

For Your Printing

SAIVA
PRAKASA
PRESS

THE Hindu Organ

(The Only Newspaper in Ceylon for the Hindus)
PUBLISHED EVERY FRIDAY

PHONE No. 858

[PRICE 10 CENTS]

Estd. Sept. 11, 1889,]

VOL LXXVIII

JAFFNA, FRIDAY JULY 8, 1966

NO. 14

The Tamil Eagle

PURA U BALAKRISHNAN

(Continued from the issue of 1-7-66)

"Hail to you, mighty birds! You are of my race!" thus Bharati would have greeted them rejoicingly—if we had seen him on the Hill—Bharati whose vision of India, among the visions of all our poets, was the most complete and prophetic, Bharati to whose measures the young of Tamil Nad were bound, as lambs to a tabor's sound. It occurs to me, to fly to other things with different associations, that this story of the eagles would have appealed greatly to Coleridge who sang the lay of the albatross; to Stevenson who loved Pan, and wrote, "There is one story of the wars of Rome which I have always very much envied for England." He relates how when Germanicus was going down at the head of the legions into a dangerous river—on the opposite bank the woods being full of Germans—there flew out seven great eagles and without pausing or wavering disappeared into the forest where the enemy lay concealed. "Forward!" cried Germanicus, "and follow the Roman birds!" To appropriate the eagles as fellow countrymen, remarks Stevenson, was to make imaginary allies of the forces of nature—an illusion easy to produce, but one which we may not decry.

When from these thoughts I became more alive to my surroundings I found that the thoughts of my friends had taken a similar direction. They were discussing the significance of the eagles

"They are supposed to come from Benares," one laughed. "There must be plenty of eagles in the hills over there."

"Then why should only two come for the feeding? Why not a lot of them, or a varying number of them on different days?" put in another.

"The priests would have trained only two eagles,"

the rationalist answered.

"But why not any of the others come in the wake of these?" the other persisted.

"On some days only one comes, and on some days they don't come at all," a third observed. "And then the priest says that there is some sinner in the crowd."

This remark brought to my mind a patient of mine, of may be thirty five, a very prosperous businessman from Gujarat, who told me that he had once shot a bird. I had asked him in alarm, "You shot at the bird?" "Not the bird," he corrected himself. "My friend simply fired a shot into the air. And the birds never came that day."

"Anyway it is a wonderful phenomenon that has been present for so many years," my friend R—remarked. "And they come every day to partake of the vegetarian, non-violent food—and of that too just a little."

"It's a health-giving place", wife put in. "People visit the Hill or stay there to get cured of diseases."

This put an end somehow to the discussion for a time.

"Look", my friend R—whispered suddenly into my ears. "That's a Bengali there. I shall talk to him in Bengali. You'll see how much he will be pleased...they are ever so much pleased if you talk to them in their language."

He started a conversation with the Bengali. I listened with great interest to the language of Tagore, although I did not understand anything of it. By the side of the Bengali sat a very comely woman, probably his wife. Quickly I scanned her features with the

A New J. P. U. M.

Mr. P. Kathiravelu, Proctor S. C. and Notary Public of Pungudutivu has been appointed J. P. U. M. He is the son of the late Mr. V. Pasupathi pillai of Pungudutivu who was a leading religious and social worker who also founded several schools and also conducted a school for the priests where Sanskrit was taught. Mr. P. Kathiravelu is pious and religious like his father. He is an old boy of the Jaffra Hindu College. He is the President of the M. P. C. S. Union Ltd. Kayts and was also the Chairman of Pungudutivu Village Committee. He is also the Manager of some of the important temples at Pungudutivu.



thought, "She is a woman from Tagore's land." A similar thought had occurred to me when I saw her husband, although I had been in Calcutta many years ago; but the interest with which the thought invested the woman was much more than it did the man—I do not know why, except if it be that I had not the chance to see cultural Bengali women as I had to see Bengali men.

