

For Your Printing
SAIVA PRAKASA PRESS

THE Hindu Organ

FOR YOUR BOOKS
CONTACT
Saiva Prakasa Book Depot

Estd. Sept. 11, 1889.

[The Only Newspaper in Ceylon for the Hindus]
PUBLISHED EVERY FRIDAY

PHONE No. 356

[Price 10 Cents]

VOL. LXXII

JAFFNA, FRIDAY MAY 27, 1960

NO. 8

THE SOVIET LEADER AND THE SUMMIT

BRITISH OBSERVATION

Last week's Paris meeting was the most fantastic that I have ever had to report. And my experience goes back some 40 years.

There can, I think, be no doubt that Mr. Khrushchev went to Paris not to negotiate, not to attend the long awaited summit, but to prevent it.

I do not believe that this was a sudden decision, the result of Soviet anger at the affair of the U-2. I believe that the turning point came well before this plane was brought down at Sverdlovsk on May 8. It came with Mr. Khrushchev's speech at Baku in April.

When I read the passages of that speech which dealt with Berlin I at once interpreted them to mean that, for whatever reason, the Soviet leaders had decided that they no longer wished for a summit—at any rate, for the present.

At Baku, Mr. Khrushchev reverted sharply to a position very close to that of his "ultimatum" of November, 1958. He gave a warning that, unless the Western allies accepted a Berlin settlement which would include the "liquidation" of their occupation of West Berlin, the Soviet Government would make a treaty with Eastern Germany and that this would annul all the rights of the United States, Britain and France to keep troops in West Berlin or to have guaranteed freedom of communication with the city.

The purpose of this seemed to me only too obvious. President Eisenhower had said that he would not come to a summit under this sort of threat. Now the threat was repeated. The calculation surely must have been that the President would react by refusing to

go to Paris unless it was withdrawn.

But President Eisenhower refused to be provoked. He declined to take serious notice of the speech or to regard it as a new ultimatum.

The move had failed. But then came a new opportunity provided by that unhappy episode of the U-2. It was at once exploited to the full.

The normal procedure of the Soviet Government felt—as well it might—that it had a genuine cause for complaint, would have been to register an immediate and strong protest with the United States Embassy in Moscow or through the Soviet Embassy in Washington. An effort would be made to clear up an unfortunate business before it could affect the prospects for the summit.

This was not done. Even the fact that an American plane had been brought down over Soviet territory was kept secret until it could be dramatically revealed by Mr. Khrushchev himself in his speech

to the Supreme Soviet on May 5.

That speech and the second one two days later assumed to me—like the Baku speech—designed to provoke President Eisenhower into declining to go to Paris or to arouse such anger in the U.S. that it would be impossible for him to go. There were the charges of "aggression", of "piracy". There were the sneering references to the President's functions as Commander-in-Chief. There was the likening of the Vice-President to a "goat in a cabbage patch"—language rarely used by a head of Government.

In spite of it all, President Eisenhower did go to Paris. President de Gaulle, as host, called the first session of the summit, as arranged, for the morning of May 16.

Then followed the fantastic drama—the last-minute Soviet demand for the postponement of the meeting, the demand that before the summit could meet President Eisenhower must accept conditions so humiliating that they made rejection certain, the trip into the country which broke all contact during critical hours, the brusque telephone messages while the other three Heads of State or Government waited in the Elysee and,

Continued on page 2)

HOW PARTIES HOPE TO WIN

July Election Jiu-Jitsu

The largely reduced number of contestants by itself cannot determine the nature of the contest for power between the U. N. P. and the S. L. F. P. — L. S. S. P. combination; nor do the seventy straight fights indicate the clue for a forecast of the results.

The real background for a student of politics to study the new situation can be said to be the communal coloring that has been given to the circumstances that led to the dissolution of Parliament and those in which the leaders of the main groups find themselves.

The U. N. P. has launched its most vitriolic campaign against both the S. L. F. P. and the Tamils, making undue use of the talk that followed the March Election and immediately preceded the dissolution of Parliament. Having itself started negotiations with

the F. P. and the rest of the Tamil speaking M.P.s, the U. N. P. conveniently sifts the proceedings in a subtle way with the avowed object of proclaiming to the Sinhalese voters that the Tamils are aggressive in their demands.

Not to be outdone by the U. N. P., the S. L. F. P. has started a counter propaganda which in effect tends to place before electors that the U. N. P. might accommodate the Tamil speaking Representatives for the purpose of setting up a Dudley Government.

(Continued on page 2)

Individualism Dreaded By Red China

Even a "slight bit of individualism" is regarded as dangerous by the Red Chinese Communist Regime, according to the Chinese Communist newspaper "Youth Daily".

The Communist paper reports that some young people have asked the question: "Is right-barred individualism on a large scale in any case harmful and destructive, and we are ourselves against such an individualism; but what if I keep just a little corner of individualism in my head, will this do any me any harm, and can one speak at all about a destructive effect of 'a little corner of individualism' in human nature?"

The answer, according to Youth Daily, is that even this bit of individualism must be curbed. "Why? because it represents 'a little white field' which may cultivate non-communist ideas and thus be at variance with the 'red field'."

Pursuit Of Theory For Theory's Sake

Will you, while I am on this topic, permit me to utter a word not so much of warning as of introspection? My argument, if I have been correct in its steps, amounts to a plea for the creation of disciplined intellects and emotions, not only from the point of view of individual achievement and progress, but inasmuch as the tendency of the days is towards, what I may term, a pursuit of theory's sake. We must in line guard ourselves against doctrines that take no account of facts, against catchwords and shibboleths. Speaking of a parallel phenomenon in Italy, the biographer of Mussolini and one of the historians of the Fascist Movement employs the following language which is obviously and wholly relevant. There are some occasions, says Doctor Pietro Gorgolini, in which sincerity of thought and action is tested and we

(Continued on page 3)

Acharya Vinobha Bhave's Ahimsa Mission

Several Dacoits in Madya Pradesh Surrender

The Sarvodaya Leader Acharya Vinobha Bhave, of 'Bhoodan' fame has directed his attention on the question of reforming dacoits. He met several gang leaders and succeeded in inspiring them with a new way of life. These dacoits who are called 'rebels' by the Sarvodaya Leader have been a source of constant danger and

threat to the people of Madya Pradesh.

