cooray	
01.12.80	Mr. M. Y. M. Faiz	420.00	17.05.85	Mr. George Candappa	
03.03.81	Mr. G. F. Sethukavalar	1,575.00	20.05.85	Mr. J. B. L. de Silva	
10.03.81	Mr. D. F. R. Jayamaha	175.00	13.06.85	Mr. Vernon Wijetunge	
23.09.81	Mr. G. F. Sethukavalar	2,100.00	13.06.85	Mr. H. E. P. Cooray	
23.09.81	Mr. K. M. Basheer Ahamed	700.00	13.06.85	Mr. N. T. S. Kularatne	
14.10.81	Mr. G. F. Sethukavalar	1,575.00	29.07.85	Mr. N. T. S. Kularatne	
14.10.81	Mr. K. M. Basheer Ahamed	575.00			
19.11.81	Mr. G. F. Sethukavalar	525.00			
19.11.81	Mr. K. M. Basheer Ahamed	210.00			
16.12.81	Mr. G. F. Sethukavalar	630.00			
16.12.81	Mr. Mithrakrishnan	210.00			
16.12.81	Mr. G. F. Sethukavalar	1,575.00			
16.12.81	Mr. K. M. Basheer Ahamed	525.00			
1982 and 1983					
18.01.82	Mr. G. F. Sethukavalar	1,575.00			
18.01.82	Mr. Basheer Ahamed	525.00			
08.02.82	Mr. G. F. Sethukavalar	1,575.00			



சு. சூ.

மேலே வாசிப்பின் அடிப்படையில் திருத்தங்களை அறிக்கையிற்றெளிவாகக் குறித்து  
பிழை திருத்தங்களினைக் கொண்ட பிரதியை ஹன்சார்ட் பதிப்பாளரிடமிருந்து

1985 ஏகஸ்ட் 22 லிருந்து திரும்பவும்

தொழில்நுட்ப பரிசீலனைக்குப் பின்பு

குறிப்பு

அங்கத்தினர்கள் இறுதிப் பதிப்பிற் செய்யவிரும்பும் பிழை திருத்தங்களை அறிக்கையிற்றெளிவாகக் குறித்து  
பிழை திருத்தங்களைக் கொண்ட பிரதியை ஹன்சார்ட் பதிப்பாளரிடமிருந்து

1985 ஓகஸ்ட் 22, வியாழக்கிழமைக்குப் பின்பு

கிடைக்கக்கூடியதாக அனுப்புவது வேண்டும்.

**NOTE**

Corrections which Members suggest for the Final Print should be clearly marked in this Report and the *copy containing the corrections must reach* the Editor of HANSARD

not later than

**Thursday, 22nd August 1985**

**Contents of Proceedings** : From 10.00 a.m. to 4.05 p.m.  
on 08.08.1985

**Final set of manuscripts  
received from Parliament** : 8.00 p.m. on 08.08.1985

**Printed copies despatched** : 09.08.1985 morning.

**දයක මුදල් :** පාර්ලිමේන්තු විவිද වාර්තාවල වාර්ෂික දයක මිල රු. 200/- කි. (අශෝධිත පිටපත් සඳහා නම් රු. 175/- කි). පිටපතක් හෙත් වා ගැනීම අවශ්‍ය නම් ගාස්තුව රු. 2.50 කි. කැපල් ගාස්තුව ගත 90 කි. කොළඹ 1, නැ. පෙ. 500, රජයේ ප්‍රකාශන කාර්යාංශයේ අධිකාරී වෙත සෑම වර්ෂයකම නොවැම්බර් 30 දාට ප්‍රථම දයක මුදල් ගෙවා ඉදිරි වර්ෂයේ දයකත්වය ලබාගෙන විවිද වාර්තා ලබාගත හැකිය. නියමිත දිනෙන් පසුව එවනු ලබන දයක ඉල්ලුම්පත් භාරගනු නොලැබේ.

**සந்தා :** ඉහත සාධක අනුකරා අලිපිකයින් වැරදාඳුනු සන්තා උපා 200/- (නිරුක්තප්පාඳුනු පිරිසිසුන් උපා 175/-) ඉහත සාධක තනිපිරිසිසු උපා 2.50. තපාඳුනු සෙලවු 90 සතූ. වැරදාඳුනු සන්තා මුඳුපනමාස අක්තියාඳුනු, අසාඳුනු කෙටියිඳුනු වලකම. ත. පෙ. මු. 500, කොඳුනු 1 ඳුනු ඛිලාසක්තීඳුනු අනුපිරි පිරිසිසුනු පෙඳුනු කොඳුනු. ඉඳුනු වෙරාඳුනු නවෙමපර් 30 ඳුනු තේතිකුමුඳුනු සන්තාප් පනම අනුප්පඳුනු. පිරිසිසු කිඳුනු සන්තා ඛිනුනුප්පඳුනු ඉඳුනු කොඳුනු.

**Subscriptions :** The annual subscription for Official Report of Hansard is Rs. 200/- (For uncorrected copies Rs. 175/-). A single copy of Hansard is available for Rs. 2.50. (Postage 90 cts.) Copies can be obtained by remitting in advance an annual subscription fee to the SUPERINTENDENT, GOVERNMENT PUBLICATIONS BUREAU, P. O. Box, 500 Colombo 1. The fee should reach him on or before November 30 each year. Late applications for subscription will not be accepted.