These thoughts took me back to the sacred Hill again. Laugh and mock if you will at the myth and the offering to the eagles; we were going to a hill which had been hallowed by immemorial associations, the hill which had been touched by the feet of the great Saivite saints—where twelve centuries ago illumination had dawned on Vaadevurar, "the poet of jeweled speech." Sceptic and unbeliever one may be; but when one thinks that one treads the very earth which men whose faith was like the Himalayas had trod with their god-like gait, one imbibes a sense of re-

(Continued on page 2)

Sarva Jnanoththara - Agama

SHRI M. GNANAPRAKASAM, B. A; B. Sc.

CHAPTER 7

SLOKAS 7, 8, 9, 10

CLAIMING—SAMATVAM WITH SHIVA

- (7) Kenacit sadhanena mukta shivasamo bhavet |
iti evam kecit iccanti vadino munipungavah ||
- (8) varta matram idam proktam sarvada na tad ardhavat |
ekadesheda va jivah kim va sarvatmana samah ||
- (9) ekadeshena cet sarve shiva samsaravartinah |
ekadeshena sarvesham asti samyam shivena hi ||
- (10) Sarvatmana cet samyena sarvadha shiva eva ash |
eka akarsaya sunyatvat Bheda abhavat ca vastunah ||
- 7) Certain philosophic schools declare, that some special form of religious sadhanas help a mukta to become exactly like Shiva himself.
- 8) This can happen only in the imagination of these philosophers. But the truth is far from it. Do they say that the finite which suffers in bondage becomes equal to the infinite at any stage? or Do they say that the finite being in the Sarva Bhavana state is exactly like the ever perfect Shiva?
- 9) If a finite being which suffers in bandage can claim samatvam with the universal soul. Then you must agree that all finite souls can make that claim—that is—Samatvam with Shiva.
- 10) If you say that a mukta with Sarva Bhava, can make that claim then that mukta is not different from Shiva and it will be meaningless to claim Samatvam with Shiva.

Notes & Comments

Shaiva Siddhanta holds that the identity between the self and God—as taught by the upanishads is only a qualified one. It is unthinkable that the Self which is finite and which is the enjoyer can at any stage be identical with God who is infinite and whose ultimate form is 'Paramasukham'. The identity that is asserted in the famous upanishadic statement 'Tat tvam asi' is between God's attributes on the one hand and the perfectly adapting assimilating and 'அறிவித்தால் அறியும்' qualities of the soul on the other hand. The above slokas refute the claims of Shiva sama Vadins, who declare that in the final state of bliss, the mukta is at par with Shiva in all his aspects. Shaiva Siddhanta says that the great force of separation is still there, although ineffective, and therefore the state of supreme bliss can be sustained by the mukta only by 'தொழுதல்' and 'மறவாமை'.

SLOKAS 11-17

THE INDIVIDUAL ATMAN—IN THE MUKTI STAGE

- 11) Tasmāt Jivah svataḥ sakṣat Shiva satvadilakṣaṇah |
yathā bhesajāsamparkat jamaśya mala nāśanam ||
- 12) tathā Jivasya dukkhādi nivṛttiḥ kenacit bhavet |
rasasiddhamayā svānam yathā bhavati sarvada ||
- 13) Kenacit sadhanena eva tathā Jivah Shivo bhavet |
iti kecit tu mohena pravṛtanti tu vadinaḥ ||
- 14) tat na pratipannam hi svataḥ siddham na paśyati |

(Continued on page 2)

The Tamil Eagle

(Continued from page 1)

verence and humility even in one's disbelief.

Like a pendulum my mind swung back again from my thoughts to the talk of the others. For some time I enjoyed listening to the Bengali which I did not understand; but then, being cheated of the conversation by my ignorance of the language, I turned towards the window and looked out upon the long-familiar sights and scenes receding and giving place to fresh views and new pastures. English speech drew my attention back to the life inside the bus—the great, noble, precious, myriad-voiced English tongue which I love at least as much as I do Tamil. There were talking of the Tamil language. The topic had arisen naturally from the name of the Bill to which we were bound. The Bengali had remarked that it was difficult to pronounce especially the letter "zh" in it.