So far, as many as 20 dacoits have surrendered to the Sarvodaya Leader. The place of surrender was Prayer a meeting of Acharya Vinoba Bhave. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Madya Pradesh, who was present at the surrender at Kadora shook hands with the dacoits who surrendered.



சென்னை நகராட்சி மன்றம்
சென்னை நகராட்சி மன்றம்
சென்னை நகராட்சி மன்றம்

Hindu Organ

FRIDAY, MAY 27, 1960

Trouble These Thoughts

We speak too much about God, remaining in the plane of duality. Let identity with Him in Spirit be the basis for all that we say and do

A DANGEROUS TREND

The demonstration that was staged in front of the Town Hall on Monday when a motion of no-confidence in the Mayor of Jaffna was discussed by the members of the Municipal Council must be regarded as a dangerous trend in the political affairs of the North. We do not propose to examine the merits or defects of the particular motion nor are we anxious about the final outcome of the proposal. But we are apprehensive of the new trend that has appeared in the political happenings in this country. And so is the common man.

The proceedings of the sessions of the House of Representatives, the Senate, the Municipal Council and other Councils may be witnessed by the public by the courtesy of those institutions. But the enthusiasm of those who gather at such places of meeting should not in any way interfere with the course of the proceedings or the freedom of the participants. The regrettable incident on Monday should not be allowed to occur again. The fair name of this City and the traditional greatness of the people of this area require to be safe-guarded and preserved. The existence of rivalries among members of a Council cannot be exploited by mischief-makers to soil the reputation of the City.

It is one thing if the entire population of a city decides to demonstrate in

protest against their Council. But on Monday a hostile faction gathered in the lawn of the Town Hall with the avowed purpose of thwarting the course of the meeting. Every small group of demonstrators cannot be said to be representative of the voters of the area. In the democratic set-up, members are elected by the electors. The Mayor is chosen by the members. Where the City Fathers question the conduct of the Mayor, the provisions of the Municipal Ordinance indicate the procedure for the expression of no-confidence in or removal of the Mayor. No political party can lend its support to demonstrators to step in and interfere with the inalienable right of the members to express their views on matters pertaining to the Council. The propriety or otherwise of the conduct of members normally gets tested at the ensuing election.

The Mayor and his City Fathers along with the Municipal Commissioner are responsible for making adequate and timely precautions against the unruly conduct of spectators and demonstrations of violence. The Commissioner who was in sole charge of the conduct of the meeting under reference should have made necessary arrangements for keeping law and order on that occasion.

Motion Of No-Confidence In Mayor

The motion of no-confidence in the Mayor of Jaffna that was taken up for consideration on Monday at a special meeting of the Jaffna Municipal Council presided over by the Municipal Commissioner was adjourned by the Commissioner with a view to seeking legal opinion on the point of order raised by the Mayor that the motion was irregular in that it was not in accordance with the requirements of the Municipal Ordinance.

Long before the meeting began an unruly crowd had collected inside the Council rooms and outside and kept on jeering and hooting at the sponsors of the motion. When the meeting was adjourned the hostile group became more uproarious and even man-handled two members of the Council.

International Irritations

The U. S. President made the following statement on his arrival at Washington from Paris.

As we planned for the Summit, the hopes of the world were not too high. The experience of the past years had denied us any right to believe that great advances toward peace with justice could be achieved in any great measure. Yet, it seems that the identity of interest between ourselves and the Soviets is certain features was so obvious that logically we should have made some progress.

Certainly the subjects on which we wanted to talk were those that seemed so important to them—for example, disarmament; the widening of contacts so that we would have open societies, or slightly more open societies dealing with each other; then the matter of Berlin and a divided Germany; and finally, as between Russia, the UK and ourselves some agreement on a plan for control of nuclear testing.

Therefore, it was a mystery, and remains a mystery, as to why at this particular moment the Soviets chose so to distort and overplay the U2 incident that they obviously wanted no talks of any kind, and in fact, made it impossible to begin them. I am not going to speculate today as to the future, but it is quite clear that since they wanted no talks whatsoever at this time that we can be watchful for more irritations, possibly other incidents that can be more than annoying, sometimes creating real problems.

For example, just today a half hour before I landed, it was reported to me that there is a U-47 missing in Western Germany. This is an unarmed, slow plane—no possibility of being used for military purposes—and in fact, I believe it had nine passengers aboard. There was some bad weather and its route took it near the Eastern German border. We do not know at this moment that any deliberate act delayed it, but at least it is overdue. And so, in the atmosphere in which we now have to think and live we cannot be sure that the worst has not happened.

Now, I may want to soon talk to the nation

about these matters, and for that part of it I now stop. But I do want to tell all of you people about three or four encouraging features that I encountered. First of all, was the assurance of the support of the home folks from friend, and from the Joint Chiefs of Staff, from the political leaders of both parties, from newspaper comments and editorial comment of every kind—I was assured of the essential solidarity of the United States and the sincerity of our peaceful purposes.

Secondly, was the conduct of my two principal colleagues of the West, Mr. MacMillan and General De Gaulle were superb. They spoke with one voice with our delegation in support of those things which we thought right and decent and logical.

Thirdly, was an action on the part of the NATO Council yesterday when Secretary Herter reported to them while I was in Portugal. The NATO resolution unanimously supported the three Western powers in what we were trying to do.

And finally, the Portuguese reception. In a way I think they wanted to provide the United States and the West—and even me personally—with something of an antidote for some of the disappointments we have felt. Government and citizens alike tried to outdo themselves in the warmth and cordiality of their reception, and on top of that, in their assurances from every side-news papers, the officials, common people coming in who were serving us in the Palace—everywhere they said—the West in effect is right, and we want you to know it. And they used every possible way to do it. And for that day in Portugal yesterday I am grateful.

(U.S.S.)

Letters to the Editor.

Saiva Library And Reading Room

Sir,
The last Saiva Maha Nadu, inter alia, passed a resolution for the establishment of a library and reading room at the Saiva Peripalana Sabha at Navalur Mandapam at

The Soviet.....

(Continued from page 1)

lastly, that fantastic Press conference of which no journalist can remember the like.

For us who were watching from the side lines these days had a nightmarish quality. It was hard to believe that such things were really happening—they seemed hard to explain on any rational basis.

But an explanation is, I think, to be found. It is that Mr. Khrushchev's behaviour in Paris was not the result of the U-2 affair. How could it be when he himself told us in his Press conference that he already knew at Camp David that United States planes were making "espionage" over-flights of Soviet territory? It was the result of a deliberate decision already taken in Moscow that by one means or another the summit must be prevented from taking place.

Why there should have been such a decision is at the moment beyond guessing. But it is worth remembering that this is not the first time this has happened.

In the spring of 1958 Mr. Khrushchev put a sudden stop to the talks which were going on in Moscow in preparation for a top level meeting that summer. Later that year he agreed to another such meeting in New York to deal with the Middle East crisis but suddenly and without explanation he withdrew and flew off to Peking.

Now he has presented a summit for the third time. Events may show why.

U.K.I.S.

Vannarponnai. The Committee of the Sabhai, in pursuance of the resolution, has decided to take steps to implement this resolution and has appointed a sub-committee in this connection. It would be very helpful to the Hindu cause if readers of the Hindu Organ and Inthushanthanam could kindly forward suggestions for giving effect to this resolution for publications in the columns of the two journals to the Editor, or send them to the Honorary Secretary, Saiva Peripalana Sabha Vannarponnai, Jaffna.

Yours faithfully,
S. Sivasubramaniam
103/2 Hulsdorf Street,
Colombo 12.

Order Nisi

IN THE DISTRICT COURT
OF JAFFNA
Testamentary Jurisdiction
No. 795

In the matter of the intestate estate of the late Adeline Josephine Raskuddy Seevaratnam of Uduvil

Deceased
John Selvam Seevaratnam of No. 14, Lady Gordons Drive, Kandy.

Petitioner

Vs

1. Dr. Samuel Jayam Seevaratnam of 36, Weaver Street, Batticaloa.
2. Yogam Indran Seevaratnam of No. 36, Deans-tron Place, Colpetty.

Respondents

This matter coming on for final disposal before P. Sri Skanda Rajah Esquire District Judge Jaffna on the 25th day of April 1960 in the presence of Mr. D. Rajadurai Proctor on the part of the petitioner and the affidavit and petition of the petitioner having been read;

It is ordered that letters of administration in respect of the estate of the deceased abovenamed be

issued to the petitioner as son of the deceased unless the respondents or any others who are interested shall appear before this court on or before the 27th day of June 1960 and show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

The 25th day of April 1960
Sgd. P. Sri Skanda Rajah
District Judge, Jaffna
(O 30 20 & 27)

ORDER "NISI" DECLARING THE WILL PROVED, & c.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT
OF JAFFNA

Testamentary Jurisdiction
No. 791 T

1 Kurukulam widow of Velupillai Ponnusamy of Vaddukkoddi East
Petitioner.

Vs.

- 1 Senthamilseivam wife of S. Perameshvaranathan.
- 2 Pavalamalar daughter of Ponnusamy
- 3 Selvapackiam daughter of Ponnusamy
- 4 Ponnusamy Anandarajah
- 5 Ponnusamy Loganathan all of Vaddukkoddi

Minor

East
& 2nd to 5th respondents appearing by their G. A. L. Velupillai Muthuthamby of Vaddukkoddi East
Respondents.

In the matter of the Estate of the late Velupillai Ponnusamy deceased, of Vaddukkoddi East.

This matter coming on for disposal before P. Sri Skanda Rajah Esq. District Judge, Jaffna on the 20th day of April, 1960 in the presence of Messrs. Subramaniam & Somasundaram, Proctor on the part of the petitioner and the affidavit of the abovementioned petitioner dated 12th April 1960, having been read.

It is further declared that the said 6th respondent, be appointed Guardian ad litem over the 2nd to 5th respondent, be appointed Guardian ad litem over the 2nd to 5th respondents, and the said petitioner Kurukulam, widow of Ponnusamy is entitled to have Letters of Administration issued to her accordingly unless the respondents or others shall on or before the 30th day of May 1960 show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

This 20th day of April 1960
Sgd. P. Sri Skanda Rajah
District Judge

Drawn by
Sgd. Subramaniam & Somasundaram
Proctors for Petitioner
(O 31 20 & 27)

SICK HEARTS

NEW HOPE

A machine which takes over the work of the human heart and lungs has become symbol of hope for thousands of people afflicted with certain heart diseases. This device enables surgeons to perform operations once thought impossible — to repair holes and open blocked valves with the heart itself and thus restore their patients to normal life.

The first successful heart-lung machine was developed by Dr. J. H. Gibbon of Jefferson Medical College, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, and refined by Drs. C. Walton Lillehei and Richard B. DeWalt of the University of Minnesota School of Medicine. More than ten different types are now regularly used in large U. S. hospitals. Basically, they all consist of two pumps, a few feet of plastic tubing and a device for supplying the blood with oxygen and removing carbon dioxide. One tube is inserted into the vein through which blood normally flows to the heart. When this vein is clamped off, the blood is diverted to the machine where it passes through the substitute lung and thence back into the body through a leg artery.

The heart can go bloodless for as long as 45 minutes while the machine takes over. Its beating can be stopped by chemicals; complex surgery can be performed without interrupting the vital supply of oxygen to body cells.

This delicate surgery requires precise teamwork

As many as twentyfive diagnosticians determine the nature and location of the defect. On the eve of surgery, the heart-lung machine is assembled and sterilized for twelve hours. Nurses take blood contributions from volunteers.

The day of the operation, preparations begin at five o'clock in the morning. Teams of specialists enter the operating room and set up their equipment. The heart-lung machine, the oscilloscope which measures blood pressure and heartbeat, the anesthesia equipment, the racks of dextrose solution and blood — each is monitored by highly-trained experts. Throughout surgery, a team of technicians works in an adjoining laboratory, analyzing blood samples.

The actual surgery is performed by a team of three or four doctors. Assistants open the chest, spread the ribs and slit the sac protecting the heart. Then the chief surgeon makes the delicate incisions through which the machine will be connected with the patient's organs. As it takes over the job of forcing blood through the body, he gently cuts into the inactive heart, makes the needed repairs and sews his incisions together. Then the pump is disconnected, the lungs are put back into place and the chest is closed. The entire procedure usually requires about three hours. Within a few months, most patients are leading normally healthy lives.

U. S. I. S.

Astrological

WEEKLY FORECASTS

'SRI PATHY'

FROM 29-5-60 TO 4-6-60

ARIES *Aswini, Barani, Kartikai 1st part [Medha Rasi]*

Financially a good week. You will be able to gain much from your old investments. But health upsets likely. Eye troubles and abdominal complaints likely.