"That's a compliment to us," I said. "It shows we are more developed in the finer powers of mankind. That's why we are able to pronounce Sanskrit words with their pristine purity of accent, better than most people."

As I record this, I recollect that Charles McInery, in his immature and puerile book, remarks on the unpronounceability of "the last letter of the word 'Tamil,'" which letter, he says, "has (more or less) the sound of Zh, with a suggestion of R, and a slight inclination to L." I was glad when I read it because to me it was an index of the greater culture of our vocal muscles. I recollect again, as I write these words that Stevens in his book goes the usual way of most foreigners in doing injustice to the virile Tamil tongue—a language whereof, he says, Sandaraperumalkoil is a fairly representative mouthful. A little knowledge is a dangerous thing; and when to this is added conceit and arrogance, it is unforgivable; the equivalent of "Sandaraperumalkoil" in English is, "Beautiful Great Lord's Temple", and this is as big a mouthful. However—there is some truth in Steven's observation; only he had chosen a wrong example...and one need have no scruples in admitting that Tamil has its weaknesses as well as its strength, just like any other language.

—Bhavan's Journal

London Committee For Regional University

(A statement by the Committee for Tamil Action, London)

(Continued from last issue)

Aims and Principles

The Robbins Report gives four objectives in paras 25-28 (page 6) which in summary would read as:

1. Institutions in skill suitable to play a part in the general division of labour.

2. Promote the general powers of the mind.

3. Advancement of learning.

4. The transmission of a common culture and common standards of citizenship.

Dr. B. Halpern, a Statistician with the Department of Education and Science (U. K.) and an extra-mural tutor for the Universities of London, Oxford and Cambridge states these aims slightly differently and as his statement appeals to us a great deal we give that too here. He says that the aim of university education should be the aim of general education but taken at the highest level and which should be:

1. The stimulation of physical and mental activity and the development of abilities,

2. Development of socially acceptable and individually useful types of temperament, character and personality.

3. Vocational training.

4. The transmission of accumulated knowledge and social and cultural values

The transmission of culture is mentioned in both statements and we wish to begin with this point not because we consider the cultural aim the most important but because we felt that the cultural aspect has not received the emphasis it should have had.

(To be Continued)

ORDER NISI

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF JAFFNA

No. Testy 1772

In the matter of the intestate estate of the late Arunasalam Nadarajah of Changanai West. Deceased

Sellammah widow of Arunasalam Nadarajah of Changanai West. Vs. Petitioner

Minor 1 Nadarajah Navaratnam

HINDU ORGAN & INTHUSATHANAM

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES

Inland	Rs. cts.
English	9 00
Tamil	9 00
English & Tamil	12 00

Outside Ceylon	Rs. cts.
English	10 50
Tamil	10 50
English & Tamil	15 00

Reduced Rates	
Educational Institutions	4 50

Advertisements: Order Nisi Rs. 12-00

- " 2 Nadarajah Vijiaratnam
- " 3 Nadarajah Ehamparam
- " 4 Nadarajah Yogeswaran all of Changanai West minors appearing by their guardian-ad-litem
- 5 Arunasalam Muttiah of Changanai West Respondents.

This matter coming on for disposal before T. Muttufamypillai, Esquire, Acting Additional District Judge of Jaffna on the 25th day of November, 1965 in the presence of Mr. V. Navaratna-Rajah Proctor on the part of the petitioner and the affidavit of the petitioner dated 22nd July, 1965 having been read:

It is ordered that the Petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled as widow of the abovenamed deceased to have Letters of Administration to the estate of her deceased husband be issued to her accordingly, unless the Respondents or others interested shall on or before the 9th day of February 1966 show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this Court to the contrary.

It is further ordered that the 6th Respondent be and he is hereby appointed guardian-ad-litem over the 1st to 4th minor respondents.

It is further ordered that the abovenamed minors be produced in Court on the 9th day of February 1966.