TAURUS *Kartikai 2, 3, 4, Rohini, Mirugasirisha 1, 2 [Idapa Rasi]*

You will be able to achieve much through persuasion this week. Friends and relatives will be very helpful. But expenses will be heavy. Some troubles through secret enemies shown.

GEMINI *Mirugasirisha 3, 4, Thiruvathirai, Punarpusam 1, 2, 3 [Mithuna Rasi]*

Expenses will be heavy this week. But you will get enough to meet them. New ventures will be delayed. At the end you are sure to succeed. Gains through lands and landed properties promised week end.

CANCER *Punarpoosa 4, Poosa, Ayilya [Katak Rasi]*

Professional success promised. Work will be heavier. But you will be sufficiently compensated. Popularity and social success also indicated.

LEO *Maha, Poora, Uttira 1, [Singha Rasi]*

Health a problem for some time. Eye troubles likely. Indications of minor accidents also shown. But professionally a good week.

VIRGO *Uttira 2, 3, 4, Atta Chittirai 1, 2 [Kanni Rasi]*

Domestic affairs will be in a muddle this week. Clashes with friends and relatives likely. Be careful in all your dealings. You will be able to detect the people who work against you week end.

LIBRA *Chittirai 3, 4, Swati, Visaka 1, 2, 3, [Thula Rasi]*

Expenses will soar this week. But income will also increase. Ruins to enemies and gains through agricultural pursuits promised.

SCORPION *Visaka 4, Anursha, Kettai [Vrischika Rasi]*

The first day of the week must be spent with care. Domestic upsets and troubles through secret enemies likely till mid-week. Rest of the week will be favourable.

SAGITTARIUS *Moolam, Pooradam, Uttiradam 1, [Tharu Rasi]*

Your personal affairs will be in a mess this week. Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday morning must be spent with care. Rest of the week will be comparatively favourable.

CAPRICORNUS *Uttiradam 2, 3, 4, Thiruvonam, Avittan 1, 2, [Makara Rasi]*

You will find it difficult to have your own way in things this week. Emotional conflicts and domestic upsets likely. Spend Wednesday afternoon Thursday and Friday with care.

AQUARIUS *Avittam 3, 4, Satuyam, Pooraddati 1, 2, 3 [Kumbha Rasi]*

Some domestic upsets likely this week. But financially and professionally a good week. Friends and relatives will be very helpful in your undertakings. Spend Friday evening and Saturday with care.

PISCES *Pooraddati 4, Urvaddati, Revathi [Meena Rasi]*

Brothers and sisters specially the younger ones will be very helpful to you this week. Ruin to enemies also shown. But work will be heavier and you will have to do some travelling.

Mr. Nehru And Mrs Pandit With Aneurin Bevan



Mr. Jawaharlal Nehru, the Indian Prime Minister and his sister Mrs. Pandit, India's High Commissioner in the United Kingdom, are seen here with Mr. Aneurin Bevan, the British Labour Party politician and his wife Jennie Lee, when they called on them at their home in Chesham, Buckinghamshire. Mr. Bevan, who is recovering from an abdominal operation, and his wife entertained their distinguished visitors to tea.

ORDER NISI

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
JAFFNATestamentary Jurisdiction
No. 804In the matter of the intestate
estate of the late Kanapathy
Nallathamby of Ariyakulam,
Jaffna.

Deceased

Vallipillai widow of Kana-
pathy Nallathamby of
Ariyakulam, Jaffna.

Petitioner.

Vs.

1 Nallathamby Ratnam
2 Nallathamby Rasiah
3 Nallathamby Rajendram
4 Nallathamby daughter of
Nallathamby and
5 Nallathamby Aruna-
girinathan all of do.
The 3rd to 5th respon-
dents are minors
appearing by their
guardian-ad-litem the
1st respondent.

Respondents.

This matter of the petition
of the petitioner praying that
the 1st respondent abovenamed
be appointed guardian-ad-litem
over the 3rd to 5th respon-
dents, that the peti-
tioner be declared entitled to
administer the estate of the
deceased abovenamed and
that letters of administration
be issued to her accordingly,
coming on for disposal before
P. Sri Skanda Rajah Esq.
District Judge, Jaffna on the
28th day of April 1960 in the
presence of Mr. A. Thanabala-
singam Proctor for the
petitioner and the affidavit
and petition of the Petitioner
having been read:

It is ordered that the 1st
respondent be and he is hereby
appointed guardian-ad-litem
over the 2nd to 5th respon-
dents, that the petitioner be
declared entitled to administer
the estate of the deceased and
that letters of administration
issued to her accordingly,
unless the respondents or any
others shall show sufficient
cause to the contrary on or
before the 10th day of June
1960 at 10 a. m.

This 9th day of May 1960,
Sgd P Sri Skandalarajah
District Judge.

Drawn by,
Sgd A. Thanabalingam
Proctor for Petr.
(O 39 20 & 27)

ORDER NISI

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
JAFFNATestamentary Jurisdiction
No. 806In the matter of the intestate
estate of the late Ganapa-
thipillai Kandasamy of 290
Navalar Road, Jaffna.

Deceased.

Pagavatipillai widow of Kanda-
samy of 290 Navalar Road,
Jaffna.

Petitioner.

Vs.

1 Kandasamy Sandira-
ngaram
2 Kandasamy Siva-
ngaram
3 Kandasamy Kana-
pathipillai
4 Kandasamy Basam-
mah and
Minor. 5 Kandasamy Meenad-
chipillai all of do
The 5th respondent is
a minor appearing by
her guardian-ad-litem
the 1st respondent.

Respondents.

This matter of the petition
of the petitioner praying that
the 1st respondent abovenamed
be appointed guardian-ad-
litem over the 5th respon-

ORDER NISI

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
JAFFNATestamentary Jurisdiction
No. 803In the matter of the intestate
estate of the late Sinnatamy
Vallipuram Ponniah of
Averangal.

Deceased

Manikam widow of Ponniah of
Averangal

Petitioner.

Vs.

1 Ponniah Balasubra-
maniam
2 Ponniah Puthavathy.
3 Ponniah Rajagopal
4 Ponniah Bhagavathy
5 Ponniah Naganathan
6 Ponniah Pushpavathy
all of do.
appearing by their
G. A. L. the 1st resp.

Respondents

This matter of the petition
of the petitioner praying that
the 1st respondent abovenamed
be appointed guardian-ad-
litem over the 2nd to 6th
respondents, that the peti-
tioner abovenamed be declared
entitled to administer the
estate of the deceased and
that letters of administration
be issued to her accordingly,
coming on for disposal before
P. Sri Skanda Rajah Esq.
District Judge Jaffna on the
28th day of April 1960 in the
presence of Mr. A. Thanabala-
singam Proctor for the peti-
tioner and the petition and
affidavit of the Petitioner
having been read:

It is ordered that the 1st
respondent be appointed
guardian-ad-litem over the
2nd to 6th respondents, th
the petitioner be and she is
hereby declared entitled to
administer the estate of the
deceased and that letters of
administration issued to her
accordingly, unless the
respondents or any others
shall show sufficient cause
to the contrary on or before the
10th day of June, 1960 at
10 a. m.

This 9th day of May 1960,
Sgd P Sri Skandalarajah
District Judge.

Drawn by
Sgd A. Thanabalingam
Proctor for Petr.
(O 40, 20 & 27)

that the petitioner abovenamed
be declared entitled to
administer the estate of the
deceased and that letters of
administration be issued to
her accordingly, coming on
for disposal before P. Sri
Skanda Rajah Esq. District
Judge, Jaffna on the 29th day
of April, 1960 in the presence
of Mr. A. Thanabalingam
Proctor for the petitioners and
the petition and affidavit
having been read:

It is ordered that the 1st
respondent be and he is hereby
appointed guardian-ad-litem
over the 5th respondent, that
the petitioner be declared
entitled to administer the
estate of the deceased and
that letters of administration
issued to her accordingly,
unless the respondents or any
others, shall show sufficient
cause to the contrary on or
before the 13th day of June,
1960 at 10 A. M.

This 9th day of May 1960,
Sgd P Sri Skandalarajah
District Judge

Drawn by
Sgd A. Thanabalingam
Proctor for Petr.
(O 38 20 & 27)

ORDER NISI

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
POINT PEDROTestamentary Jurisdiction
No. 670In the matter of the Last Will
and Testament of the late
Nagamattu wife of Kathir-
gamu of Alvai South

Deceased

Chinnasoddy Kathirgamu of
Alvai South

Petitioner

Vs.

1 Kandar Kadirgamu of
Pattur
2 wife Chinnathangam of Do
3 Murugesu Maniccam of Do
4 wife Chinnammah of Do

5 Chinnathamby Pasupathy
of Alvai South

6 Vairavy Kandasamy of Do

7 wife Elayathangam of Do

8 Pandary Arumegam of
Pattur

9 wife Kathiramalai of Alva
South

10 Maniccam Sanmuganathan
of Pattur

11 Kandasamy Maheswaran of
Alvai South

12 Sarawathy daughter of
Kandar Kathirgamu of
Pattur

Respondents

This matter coming on for
disposal before N. Sivagnana-
sundaram Esquire District
Judge Point Pedro on the 14th
day of April 1960 in the pre-
sence of Messrs Kandasiva and
Mallavanam Proctors on the
part of the petitioner and
the affidavit of the witnesses
and the notary having been
read

It is ordered that the 3rd
respondent is hereby appoint-
ed guardian-ad-litem over the
10th minor respondent the 8th
respondent over the 11th
minor respondent and the
1st respondent over the 12th
minor respondent

It is further ordered that
the said Last Will is hereby
declared proved and admitted
to Probate and that the peti-
tioner is the Executor of the
said Last Will and is entitled
to have Probate issued to him
accordingly unless the res-
pondents or any other person
shall on or before the 17th day
of June 1960 show sufficient
cause to the satisfaction of
this court to the contrary.

It is further ordered that
the said minors shall be pro-
duced in court on the above
said date.

This 14th day of April 1960

Sgd N. Sivagnanasundaram
District Judge

(O 12 27 & 3)

ORDER NISI

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
JAFFNATestamentary Jurisdiction
No. 809/TIn the matter of the intestate
estate of the late Vallipuram
Kanagasabai of Tirunelveli,
Jaffna

Deceased

Nagammah widow of Valli-
puram Kanagasabai of Tiru-

nelveli, Jaffna

Petitioner

Vs.

1. Kanagasabai Inth-
ralingam,Minors 2. Rathnayagidaught-
er of Kanagasabai,3. Kallanayaki daught-
er of Kanagasabai,4. Kalanayaki daughter
of Kanagasabai,5. Sinnappu Subrama-
niam; the Guardian-
ad-litem over the
2nd, 3rd, and 4th
Minor Respondents
abovenamed, all of
Tirunelveli, Jaffna
RespondentsThis matter coming on for
disposal before P. Sri Skanda
Rajah, Esquire, District Judge,
Jaffna on the 2nd day of May,
1960 in the presence of Mr. S.
Visuvalingam, Proctor for
Petitioner and the affidavit
and petition of the petitioner
having been read.It is ordered that the above-
named 5th Respondent be
appointed Guardian-ad-litem
over the 2nd, 3rd and
4th Respondents abovenamed
for the purpose of
watching their int rests in
this case and that the said
Petitioner be declared entitled
to have Letters of Administra-
tion to the estate of the said
deceased as his lawful wife
and that Letters of Adminis-
tration be issued to her
accordingly unless the said
Respondents or any other
person or persons interested
shall appear before this Court
on the 20th day of June 1960
and show sufficient cause to
the satisfaction of this Court
to the contrary.It is further ordered that
the said Petitioner do produce
the said Minors in Court on
the said date.

This 2nd day of May, 1960

Sgd. P. Sri Skanda Rajah
District Judge, JaffnaDrawn by
Sgd. S. Visuvalingam
Proctor for Petr.
(O 37 20 & 27)

ORDER NISI

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
JAFFNA

No. T. 805

In the matter of the intestate
estate of the late Cumara-
samy Vanniasingham of
Jaffna, deceased.

Between:

Kemathi Vanniasingham of
No. 47, Kandy Road, Jaffna.
Petitioner

Vs.

1. Hemarathie Vanniasin-
gham,2. Sathisvathie Vanniasin-
gham,3. Renukavathie Vanniasin-
gham,4. Bagicathie Vanniasingham,
and5. Ranjini Vanniasingham, all
of No. 47, Kandy Road,
Jaffna, and who are all
minors appearing by their
Guardian-ad-litem the 6th
Respondent,6. C. Balasingham of No. 24,
Rhinstand Place, Colpetty,
Colombo.