This 25th day of November 1965 Sgd. N. M. J. Rajendram District Judge Jaffna.

Drawn by Sgd. V. NavaratnaRajah Proctor for Petitioner

30-5-1966. Time to show cause is extended to 25-7-1966.

Sgd. N. M. J. Rajendram District Judge, Jaffna 80 8 & 15

ORDER NISI

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF JAFFNA

No. Testy 1789

In the matter of the Intestate Estate of the late Kuddipillai Nadarajah of Vannarponnai East, Jaffna

Deceased Annam widow of Kuddipillai Nadarajah of Vannarponnai East, Jaffna

Vs. Petitioner Minor 1 Santhirathevy daughter of Nadarajah

" 2 Yogeswary daughter of Nadarajah

" 3 Thilageswary daughter of Nadarajah—minors appearing by their guardian-ad-litem

4 Ehamparam Thiagarajah of Vannarponnai East Jaffna

Respondents This matter coming on for disposal before N. M. J. Rajendram, Esquire, District Judge, Jaffna on the 20th day of December, 1965 in the presence of Mr. V. Navaratna-Rajah, Proctor on the part of the petitioner and the affidavit of the petitioner dated 20th December, 1965 having been read:

It is ordered that the 4th Respondent be and he is hereby appointed guardian-ad-litem over the 1st to 3rd minor Respondents.

It is further ordered that the Petitioner be and she is hereby declared entitled to have Letters of Administration to the estate of her husband the abovenamed deceased and that the same is hereby granted to her accordingly, unless the respondents or others interested shall on or before the 2nd day of March 1966 show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this Court to the contrary.

It is further ordered that the said minors in Court on the 2nd day of March 1966.

This 30th day of December, 1965

Sgd. N. M. J. Rajendram District Judge Jaffna.

Drawn by Sgd. V. NavaratnaRajah Proctor for Petitioner

30-5-1966 Time to show cause is extended to 25-7-1966.

Sgd. N. M. J. Rajendram, District Judge (81 8 & 15)

ORDER NISI

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF JAFFNA

Testamentary Jurisdiction No. 1851

In the matter of the intestate Estate of the late Saraswathy wife of Alagaratnam of Vaddukodai West

Deceased

Visuvalingam Alagaratnam of Vaddukodai West

1 Selvarani daughter of Alagaratnam (Lunatic)

2 Alagaratnam Rajaji

3 Thamothevampikai Perumynar all of Vaddukodai West

Respondents

This matter coming on for disposal before N. M. J. Rajendram Esquire, District Judge, Jaffna on the 29th day of April 1966 in the presence of Mr. N. Ehamperam, Proc-

SUMMONS TO DEFENDANT

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF JAFFNA

No Div / 1230

Leonie Maugrest Sabina Sivalingam wife of Kanapathipillai Sivalingam of Press Road, Jaffna

Vs. Plaintiff Kanapathipillai Sivalingam of Kanukkerny, Thaniyootu, Mulliyavalai

Defendant

To the abovenamed Defendant Whereas the abovenamed Plaintiff has instituted an action against you in the Court to have the marriage dissolved and for costs

You are hereby summoned to appear in this Court, either in person or by Proctor on the 26th day of July 1966 at ten o'clock of the forenoon, to answer the abovenamed Plaintiff, and you are hereby required to take notice that in default of your so appearing, the action will be proceeded with and heard and determined in your absence. And you will bring with you or send by your proctor which the Plaintiff desires to inspect any documents on which you intend to rely in support of your defence.

This 6th day of July 1966

By order of Court

Sgd. N. Selvanayagam Secretary

Drawn by Sgd. V. NavaratnaRajah Proctor for Plaintiff

Note 1.—Should you apprehend that your witness will not attend of their own accord you can have a summons from this Court to compel the attendance of any witness and production of any document you have a right to call on any witness to produce by applying to the Court at any reasonable time before trial, and depositing necessary subsistence money.