Respondents

This matter coming on fo
disposal before P. Sri Skanda
Rajah Esquire District JudgeIN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
JAFFNA

No. T 807

Estate of the late Aiya-
thurai Rajaratnam of
Kokuvil East Jaffna

Deceased

R. Seevaratnam of Koku-
vil East

Petitioner

Vs.

Subethirai widow of A.
Nagaratnam of Koku-
vil East

Respondents

This matter coming for
disposal before P. Sri
Skanda Rajah Esq; Dis-
trict Judge for disposal
in the presence of Mr. R.
C. Subramaniam Pro-
ctor on the part of peti-
tioner abovenamed and
the affidavit of the peti-
tioner dated the 27th day
of April 1960 having been
read,

It is ordered that the
petitioner is hereby en-
titled as son of the above-
named deceased to have
Letters of Administration
to the above estate issued
to him unless the Res-
pondent abovenamed or
any other person or per-
sons interested shall on
or before the 13th day of
1960 show sufficient cause
to the satisfaction of the
Court to the contrary.

27th April 1960

Sgd. P. Sri Skandarajah
District JudgeDrawn by
Sgd. R. C. Subramaniam
Proctor for Petitioner

(O 34 20 & 27)

Jaffna, on the 29th day of
April 1960 in the presence
of Mr. V. Navaratnam, Proctor,
on the part of the Petitioner
and the affidavit of the Peti-
tioner dated the 28th day of
April 1960 having been read:

It is ordered that the 6th
Respondent abovenamed be
and he is hereby appointed
Guardian-ad-litem over the
1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, and 5th
Respondents minors abovenamed,
that the Petitioner
abovenamed be and she is
hereby declared entitled as the
widow of the deceased abovenamed
to have Letters of Admini-
stration to the estate of the
deceased issued to her and that
Letters of Administration be
issued to her accordingly, un-
less the Respondents abovenamed
or any other person or
persons interested shall on or
before the 13th day of June
1960 show sufficient cause to
the satisfaction of this Court
to the contrary.

Sgd P Sri Skanda Rajah
District Judge.

This 29th day of April 1960.

Drawn by
Sgd. V. Navaratnam
Proctor for Petitioner.

(O 35 20 & 27)

HOW PARTIES HOPE TO WIN

(Continued from page 1)

The manifesto issued by the S. S. F. P. and the personal statements of Mrs Srimavo Bandaranaike throw a different light on the talks between the S. L. F. P. and the F. P. during April 1960.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF JAFFNA

Testamentary Jurisdiction
No. 796

In the matter of the Intestate Estate of the late Peethamparakkurukkal Iyaswamy Kurukkal of Maviddapuram

Deceased.

Sornammah widow of Iyaswamy Kurukkal of Maviddapuram

Petitioner

1. Iyaswamy Kurukkal Vaithianatha Kurukkal of Maviddapuram;
2. Somaskanda Kurukkal Sathasiva Kurukkal and wife;
3. Annapooraniammah both of Valvettiturai;
4. Sangaranarayana Iyer Krishna Iyer and wife;
5. Meenadchiammal of Pirapankulam Road, Jaffna;
6. Iyaswamy Kurukkal Visuvanatha Iyer;
7. Narasimma Iyer Nadarajah Iyer and wife;
8. Dharmampal of Trincomalee.

Respondents

This matter coming on for disposal before P. Sri Skanda Rajah, Esquire District Judge, Jaffna, on the 26th day of April 1960 in the presence of Mr. M. Sithambaranathan Proctor, on the part of the petitioner and the affidavit and petition of the petitioner having been read.

It is ordered that the petitioner be declared entitled to Letters of Administration to the estate of the abovenamed deceased as widow of the said deceased and that the same be issued to the petitioner unless the respondents or any other person interested shall appear before this court on or before the 6th day of June 1960 and show cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

This 26th day of April 1960

(Sgd) P. SkandaRajah
District Judge

Drawn by
M. Sithambaranathan
Proctor for Petitioner

(O 48, 27 & 3)

ORDER NISI

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF JAFFNA

Testamentary Jurisdiction
No. T 811

In the matter of the Intestate Estate of the late Charles Rajakone Tambiah of No. 3 Front Street, Jaffna

Deceased

Eliza Chellammah Tambiah of No. 3 Front Street, Jaffna

Petitioner

1. David Senathirajah Tambiah of No. 22 Bawa Place, Colombo 3
2. Reginald Thangarajah Tambiah of Services Hospital Lower Lake Road, Colombo
3. Edward Selvarajah Tambiah of No. 3 Front Street, Jaffna
4. Herbert Dharmarajah Tambiah of 11, 35th Lane off Castle Street, Colombo
5. Stanley Jeyarajah Tambiah of University of Ceylon Peradeniya

Respondents

This matter coming on for determination before P. Sri SkandaRajah Esquire District Judge Obavakachcheri on the 12th day of May 1960 in the presence of Mr. S. Siva Rajah Proctor on the part of the Petitioner and the affidavit and petition of the Petitioner having been read.

It is ordered that the Petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled to have Letters of Administration to the Estate of deceased abovenamed as widow of the said and that Letters of Administration be issued to her accordingly unless the Respondents or any other person or persons interested in the said Estate shall appear before this Court on or before the 4th day of July 1960 and show cause if any to the satisfaction of this Court to the contrary.

The 12th day of May 1960
Sgd P. Sri SkandaRajah
District Judge

Drawn by
S. Sivrajah
Proctor for Petitioner
(O 47, 27 & 3)

ORDER NISI

Testamentary Jurisdiction
No. 768

In the matter of the Last Will and Testament of the late Sinnadurai Nalliah of Puttur West, Puttur

Deceased

1. Kanagarayar Sinnathurai and wife

2. Thangammah both of Puttur West, Puttur

Vs. Petitioner

Amirtham widow of Sinnathurai Nalliah of Kopsay North

Respondent

This matter coming on for disposal before P. Sri SkandaRajah Esq. District Judge Jaffna on the 2nd day of March 1960 in the presence of Mr. S. Ampalawane Proctor on the part of the Petitioners, and the affidavit and Petition of the Petitioners having been read.

It is ordered that the Last Will and Testament of the abovenamed deceased dated 27th July 1959 attested by S. Ampalawane Notary Public under No. 3238 be declared proved and that probate be issued to the 2nd named Petitioner as executrix named therein unless the Respondent or any other persons interested shall appear before this Court on the 4th day of May 1960 and show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this Court to the contrary.

The 11th day of April 1960

P. Sri SkandaRajah
District Judge

Extended and Reissued for 30-5-60
P. S.

District Judge Jaffna
(O 41, 23 & 27)

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF JAFFNA

Testamentary Jurisdiction
No. 777

In the matter of the Intestate estate of the late Poothapilla Paththinathar of Myliddy South, Tellippalai

Deceased

Nagamuttu widow of Paththinathar of Myliddy South

Petitioner.

- G.A.L. 1. Paththinathar Sivapathasundaram of P. W. D. Vennaiya
2. Paththinathar Balakrishnan of Civil Hospital, Kottone
 3. Balasunthary daughter of Paththinathar

Minor 4. Balasaraswathy daughter of Paththinathar

5. Balasaras daughter of Paththinathar all of Myliddy South.
- The 4th and 5th respondents are minors appearing by their G.A.L. the 1st respondent.

This matter coming on for disposal before P. Sri Skanda Rajah, Esq. District Judge, Jaffna, on the 28th day of March 1960 in the presence of Mr. M. Sithambaranathan, Proctor on the part of the petitioner and the affidavit and petition of the petitioner having been read.

It is ordered that the abovenamed 1st respondent be appointed Guardian-adi-litem over the minors the abovenamed 4th and 5th respondents to represent them in this action and that the petitioner be declared entitled to Letters of Administration to the estate of the abovenamed deceased as widow of the said deceased and that the same be issued to her the petitioner unless the respondents or any other person interested shall appear before this court on or before the 9th day of May 1960 and show cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

It is further ordered that the abovenamed minors be produced in open court on that day.

This 28th day of March 1960
P. Sri Skanda Rajah (Sgd.)
District Judge

9-5-1960
Time to show cause is extended till 6th June 1960
P. S. (Intd.)
D. J.

Drawn by
M. Sithambaranathan (Sgd.)
Proctor for Petitioner
(O 41, 27 & 3)

ORDER NISI

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF JAFFNA

Testamentary Jurisdiction
No. 762

In the matter of the Intestate estate of the late Vaithianathar Ponniah of Pungudutivu East, Deceased.

Pasupathypillai Kathiravelu of Pungudutivu West.

Petitioner

Vs

1. Thayarayagi wife of P Kathiravelu &

2. Sivakumarpillai widow of Vaithianathar Ponniah both of Pungudutivu East.

Respondents

This matter coming on for disposal before P. Sri Skanda Rajah Esq. District Judge Jaffna on the

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF JAFFNA

Testamentary Jurisdiction
No. 778

In the matter of the Last Will and Testament of the late Thiruvosippillai wife of Ramalingam Sinnathurai of Maviddapuram

Deceased.

Kamalingam Sinnathurai of Maviddapuram

Petitioner

1. Murgesan Eliathamby
2. Murgesan Ponniah
3. Nagamuttu Sinnathurai and wife
4. Elitapillai
5. Arulampalam Thavanayagam
6. Thavanayagi daughter of Arulampalam all of Maviddapuram

Respondents

This matter coming on for disposal before P. Sri Skanda Rajah, Esquire, District Judge, Jaffna on the 28th day of March 1960 in the presence of Mr. M. Sithambaranathan, Proctor on the part of the petitioner and the affidavit of the petitioner and the affidavit of the Notary and the subscription witnesses to the Last Will filed of record having been read.

It is ordered that the Last Will and Testament of the abovenamed deceased Thiruvosippillai dated 4th January 1959 and attested by M. Sithambaranathan, Notary Public, under No. 4406, the Original of which has been produced and is now deposited in this court, be and the same be hereby declared proved and that the petitioner as executrix named therein be and is hereby declared entitled to have Probate thereof issued to him accordingly unless the abovenamed respondents or any other person or persons interested shall on or before the 9th day of May 1960 show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

This 28th day of March 1960
P. Sri SkandaRajah (Sgd.)
District Judge.

Drawn by
M. Sithambaranathan (Sgd.)
Proctor for Petitioner
9-5-1960

Time to show cause extended till 6-6-1960.
P. S. (Intd.)
(O 44 27 & 3)

21st day of April 1960 in the presence of Mr. V. K. Subramaniam Proctor for Petitioner and the affidavit and Petition of the Petitioner having been read.

It is ordered that the Petitioner abovenamed be and he is hereby declared entitled to have Letters of Administration to the estate of the said deceased as his son-in-law and husband of his only daughter the abovenamed 1st Respondent issued to him accordingly unless the said Respondents or any other person or persons interested shall appear before this court on the 6th day of June 1960 and show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

This 21st day of April 1960
Sgd. P. Sri SkandaRajah
District Judge.
(O 46 27 & 3)

NOW AVAILABLE FOR SALE

AN ELUCIDATION OF THE TIRUPPASURAM

WITH AN APPENDIX INDICATING THE SALIENT FEATURES OF THE SAIVA RELIGION

(BY A HINDU BRADHAR)

Useful for Students Appearing for G. C. E. EXAMINATION



Available at the SAIVA PRAKASA PRESS, VANNARPONNAL.

Price Re 1/.

ORDER NISI

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF JAFFNA Testamentary Jurisdiction No 770

In the matter of Last Will and Testament of the Late William Ariyanayagam Ambalavavar of No. 197 Pankankulam Road Ariyalai, Jaffna

Deceased Mabel Ambalavavar widow W. A. Ambalavavar of No. 147 Pankankulam Road Ariyalai Jaffna

Petitioner

Vs

Mrs K. M. Gunaratnam of the Nursing School, Kandy

Respondent

This matter coming on for disposal before P. Sri Skanda Rajah Esquire District Judge, Jaffna on the 10th day of March 1960 in the presence of Mr. W. B. Canagaratna Proctor on the part of the Petitioner and the affidavit of the Petitioner and of the Notary and the witnesses to the Last Will dated 30th January 1959 having been read;

It was ordered that the Petitioner be declared entitled to have Probate of the said Last Will and Testament of the above named decedent as sole Legatee and as the Executrix named in the said Last Will and that the said Last Will No: 1274 dated 30th January 1959 and attested by C. Thillaiampalam N. P. Jaffna be declared proved and that Probate be issued to the Petitioner accordingly unless the Respondent above named or any other person interested shall appear before this Court on the 11th day of April 1960 and show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this Court to the contrary.