Note 2.—If you admit you should pay the money into Court with the costs to this action to avoid the summary execution of the decree which may be made against your person or property, or both, if necessary.

83 8 & 15

tor on the part of the petitioner and the petition and affidavit of the petitioner having been read:

It is ordered that the 3rd Respondent abovenamed be and he is hereby appointed Guardian-ad-litem over the 2nd Respondent abovenamed for the purpose of these proceedings.

It is further ordered that the Petitioner abovenamed be and he is hereby declared entitled as legal husband of the deceased abovenamed to have Letters of Administration to the estate of the said deceased issued to him accordingly unless the respondents abovenamed or any other person or persons interested shall on or before the 29th day of July, 1966, show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

This 29th day of April 1966.

G. O. Niles District Judge 78 8 & 15)

FISCAL'S SALE

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF POINT PEDRO

No. 8143

Ponniah Krishnapillai of Puloly West

Plaintiff

Vs.

1. Alvapillai Nadarajah and
2. wife Palambikai of Puloly West
3. Subramaniam Sabapathipillai of - do -
4. Subramaniam Manickam of - do -

Defendants

Under and by virtue of a Commission issued to me by the District Court, Point Pedro in the above case, NOTICE is hereby given that on Wednesday the 17th August, 1966 at 3 O'Clock in the afternoon, will be sold by Public Auction at the spot the following property under the provisions of Partition Act No. 16 of 1951.

All that piece of land called, "Kadduvanthumpai" situated at Puloly West Malavarayakurichohy in the parish of Point Pedro, Vadamardchy Division, Jaffna District, Northern Province, in extent 2 1/2 lms. v. o. which is 2 lms v. o. more or less according to survey in extent 2 lms. v. o. Of, 24/32 kls. with palmyrahs and described by lots marked 1, 2 and 3 in the survey Plan No. 43 of 22-8-65 and prepared by Mr. M. Ambikaipakar, Licensed Surveyor and filed of record in this case. Of this the lot marked 1 in extent 7 1/8/32 kls. v. o. with its appurtenances and bounded on the East by lot 2, North by the property of P. Nadarajah, West by the property of A. Sabapathy and others and on the South by lot 3.

Valuation of the property:—Rs. 277/07.

- NOTE:-** (1) The property will be sold first among the Co-owners for not less than the appraised value and if not purchased by some one or more of them it shall be put up for sale by public auction and sold to the highest bidder, permitting the Co-owners to bid.
- (2) The purchaser shall immediately after the sale pay the full purchase amount and Fiscal's charges. If the purchase amount exceeds Rs. 100/- the purchaser other than the Co-owner shall pay the one-fourth of the purchase amount and Fiscal's charges immediately after the sale and furnish two good and sufficient sureties for payment of the balance within 30 days and sign agreement thereof.
- (3) Fiscal's charges include advertisement charges, Fiscal's Commission on the proceeds of sale and travelling expenses etc.

Fiscal's Office,
Jaffna, 5-7-66.
82 8C. ARUMUGAM
for Fiscal, N. P.**ORDER NISI**IN THE DISTRICT COURT
OF JAFFNATestamentary Jurisdiction
No. 1780In the matter of the intestate
estate of the late Para-
sakthi wife of Navaratnam
of Veemankamam

Deceased

Thillampalam Navaratnam of
Veemankamam

Vs. Petitioner.

- 1 Bhama Navaratnam, and
- 2 Manjula Navaratnam, minor daughters of Navaratnam appearing by their Guardian-ad-litem
- 3 Thampoo Shanmugasundaram of Pallaveemankamam

Respondents

This matter coming on for disposal before N. M. J. Rajendram Esquire, District Judge, Jaffna, on the 7th day of December, 1965 in the presence of Messrs. Nadarajah and Kumaraguru, Proctors on the part of the Petitioner and on reading the affidavit and petition of the petitioner:

It is ordered that: (a) the 3rd respondent be appointed Guardian-ad-litem over the minors the 1st and 2nd respondents, (b) the petitioner be declared entitled to have Letters of Administration to the estate of the said deceased and that letters of administration be issued to him accordingly, unless the respondents or any other person or persons shall on or before the 16th day of February 1966 appear before this Court and show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this Court

NOTICEIN THE DISTRICT COURT
OF JAFFNA

No. P/1007

1 Selladurai Velantham and
wife2 Jegatheaswary both of
Station Road, Mallakam

Vs. Plaintiff

1 Ramalingam Sachchitha-
nathan and2 wife Parameswary both of
Uduvil

Defendants

It is hereby noticed that action No. P/1007 has been instituted in the District Court of Jaffna under the Partition Act No. 16 of 1951 for the partition/sale of the land called "Thettarollai" situated at Uduvil.

The defendants in the afore said action are summoned to appear on the 26th day of July 1966 at 10 O'clock of the forenoon.

This 30th day of June 1966

By order of Court,
Sgd. M. Muthucumarasamy
C. C.

79 8

to the contrary.

The 7th day of December,
1965Sgd. N. M. J. Rajendram
District Judge16-2-1966: Time to show cause
is extended for 29-4-66
N. M. J. Rajendram (Sgd.)
District Judge29-4-66: Time to show cause
extended for 29-6-66
Sgd. N. M. J. Rajendram
District Judge29-6-66: Time to show cause
is extended for 22-7-66
Sgd. N. M. J. Rajendram
District Judge

72 8 & 13

ORDER NISIIN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
JAFFNATestamentary Jurisdiction
No. 1864.In the matter of the Last Will
and Testament of the late
Sinnaddiar Sinnappoo of
Nainatheevu

Deceased

Sinnappan Nadesapillai of
Nainathivu, Jaffna

Vs. Petitioner

1 Sinnammah widow
of Sinnappoo of do2 Thailnayagy
daughter of Sinnap-
pan presently of Con-
vent Road, Jaffna3 Sinnappo Velmuru-
gonpillaiMinor 4 Sinnappoo Somas-
warapillai5 Ramanathar Veera-
gathy

Respondents

6 K. Kanagaretnam and
wife7 Vimalamikai all of
Nainathivu, Jaffna
the 4th Respondent
is a minor appearing
by his Guardian Ad
Litem the 5th Res-
pondent

This matter coming on for disposal before N. M. J. Rajendram Esquire, District Judge Jaffna on the 7th May 1966 in the presence of Mr. K. Arumugam Proctor on the part of the petitioner and the affidavit of the petitioner dated the 3rd May 1966 and affidavit of Notary and the witnesses to the Last Will dated the 6th May 1966 and the petition dated the 7th May 1966 having been read.

It is ordered that the Last Will and Testament of Sinnaddiar Sinnappoo deceased dated 28th August 1965 and attested by K. Arumugam Notary Public under No. 3767 deposited in his Court be and the same is hereby declared proved unless the Respondents or any other person or persons interested shall on or before 7th August 1966 appear before this Court and show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this Court to the contrary.

It is further ordered that the said 5th Respondent be and he is hereby appointed Guardian Ad Litem over the Minor the 4th respondent.

It is further ordered that the petitioner be and he is hereby declared entitled to have probate of the said Last Will and Testament of the abovenamed deceased as Executor and as of the legatee mentioned in the said Last Will and Probate thereof unless the Respondents or any other person or persons interested shall appear before this Court on or before the 1st day of August 1966 and show cause to the satisfaction of this Court to the contrary.