Jaffna 10th day of March 1960 Sgd. P. Sri Skanda Rajah District Judge, Jaffna.

11-4-60

Time for showing cause is extended to the 4th day of May 1960.

Sgd. P. S.

D. J.

Time for showing cause is extended to the 30th day of May 1960

Sgd. P. S.

D. J.

(O 33 20 & 27)

ORDER NISI

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF JAFFNA

Testamentary Jurisdiction No. 800

In the matter of the Last Will and Testament of the late Arumugam Kasinathar of Karainagar West

Deceased

S. R. Sinnathamby Kandiah of Karainagar West

Vs. Petitioner

1. Ampalavanan Kandiah and 2. wife Annappaikam of Karainagar East 3. Parimalam widow of Marugan Arumugam of Karainagar West

Respondents

This matter coming on for disposal before P. Sri Skanda Rajah Esquire, District Judge, Jaffna on the 28th day of April 1960 in the presence of Mr. S. Candiah, Proctor on the part of the Petitioner and the affidavits of the Petitioner and the Notary and witnesses having been read.

It is ordered that the Last Will and Testament executed by the abovesaid deceased and filed of record in this case be and the same is hereby declared proved unless the Respondents or any other person shall on or before the 6th day of June 1960 show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this Court to the contrary.

It is further declared that the said Petitioner is the Executor named in the said Last Will and Testament and that he is entitled to have Probate of the same issued to him accordingly unless the Respondents or others shall on or before the aforesaid date show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this Court to the contrary.

This 28th day of April 1960 Sgd. P. Sri Skanda Rajah District Judge

Drawn by Sgd. S. Candiah Proctor for Petitioner (O 32 20 & 27)

Pursuit Of.....

(Continued from page 1)

learn whether men hold to a theory for the sake of that theory or for the sake of truth; whether they seek in the first place to prove themselves in the right and only in the second place to be in the right, or whether they seek above all to do right, whether their creed is directed to the general welfare or to their own mental satisfaction, whether they would rather risk a tragedy than admit a fallacy

Extract from Dr (Sir C. P. Ramaswamy Aiyar's Address on 'Future of India'

ORDER NISI

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF JAFFNA

Testamentary Jurisdiction No. 808/T

In the matter of the intestate estate of the late Sarathathevi wife of Ratnasabapathy Nagarajah of Victoria Road, Jaffna.

Deceased

1 Appakuddy Ratnasabapathy and wife, 2. Meenadchiammah both of Ambalavanan Road, Aththiyady, Jaffna.

Petitioners

Vs:

Ratnasabapathy Nagarajah of Victoria Road, Jaffna.

This Matter coming on for disposal before P. Sri Skanda Rajah, Esquire, District Judge, Jaffna on the 2nd day of May, 1960 in the presence of Mr. S. Visuvalingam, Proctor for Petitioners and the affidavit of the abovesaid Petitioners having been read.

It is ordered that the Petitioners above named be declared entitled to administer the estate of the deceased abovesaid, and that Letters of Administration to the estate of the abovesaid deceased be issued to them as her legal heirs, unless the Respondent or other person or persons interested shall on or before the 20th day of June, 1960 show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this Court to the contrary.

This 2nd day of May 1960

Sgd. P. Sri Skanda Rajah, District Judge, Jaffna.

Drawn by Sgd. S. Visuvalingam, Proctor for Petrs. (O 26 27 & 8)

SCHOOL OF TECHNOLOGY

'The Citadel', Chundikul, JAFFNA.

Academic Year 1960/61 Commences on 5th July, 1960.

COURSES OF STUDIES

City & Guilds of London Institute Examinations:

(1) Preliminary examination Electrical Engineering Practice.

(2) Automobile Engineering in Motor Vehicle Electrician's work and Motor Vehicle Mechanic's Work.

(Open only to students with G. C. E. Ordinary Level including Physics, Mathematics and English).

Surveyor General's Examinations.

(3) Authorised Draughtsmen.

(4) Surveying & Levelling.

(Open only to students with G. C. E. Ordinary Level including Mathematics and English).

Advanced Level Examinations of the London University:

(5) Economics—Evening Class

(6) Engineering Drawing—Part-time Day Class

(Open only to students with G. C. E. Ordinary Level including English).

General:

(7) English Language and English Literature—Evening Class.

APPLICATIONS CLOSE ON 25th JUNE, 1960

Victor J. Rasaiah Principal.

24-6

THE JAFFNA MUTUAL BENEFIT FUND Ltd.

(Established 1918)

BANKERS

Authorised Capital Rs. 800,000.00; Amount of Calls made Rs. 616,313.00

Shares 8000 shares of Rs. 100/- each 80 monthly instalments of Re. 1/- per share will earn Rs. 100/- for each at the end of the period. Shares issued all time

Current Accounts opened and interest allowed at 4% per annum on the average monthly balance when it does not fall below Rs. 500/-.

Fixed Deposits received for periods of 12 months and 36 months and interest allowed at 6% and 8% respectively.

Drafts issued on the National and Imperial Banks in Colombo and the Principal cities of India. Remittances to and from F. M. S. by special arrangements.

Loans on the security of Jewels a speciality. Part payments accepted.

FOR FURTHER PARTICULARS

APPLY TO:

S. KANAGASABAI, Shroff.

சென்றவாறு வியாபாரிகள் இவ்வாறு அறிவிக்கப்படுகிறது. இவ்வாறு வியாபாரிகள் இவ்வாறு அறிவிக்கப்படுகிறது. இவ்வாறு வியாபாரிகள் இவ்வாறு அறிவிக்கப்படுகிறது.

Printed and Published by Arampillai Sinnathurai, residing at 209 Navalur Road, Jaffna, for and on behalf of the Proprietors, the Saiva Paripalana Sabha, Jaffna, at their Press, the Saiva Prakasa Press, 450; K. K. S. Road Vannarponnai, Jaffna, on Friday, May 27, 1960.

Editor: R. N. SIVASUBRAMANIAM

Mr. Nehru Returns To His Old School



India's Prime Minister Mr. Jawaharlal Nehru, an Old Harrovian, responds to the cheers of the students during his visit to Harrow School, Middlesex where he was educated as a boy. One of England's most famous public schools, Harrow numbers amongst its former pupils Sir Winston Churchill. Mr. Nehru was in London for the ninth Commonwealth Prime Ministers' Conference; he is the 'father' of these conferences having attended every one since 1948