This 7th day of May 1966

G. C. Niles (Sgd.)
District Judge, Jaffna

79 1 & 3

Order NisiIN THE DISTRICT COURT
OF JAFFNATestamentary Jurisdiction
No. 1876In the matter of the intestate
estate of the late Komathi-
ammah wife of Saravana-
mattu Kathiravelu of Vad-
dukkoddai East

Deceased

Saravananattu Kathiravelu of
Vaddukkoddas East

Vs. Petitioner

1 Kathiravelu Selvaratnam of
do2 Kathiravelu Sivapathasun-
dram of do3 Kathiravelu Kanesan of do
and presently of 72 Holland
Road, W. J. London4 Kathiravelu Komaraswamy
of Vaddukkoddai East5 Kathiravelu Selvaranee of
do

Respondents

This matter coming on for disposal before G. C. Niles Esquire, District Judge, Jaffna on the 31st day of May 1966 in the presence of Mr. S. Coomaraswamy Proctor on the part of the petitioner and the affidavit of the petitioner dated 24th May 1966 having been read.

It is ordered that the petitioner as the legal husband of the abovenamed deceased be declared entitled to have Letters of Administration to the estate of the abovenamed deceased and that such Letters of Administration be issued to the petitioner accordingly unless the abovenamed 1st and 2nd respondents or any others interested shall appear before this court on or before the 24th day of August 1966 and show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this court to the contrary.

This 31st May 1966

Sgd. N. M. J. Rajendram
District JudgeDrawn by
S. Coomaraswamy
Proctor for Petitioner

(74 1 & 7)

ORDER NISIIN THE DISTRICT COURT
OF JAFFNATestamentary Jurisdiction
No. 1873In the matter of the intestate
estate of the late Muttu-
cumaru Sinnathamby of
Vaddukkoddai west

Deceased

Valliammai widow of Muttu-
cumaru Sinnathamby of
Vaddukkoddai west

Vs. Petitioner

1 Sinnathamby Perin-
panayagam2 Sinnathamby Naga-
ratnammalMinor 3 Sinnathamby Ongara-
vadivel all of do

Respondents

This matter coming on for disposal before G. C. Niles Esquire, District Judge, Jaffna on the 4th day of June 1966 in the presence of Mr. S. Coomaraswamy Proctor on the part of the petitioner and the affidavit of the petitioner dated 4th June 1966 having been read.

It is ordered that the abovenamed 1st respondent be appointed guardian ad litem over the minor the abovenamed 3rd respondent, and the petitioner as the legal widow of the abovenamed deceased be declared entitled to have Letters of administration to the estate of the abovenamed deceased and that such Letters of administration be issued to the petitioner accordingly unless the abovenamed 1st and 2nd respondents or any others interested shall appear before this court on or before the 24th August 1966 and show sufficient cause to the satisfaction of this Court to the contrary.

It is further ordered that the said minor 3rd respondent should be produced in court on the said date.

This 4th day of June 1966

N. M. J. Rajendram
District JudgeDrawn by
S. Coomaraswamy
Proctor for Petitioner.**THE JAFFNA MUTUAL
BENEFIT FUND Ltd.**

(Established 1918)

Shares 5000 shares of Rs. 100/- each 80 monthly instalments of Re. 1/- per share will earn Rs. 100/- for each at the end of the period. Shares issued all time

Savings Accounts opened and interest allowed at 1% per annum on the average monthly balance when it does not fall below Rs. 500/-

Fixed Deposits received for periods of 12 months and 36 months and interest allowed at 6% and 8% respectively.

Loans on the security of Jewels a speciality
Part payments accepted.

FOR FURTHER PARTICULARS**APPLY TO:****S. KANAGASABAI, J. P.
Shroff.**

சான்றிதழில் வழங்கிய பெயர் மலிகைக்கு எந்த மனம்
காண்குறை யாக பெயர் குறைவாக தயிர்சன் காந்த
காண்குறை யாக பெயர் குறைவாக தயிர்சன் காந்த
காண்குறை யாக பெயர் குறைவாக தயிர்சன் காந்த

Printed and Published by Ayampillai Sinnathurai, residing
No. 2 Brown Road, 2nd Lane, Jaffna, for and on behalf of
the Proprietors, the Saiva Paripalana Sabha, Jaffna, at
their Press, the Saiva Prakasa Press, 450, K. K. S. Road,
Vannarponnai, Jaffna, on Friday July 8, 1966.

Editor: R. N. SIVAPIRAKASAM